DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 351 819	EC 301 637

TITLE	Annual Report to the President and to the Congress on Federal Activities Related to the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as Amended. Fiscal Year 1991.
INSTITUTION	Rehabilitation Services Administration (ED), Washington, DC.
REPORT NO	ED/OSERS-92-20
PUB DATE	92
NOTE	597p.; For the 1990 edition, see ED 343 366.
PUB TYPE	Legal/Legislative/Regulatory Materials (090) -- Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142)
EDRS PRICE	MF03/PC24 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	Advocacy; Agencies; Blindness; *Compliance (Legal); *Disabilities; Employment; *Federal Legislation; *Federal Programs; Independent Living; Program Descriptions; Rehabilitation; Supported Employment; *Vocational Rehabilitation
IDENTIFIERS	*Rehabilitation Act 1973

ABSTRACT

This annual report (fiscal year 1991) summarizes information on mandated federal activities of the Rehabilitation Services Administration and related agencies under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The report is organized following the titles and sections of the Act. Under the Act's General Provisions are activities of the Office of the Commissioner, publication of American Rehabilitation Magazine, program evaluation, and operation of the Clearinghouse on Disability Information. Title I programs include the Vocational Rehabilitation Services Program, the Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility Program, the Client Assistance Program, and the American Indian Vocational Rehabilitation Services Projects. Title II focuses on activities of the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research. Title III addresses rehabilitation training and special projects for providing vocational rehabilitation services to individuals with severe handicaps, for providing supported employment services and technical assistance, for handicapped migratory and seasonal farmworkers, and for special recreation programs. Title IV authorizes the National Council on Disability. Title V addresses the employment of people with disabilities in the Federal Government, the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, enforcement of the Americans with Disabilities Act, nondiscrimination in federally assisted and conducted programs, and the Interagency Coordinating Council. Title VI is about the Projects with Industry program and the Supported Employment Services Program. Finally, Title VII provides funds for: the Comprehensive Services for Independent Living program, the Centers for Independent Living, Independent Living Services for Older Blind Individuals, and Protection and Advocacy of Individual Rights. Appendices comprising half the document present data from various reports required in the Act and regulations, including financial tables, caseload statistics, charts describing characteristics of rehabilitated persons, and client assistance program statistics. (DB)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
☑ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
● Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

ANNUAL REPORT

To the President and to the Congress
Fiscal Year 1991

EC 301637

On Federal Activities Related to the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as Amended

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Annual Report

To the President and to the Congress

Fiscal Year 1991

On Federal Activitives Related to the
Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as Amended

FOREWORD

The Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) continues into the 1990s with strong commitment to leadership and effective administration of the programs and benefits for individuals with disabilities under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. The Act provides the legislative basis for programs and activities to assist individuals with disabilities in the pursuit of employment, independence, and integration into the community. This report provides a comprehensive description of the activities of RSA during Fiscal Year (FY) 1991 and describes our successes in meeting the mandates of the Act.

The report also contains information on activities of the other Federal agencies responsible for administering sections of the Act. I would like to acknowledge the contributions by the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, the National Council on Disability, the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, and the U.S. Department of Justice, Office for Civil Rights, in making this report a comprehensive summary of Federal accomplishments under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

RSA, along with the other Federal agencies with responsibilities under the Act, continues to strive for effective and efficient programs that provide opportunities for individuals with disabilities to participate in the mainstream of society through increased employment and independence. In working together , we hope to bring about significant changes in programs and services to enhance the lives of individuals with disabilities in our society.

Nell C Carney

Nell C. Carney

Table of Contents

Contents

Executive Summary.. 1

General Provisions 1

Sections 3 and 12 - Office of the Commissioner 13
Section 12(a)(4) - American Rehabilitation Magazine 16
Section 14 - Evaluation 18
Section 15 - Office of Information and Resources for the Handicapped (Information Clearinghouse) 22

Title I

Sections 100-111 - The Vocational Rehabilitation Services Program 23
  Caseload Trends 25
  Post-Employment Services and Annual Reviews of Ineligibility Determinations and workshop placements. 29
  The Resolution of Applicant/Client Appeals 31
  Characteristics of Rehabilitated Clients 33
  Comparison of Economic Gains Achieved by Persons with Severe and Non-Severe Disabilities 42

Section 103(b) - Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility Prog.. 47
Section 112 - Client Assistance Program 50
Section 130 - American Indians Rehabilitation Services Projects 53

Title II

Sections 200-204 - National Institute of Disability and Rehabilitation Research 55
  Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers 56
  Rehabilitation Engineering Centers 57
  Field-Initiated Research 58
  Research and Demonstration Projects 58
  Mary E. Switzer Fellowships 59
  Research Utilization and Dissemination Projects 59
  Research Training and Career Development Grants 60
  Innovation Grants 60
  International Programs 61
  Spinal Cord Injury Programs 61
  Small Business Innovative Research Grants 62
  Interagency Agreements 62

Title III

Section 304 - Rehabilitation Training 64
Section 311 (a)(1) - Special Projects and Demonstrations for providing Vocational Rehabilitation Services to Individuals with Severe Handicaps 70

Supported Employment Services Projects 71
Supported Employment Technical Assistance 72
Section 312 - Migratory Workers 73
Section 316 - Special Recreation Programs 74

Title IV

Section 400 - The National Council on Disability 77

Title V

Section 501 - Employment of Handicapped Individuals 81
Section 502 - Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board 83
Section 503 - Employment Under Federal Contracts 89
Section 504 - Nondiscrimination Under Federal Grants and Programs 92
Section 507 - Interagency Coordinating Council 100

Title VI

Section 621 - Projects with Industry 102
Section 631 - Supported Employment Services 112

Title VII

Section 701 - Comprehensive Services for Independent Living 114
Section 711 - Centers for Independent Living 119
Section 721 - Independent Living Services for Older Blind Individuals 138
Section 731 - Protection and Advocacy 141

Executive Summary

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report to the President and to the Congress is required by Section 13 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (the Act). The report describes activities under the Act from October 1, 1990 through September 30, 1991. The report is organized following the titles and sections in the Act. The appendices contain data from various reports required in the Act and regulations. Summaries of the data in the appendices and their impact are included in the body of the report where appropriate.

This executive summary contains brief information about the programs reported on and some highlights of what will be found in the various sections of the report.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections 3 and 12
Office of the Commissioner

The Act makes the Commissioner of the Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) the principal Federal official responsible for administering the State-Federal vocational rehabilitation system, evaluating the programs funded under the Act, and monitoring discretionary grant programs and the State Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) agencies' execution of their responsibilities under the Act. RSA's central and ten regional offices provide technical assistance and leadership to assist States and other grantees in strengthening programs providing services to individuals with handicaps, especially individuals with severe handicaps.

Commissioner Nell C. Carney established five goals for RSA in 1991. These goals were set in place to implement the four priority areas which Commissioner Carney announced upon her appointment in 1989 -- (1) responsible implementation of the Rehabilitation Act (the Act), (2) policy reform, (3) strong internal management, and (4) a national constituent network -- as well as to focus on a new priority area to support the rights of individuals with disabilities as guaranteed under the Americans with Disabilities Act and related legislation. The five goals are:

- to promote and support a comprehensive and coordinated program of vocational rehabilitation and independent living services for individuals with disabilities which will lead to maximized employability, independence, and integration into the workplace and community;

- to develop and maintain a complete RSA policy system;

- to improve and strengthen internal management;
- to establish communication networks with consumers and service providers allowing their maximum input to the decision making process within RSA; and
- to promote rights, equal access, and equal opportunity for persons with disabilities to allow full integration into the workplace and community.

Section 12(a)(4)
American Rehabilitation Magazine

Publication of American Rehabilitation is an ongoing activity under this section of the Act. Three issues were published in FY 1991 reaching at least 15,000 readers. It has been estimated that upwards of 45,000 people read articles published in the magazine for training and other purposes. Twenty different topics were addressed in addition to the regular features in FY 1991

Section 14
Evaluation
Federal Funds $976,000

Section 14 of the Act mandates that the Commissioner evaluate all programs authorized by the Act, their effectiveness in relation to their cost, their impact on related programs, and their structure and mechanisms for delivery of services, using appropriate methodology and evaluative research design. It requires that standards be established and used for the evaluations and that the evaluations be conducted by persons not immediately involved in the administration of the program or project being evaluated.

Section 15
Office of Information and Resources for the Handicapped
(Clearinghouse on Disability Information)

The Clearinghouse on Disability Information responds to inquiries from individuals with disabilities, their families, agencies, information providers, and the general public. Major areas of emphasis are information on Federal funding, Federal legislation affecting persons with disabilities, and identification of other information resources. During FY 1991, the Clearinghouse responded to 4,886 written requests and 901 telephone inquiries.

TITLE I

Sections 100-111
The Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) Services Program
Federal Funds $1,628,543,000

The Act authorizes Federal allocations on a formula grant basis, with a State matching requirement. Except for the costs of constructing rehabilitation facilities, where the match is 50 percent, the State matching share is 20 percent of the amount allotted to the State in 1988. Beginning in FY 1989, any increased amount a State receives above its 1988 allotment, is matched at an additional 1 percent per year for five years.

Program emphasis in FY 1991 continued to be placed on the revision of the monitoring system for all formula and discretionary grantees; technical assistance to rehabilitation facilities; and the improvement of services to individuals with long-term mental illness and individuals with severe learning disabilities.

Section 103(b)(1) (See also 20 U.S.C. 107)
Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility Program
Federal Funds $26,700,000 (Section 110 funds)

The Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility program, funded through the State VR Services program, provides remunerative employment for individuals who are blind through the operation of vending facilities on Federal and other property. The Randolph-Sheppard Act provides priority for individuals, who are blind and licensed by a State VR agency, to operate vending facilities, including cafeterias, on Federal property. In FY 1991, 3513 blind vendors operated 3337 vending facilities. Vendors earnings in FY 1991 averaged $24,331.

Section 112
Client Assistance Program (CAP)
Federal Funds $8,310,000

CAP is a formula grant program that States participate in as a condition of receipt of funds for the VR Services program. The program advises clients and potential clients of, and assists them in obtaining, all available services under the Act.

PART D

Section 130
American Indian Vocational Rehabilitation Services
Federal Funds $4,082,000

In FY 1991, RSA funded three new projects and twelve continuation projects. RSA is supporting initiatives resulting from an evaluation of the American Indian Program conducted in

1987. Projects become part of the tribal human service delivery system and fill an unmet need to provide individualized rehabilitation services to American Indians with disabilities.

TITLE II

Section 200 - 204
The National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research
Federal Funds $58,924,000

The National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) provides leadership and support for a national and international program of rehabilitation research and the utilization of the knowledge gained through this program. In addition, the Director of the Institute chairs the Interagency Committee on Disability Research (ICDR), which is charged with coordinating rehabilitation research efforts across the Federal Government. NIDRR also administers model Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) programs funded under Section 311 of the Rehabilitation Act and Technology Assistance programs established by the Technology-Related Assistance for Individuals with Disabilities Act.

In fiscal year 1991, the NIDRR program budget was $58,924,000, plus $5,000,000 for SCI programs and $20,982,000 in Technology Assistance money. These funds supported:

39 Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers ($22,844,000),

19 Rehabilitation Engineering Centers ($11,015,000),

17 ADA-Related Projects ($4,850,000)

61 Field-Initiated Research Projects ($7,434,000),

27 Research and Demonstration Projects ($4,800,000),

15 Knowledge Dissemination and Utilization Programs ($3,217,000),

12 Innovation Grants ($600,000),

10 Research Training and Career Development grants ($1,661,000),

13 Mary E. Switzer Fellowships ($433,000),

16 Small Business Innovative Research grants ($900,000), and

Miscellaneous Expenditures ($1,170,000).

In addition, NIDRR administers programs funding:

13 Spinal Cord Injury projects ($5,000,000),

31 Technology-Related Assistance grants ($20,982,000).

TITLE III

Section 304(a)
Rehabilitation Training
Federal Funds $33,353,920

Under the Rehabilitation Training program, grants and contracts may be made to States and public or nonprofit agencies and organizations, including institutions of higher education, to pay part of the costs of projects for scholarship/training awards, traineeships, and related activities designed to assist in increasing the numbers of qualified personnel trained in providing services to individuals with handicaps.

In FY 1991, the 342 funded projects were required to provide training that focused on skills development directly relevant to the placement of individuals with severe disabilities in employment.

Section 311(a)(1)
Special Projects and Demonstrations for Providing Vocational Rehabilitation Services to Individuals with Severe Handicaps
Federal Funds $18,368,000

Section 311(a)(1) authorizes grants to demonstrate innovative approaches to the rehabilitation of individuals with severe handicaps, regardless of their age or the severity of their handicaps. In FY 1991, this program supported 92 projects.

Section 311(d)(1)(A)
Special Projects and Demonstrations for
Providing Supported Employment Services
Federal Funds $9,079,906

Under this authority, funding is provided for two supported employment initiatives that include Statewide Demonstration Projects and Community-Based Projects. In FY 1991, 17 new Statewide Demonstration Projects were awarded three-year grants to assist States in rehabilitation "system changes" from sheltered day and work activity programs to competitive work in integrated settings via supported employment. The term "systems changes" refers to collaborative efforts undertaken within a State to create supported employment options through cooperative relationships among various public and private agencies. In FY 1989 twelve Community-Based Projects were awarded to stimulate the development of innovative approaches for improving and expanding the provision of supported employment services to individuals with severe handicaps and to enhance local capacity to provide supported employment services. Individuals with traumatic brain injuries, severe physical disabilities and sensory impairments were among those placed in employment. The community-based projects received their final year of funding in FY 1991.

Section 311(d)(2)(A)
Special Projects and Demonstrations for Providing Supported Employment Technical Assistance
Federal Funds $943,094

These technical assistance projects assist State vocational rehabilitation agencies to develop and implement the Title VI, Part C State Supported Employment Services Program. The projects also provide technical assistance to service providers, employers, agencies and individuals who collaborate with State VR agencies in the provision of services to individuals with severe handicaps. Two cooperative agreements, funded for three years, were awarded in FY 1990. The two projects, The Employment Network Technical Assistance Project at the University of Oregon and the Supported Employment Technical Assistance Center at Virginia Commonwealth University sponsored a 1991 National Meeting on Supported Employment. The purpose of this meeting was to bring together supported employment project directors, national experts and consumers to discuss topical issues relating to future priorities and directions of the program. The primary objectives of the meeting were: to enhance the network of State and national leaders of supported employment; to facilitate the exchange of ideas and strategies for systems change across States; and to provide a forum for discussions about future implementation strategies of supported employment. The meeting was attended by participants from 40 States.

Section 312
Handicapped Migratory and Seasonal Farmworkers
Federal funds $1,086,000

This discretionary grant program provides vocational rehabilitation services for migratory agricultural and seasonal farmworkers. Project activities are coordinated with other Federal programs serving the same target population including those administered by the Department of Labor, the Department of Commerce, the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and certain other programs of the Department of Education. State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies conduct these projects. Eleven projects were awarded in FY 1991: five continuations and six new grants.

Section 316
Special Recreation Programs for Individuals with Handicaps
Federal Funds $2,617,000

Section 316 of the Act authorizes special service projects to initiate recreation programs for individuals with handicaps. Twenty-eight projects were funded in FY 1991 for a three-year period. This is the second three-year project period for this program. Prior to 1987, special recreation projects were funded for only one year. These special recreation programs offer persons with disabilities opportunities to develop new interests, specific skills, and the confidence to take risks in integrated settings that impact on all aspects of their quality of life. Many projects relate recreation to employability and seek to correlate data on successful recreational participation to clients' achievement of employment.

TITLE IV

Section 400
National Council on Disability
Federal Funds $1,475,000

The National Council on Disability is an independent Federal agency comprised of 15 members appointed by the President of the United States and confirmed by the Senate. The National Council has specific statutory duties, which include establishing general policies for the National Institute of Disability and Rehabilitation Research and providing advice to the Rehabilitation Services Administration.

TITLE V

Section 501
Employment of People with Disabilities in the Federal Government

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) has responsibility for enforcing the nondiscrimination and affirmative action provisions of laws and regulations concerning Federal employment of people with disabilities. During FY 1991 EEOC monitored Federal affirmative employment programs by combining its evaluation of employment programs for minorities, women, and people with disabilities. As part of EEOC's oversight responsibilities, EEOC staff also conducted combined onsite reviews of employment programs for minorities, women, and people with disabilities at several Federal agencies, both at their headquarters and selected field installations.

The Interagency Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities (ICEPD), has responsibility for co-operating with and assisting the EEOC in its efforts to ensure that Federal agencies in the Executive Branch are in compliance with Federal laws and regulations for the hiring, placement, and advancement of people with disabilities.

Section 502
Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board
Federal Funds $2,700,000

The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (ATBCB) is an independent Federal agency charged with ensuring that certain facilities designed, constructed, leased or altered with Federal funds since September 1969 are accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities.

The ATBCB has a governing board of 23 members. The President appoints 12 public members (six must be persons with disabilities) to three-year terms, and the other 11 are the heads (or designees) of the Departments of Defense, Education, Health and Human Services, Housing and Urban Development, Interior, Justice, Labor, Transportation, Veterans Affairs; the General Services Administration, and the U.S. Postal Service.

ATBCB's legislative mandate is to:

- ensure compliance with standards prescribed under the Architectural Barriers Act (Public Law 90-480);
- propose alternative solutions to barriers facing persons with disabilities in housing, transportation, communications, education, recreation, and attitudes;
- determine what Federal, State, and local governments and other public or private agencies and groups are doing to eliminate barriers;
- recommend to the President and the Congress legislation to eliminate barriers;
- establish minimum guidelines and requirements for standards issued under the Architectural Barriers Act;
- prepare plans for adequate transportation and housing for people with disabilities, including proposals to cooperate with other agencies, organizations, and individuals working toward such goals;
- develop standards and provide technical assistance to any entity affected by regulations issued under Title V of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973;
- provide technical assistance on the removal of barriers and answer other questions on architectural, transportation,

communication, and attitudinal barriers affecting persons with disabilities; and

- ensure that public conveyances, including rolling stock, are usable by persons with disabilities.

Section 503
Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

The Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) in the U.S. Department of Labor is responsible for implementing and enforcing Section 503 of the Act. Section 503 requires employers with Federal contracts in excess of $2,500 to take affirmative action to employ, and advance in employment, qualified individuals with disabilities and to make reasonable accommodations to their physical or mental limitations. Individuals with disabilities, or organizations or representatives on their behalf, may file complaints if they believe they have been discriminated against by Federal contractors or subcontractors.

Americans with Disabilities Act

OFCCP also functions as the agent of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) for complaints that are within the jurisdiction of both Section 503 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

The day-to-day enforcement activities, which protect the employment rights of individuals with disabilities, are carried out by the ten regional offices, located in the standard Federal regions, and the national Office.

Section 504
Nondiscrimination in Federally Assisted and Federally Conducted Programs and Activities

The Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice (DOJ) is responsible for the consistent and effective enforcement by Executive agencies of what are commonly referred to as the "cross-cutting" civil rights statutes, including section 504 of the Act. Executive Order 12250 charges the Attorney General with this responsibility, which has been delegated to the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights. Under Executive Order 12250, the Division undertakes a diverse array of regulatory and administrative initiatives. The Division reviews all proposed civil rights regulations for consistency, adequacy, and clarity, and assists Federal agencies in the development of appropriate regulations. The Division also issues interpretations of these regulations in individual administrative cases and provides guidance to the agencies on new civil rights issues. The Division annually reviews the civil rights implementation plans of each Federal agency as required by Section 1-403 of Executive Order

12250, and offers training and technical assistance to agencies to improve their civil rights enforcement procedures and programs. It also promotes interagency information sharing and cooperation through delegation agreements.

Section 507
Interagency Coordinating Council

The Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights serves as the chairperson of the Interagency Coordinating Council. The Council coordinates the enforcement of the provisions of Title V. Eight Federal agencies with major enforcement or coordination functions regarding the provision of Title V are represented on the Council.

During FY 1990 the Council met three times to consider issues regarding the availability of government records in accessible formats for use by persons with disabilities; the Television Decoder Circuitry Act; and the Americans with Disabilities Act.

TITLE VI

Part B

Section 621
Projects With Industry (PWI)
Federal Funds $19,445,000

PWI is a partnership between business, industry, labor, and the rehabilitation community. The primary goal of this discretionary grant program is to expand job opportunities for individuals with handicaps in the competitive labor market. In FY 1991 13 continuation projects were funded, and 100 new projects were awarded.

Part C

Section 631
Supported Employment Program
Federal Funds $29,150,000

This formula grant program provides State VR agencies with financial assistance to develop and implement collaborative programs with appropriate public and private nonprofit organizations leading to supported employment for individuals with severe handicaps. As a condition for receipt of VR Services program funds, State VR agencies must assure that the State has an acceptable plan to provide supported employment services.

TITLE VII

Part A

Section 701
The State Comprehensive Services for Independent Living program
Federal Funds $13,619,000

This program provides formula grant allotments to assist State agencies in providing comprehensive independent living services to enable individuals with severe disabilities whose disabilities are so severe that they do not presently have the potential for employment to live and function more independently. Priority of services is given to individuals not served by other provisions of the Rehabilitation Act.

Part B

Section 711
Centers for Independent Living
Federal Funds $27,579,000

The Centers for Independent Living program provide grants for nonresidential, community-based centers to provide services that enable persons with severe disabilities to live more independently in the family or community or to secure and maintain employment with the maximum degree of self direction. In FY 1991, 97 grants were funded for the operation of 202 centers, including 10 branches or satellites.

Part C

Section 721
Independent Living Services for Older Blind Individuals
Federal Funds $5,914,000

This program authorizes discretionary grants to State VR agencies to provide independent living services for individuals who are aged 55 and older whose severe visual impairments make gainful employment extremely difficult to obtain, but for whom independent living goals are feasible to help them adjust to their disability and to live more independently in the home and community.

PART D

Section 731
Protection and Advocacy of Individual Rights
Federal Funds $976,000

This program provides discretionary grants to States, through their governors, to establish systems for the protection and advocacy of individuals with severe disabilities who are receiving services under Title VII of the Act. Projects serve individuals who are not eligible for services provided by existing protection and advocacy or ombudsman programs or whose requests for services cannot be addressed by client assistance programs funded under section 112 of the Act.

Funding for this program was received for the first time in FY 1991. Eleven grants were awarded to State agencies designated by their governors to conduct the protection and advocacy systems.

General Provisions

Sections 3 and 12

Office of the Commissioner

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of the Commissioner

Sections 3 and 12
Office of the Commissioner

Commissioner Nell C. Carney continued her attention to the four priority areas that she announced upon her appointment in 1989. These four areas -- (1) responsible implementation of the Act, (2) policy reform, (3) strong internal management, and (4) building nationwide constituency relations -- as well as a new priority focusing on ensuring the rights of individuals with disabilities, were translated into five goals for RSA during 1991. The five goals were to:

- promote and support a comprehensive and coordinated program of vocational rehabilitation (VR) and independent living services for individuals with disabilities which will lead to maximized employability, independence, and integration into the workplace and community;
- develop and maintain a complete RSA policy system;
- improve and strengthen internal management;
- establish communication networks with consumers and service providers allowing their maximum input to the decision making process within RSA; and
- promote rights, equal access, and equal opportunity for persons with disabilities to allow full integration into the workplace and community.

COMPREHENSIVE/COORDINATED PROGRAM OF VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AND INDEPENDENT LIVING SERVICES

Under this goal RSA continued to encourage innovative placement programs and disseminate information on exemplary practices which will impact competitive employment opportunities for individuals with severe disabilities. Another objective addressed the personnel shortages in the field of rehabilitation by promoting quality rehabilitation education programs and careers in rehabilitation.

RSA continued to provide technical assistance to State VR agencies, rehabilitation facilities and community-based programs to meet the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act and implementing the various regulations and policies. In addition, efforts were undertaken to assure and enhance the integrity of the service delivery systems through the maintenance and improvement of monitoring, technical assistance, and accountability systems. To support these efforts, RSA developed and implemented a long-range strategic evaluation plan.

A major effort was initiated under this goal to increase the delivery of services to underserved and unserved populations such as members of minority groups, rural residents, and various disability groups including individuals with learning disabilities, long-term mental illness, HIV, and drug abuse. RSA also made efforts to assure the application of rehabilitation technology at all levels of services provision and management.

DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN A COMPLETE RSA POLICY SYSTEM

During 1991 RSA made great strides to operationalize its new policy system that was implemented the previous year. Sixteen new chapters of the RSA Manual were issued, consolidating relevant policies in specific topic areas. Various constituent groups were involved in the development and review of these manual chapters.

RSA was actively involved in gathering and analyzing information which is relevant to the reauthorization of the Act. Efforts continued to revise program regulations for both the Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) Services Program and the Title VI, Part C, State Supported Employment Program.

TO IMPROVE AND STRENGTHEN INTERNAL MANAGEMENT

RSA continued to improve and strengthen its internal management by developing a Strategic Management Plan for Fiscal Years 1991-1995, continuing its implementation of an Annual Operational Plan and by developing and implementing information resource management activities to improve analytical and assessment capacity, increase productivity, and reduce paperwork.

As RSA has made a commitment to implement an overall human resource development approach to management, efforts were undertaken to assure maximum utilization of human resources with efficient staffing, training, performance appraisal, reward systems, and other sound personnel practices.

In order to be responsive to the needs of the field of rehabilitation, RSA has moved to establish and utilize an information and knowledge dissemination system which focuses on information collected through monitoring and other field contacts.

RSA began its implementation of a five-year Strategic Management Plan (SMP) in Fiscal Year 1991. The framework for the Strategic Management Plan is an agency mission statement and organizational values statements.

The SMP includes various strategies for accomplishing the agency's five goals (see page 13 for description). As strategies become due for implementation, they are incorporated into an Annual Operational Plan as objectives, subobjectives or activities. The agency's Operational Plan entailed twenty-three objectives, involving all levels of the organization. Many of the RSA accomplishments described in this report were monitored through this planning system.

ESTABLISH COMMUNICATION NETWORKS WITH CONSUMERS AND SERVICE PROVIDERS

Commissioner Nell Carney continued her commitment to include consumers, service providers and employers in the decision-making process within RSA. She continued to hold routine constituent forums to hear concerns and input from a variety of constituent groups. In addition, three private-sector meetings were held across the country to provide a forum for employers and representatives of business, industry, trade associations, and unions to discuss hiring individuals with disabilities.

Commissioner Carney also continued her efforts in 1991 to provide leadership for the Federal-State program of vocational rehabilitation through public speaking and other public relations activities.

TO PROMOTE RIGHTS, EQUAL ACCESS, AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

RSA continued its activities to promote and assist in the implementation of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) through participation on an interagency subcommittee to coordinate technical assistance activities on this new law. RSA established a network of regional representatives and also coordinated with the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) in its efforts. RSA worked with both the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Department of Justice to disseminate information on ADA to the field of rehabilitation.

In order to ensure that the tenets of the ADA and equal rights for individuals with disabilities are well ingrained within the VR system, RSA awarded a short-term training grant to Southern Illinois University to train rehabilitation professionals and pre-service educators on the provisions of the ADA. This training will be conducted throughout the country during 1992.

Section 12(a)(4)

American Rehabilitation Magazine

Section 12(a)(4)
American Rehabilitation Magazine

American Rehabilitation (AR), and its predecessor, Rehabilitation Record, has been published since 1960 to disseminate information and relevant material for the benefit of rehabilitation administrators, supervisors and counselors; physiatrist; rehabilitation engineers; and a variety of professionals in education, rehabilitation and medicine throughout the world.

The magazine disseminates information on rehabilitation and independent living programs funded by RSA and other Federal agencies, as well as any material relevant to the performance of professional duties related to RSA interests. Therefore, any topic related to rehabilitation and independent living, such as innovative programs, agency administrative practices, research, and technique, is considered. Recently, entire issues have been devoted to one area of disability or to a specific approach to rehabilitation. The ideal article describes a new and successful approach to providing services for people with disabilities that can and should be replicated. AR also uses separate sections, or "departments," of the magazine to feature reviews of books, films, and other resources on disability and rehabilitation; to present materials on what individual States are doing; to announce conferences and events; and to disseminate other items of interest to rehabilitation professionals.

In FY 1991, 20 articles, written by professionals in the field of rehabilitation, were published. Article topics included rehabilitation dentistry, integrated employment, Aging Americans, Supplemental Security Income, labor union participation, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) program and the National Institute for the Severely Handicapped (NISH), psychiatric rehabilitation, mutual help groups, and others.

The Autumn issue offered articles on "70 Years of Hope, 70 Years of Success: the state-federal vocational rehabilitation program," "A Research Based Innovative Placement Program," "Organizational Commitment: The Key to Successful Implementation of Disability Management," "The Role of Rehabilitation Dentistry," and "Mutual Help Groups and the Rehabilitation Process."

The Winter issue, which began a series of special issues focusing on a single theme or aspect of disability, was devoted to the Americans with Disabilities Act. Articles included: "The Americans with Disabilities Act: Civil Rights for an Emerging Minority," "Competitive Employment Strategies in the Era of ADA," "The Texas Rehabilitation Response to ADA," "What ADA Has Meant and What it Can Mean for People with Mental Retardation," "ADA and Employment Accommodations: What Now?" "ADA + Ability + Accommodations = Access to Employment," "Reflections on the

Struggle for ADA and the Task Ahead," and "Psychiatric Rehabilitation: a book review,"

The Spring issue included articles on " Integrating Qualified Workers with Disabilities into the Work Force," "Comparing Employment-Related Outcomes of the Vocational Rehabilitation Program," "Vocational Rehabilitation Outcome Measures: the probability of employment and the duration periods of employment," "The JWOD Program and NISH: making America strong by employing people with severe disabilities," "Supplemental Security Income: benefits and incentive provisions to assist people with severe disabilities toward economic self-sufficiency," "Aging America: implications and impact on vocational rehabilitation," and "Enlisting Labor Union Participation to Insure Expanded Employment Options."

Due to a number of circumstances -- such as a complete changeover to electronic publishing and a commitment to further improve the quality of the magazine -- the Summer issue was not produced.

Subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; yearly rates are $5 domestic, $6.25 foreign; single copies are $1.75 domestic, $2.18 foreign.

Authors interested in submitting their manuscripts to AR should contact: Frank Romano, Editor, Room 3014 Switzer Building, 330 C Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202-2531.
Telephone (202) 205-8296

Section 14

Evaluation

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Planning Policy and Evaluation Staff

Section 14
Evaluation
Federal Funds $976,000

Section 14 of the Act mandates that the Commissioner of RSA evaluate all programs authorized by the Act, their effectiveness in relation to their cost, their impact on related programs, and their structure and mechanisms for delivery of services, using appropriate methodology and evaluative research design. It requires that standards be established and used for the evaluations, and that the evaluations be conducted by persons not immediately involved in the administration of the program or project evaluated.

Projects continued into FY 1991 from prior year

- Evaluation of Vocational Assessment Procedures and the IWRP Process used by State VR Agencies - Completed in March, 1992. The purposes of this study are: (1) to examine, analyze, and evaluate client assessment policies and practices used by State VR agencies throughout the rehabilitation process; (2) to assess policies and practices used by State VR agencies involved in developing, implementing, and modifying client IWRP's; (3) to determine the extent to which, and ways in which client diagnostic and assessment data are actually used in making key decisions (e.g. eligibility); (4) to identify effective assessment and IWRP practices in use in State VR agencies and the outcomes associated with these exemplary practices; and (5) to make recommendations to RSA about options for addressing problems encountered and for fostering more widespread use of exemplary vocational assessment and IWRP related policies and practices identified by this study.

Contact person: Suzanne Choisser (202) 205-8937

- Evaluation of Youth Transition from School to Work - Expected completion September, 1992. The RSA substudy to this five-year longitudinal study conducted by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) is of those youths who are referred to VR by the schools or who otherwise become participants in the VR program following completion of secondary school. Patterns of referral and service in the schools and in rehabilitation, and the results of rehabilitation are being evaluated. Recommendations for improvement of linkages between the schools and VR and for improvement of service patterns for youths with disabilities are expected.

Contact person: Francoise C. Hall (202) 205-8938

- Evaluation of Quality Assurance Systems in State Vocational Rehabilitation Agencies - Expected completion September 1992. The purposes of this procurement are:

  (1) to describe the nature and scope of quality assurance (QA) systems, subsystems or subsystem elements existing in State VR agencies, particularly as the three essential elements of QA (monitoring, standards, and corrective mechanisms) pertain to the four principal phases of the VR process: Eligibility Determination; Development of Individualized Written Rehabilitation Plan (IWRP); Provision of Services; and Closure;

  (2) utilizing specified criteria, to nominate exemplary QA systems, subsystems or subsystems elements, as they relate to: (a) quality of performance measures; (b) appropriateness of standards; and (c) effectiveness of corrective mechanisms; and

  (3) to develop a manual that provides guidance: (a) to State VR agencies for evaluating their QA systems or developing new QA systems; and (b) to RSA for evaluating State VR agency QA systems and subsystems, especially those QA subsystems focusing on case reviews to see if those reviews at least meet Federal requirements and providing technical assistance to State VR agencies on matters concerning quality assurance systems.

Contact person: Suzanne Choisser (202) 205-8937

- Evaluation of Procedures to Recruit and Retain Qualified Field Service Delivery Personnel in the State-Federal Rehabilitation Program - Expected completion September, 1992. The purposes of this study are:

  (1) to identify factors that facilitate or impede the recruitment and retention of qualified field staff services delivery personnel by State VR agencies, including the contribution of post-employment training;

  (2) to document cases of exemplary practices used by State VR agencies to recruit and retain qualified service delivery personnel;

(3) to identify the level of information that selected pre-service training programs have on their graduates, including whether graduates are going to work in State VR agencies, and identifying the representation of individuals with disabilities or minority groups in the pre-service training program student population; and

(4) to identify factors that encourage employment of individuals with disabilities and persons from minority groups in field service delivery positions in State VR agencies.

Contact person: Francoise C. Hall (202) 205-8938

o Traumatic Brain Injury Best Practice Study - Expected completion September, 1992. The purposes of this study are:

(1) to identify (a) the vocational rehabilitation and related service needs of persons with TBI and, (b) the current practices that the VR system has implemented to address these needs;

(2) to assess the strengths and weaknesses of current VR system practices in serving persons with TBI; and

(3) to identify effective practices that RSA might consider for persons with TBI.

Contact person: Francoise C. Hall (202) 205-8938

Projects Started in FY 1991

o An Assessment of Client Information Systems - Expected completion May, 1993. The purpose of this study is to document and provide a balanced assessment of potential improvements to RSA's R300/R911 client information system including:

(1) data elements obtained from the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) completed study: "Enhanced Understanding of the Economics of Disability";

(2) data elements obtained from the Ohio Rehabilitation Services Commission (RSC), that exist in a database accompanying the publication, "Excellence Through Information Management, a Decision Support System";

(3) earnings data from the Social Security Data Link and R911/SSA Interface;

(4) the Client Employability Index (CEI) and the Economic Environment Index (EEI) that were developed in the RSA Program Performance Indicators project; and

(5) data enhancements in four State VR agencies

Contact person: Francoise C. Hall (202) 205-8938
Suzanne Choisser (202) 205-8937

Section 15

Information Clearinghouse

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

Section 15

Office of Information and Resources for the Handicapped
(Clearinghouse on Disability Information)

The Clearinghouse on Disability Information responds to inquiries from individuals with disabilities, their families, agencies, information providers, and the general public. Major areas of emphasis are information on Federal funding, Federal legislation affecting persons with disabilities, and identification of other information resources.

ACTIVITIES

During FY 1991, the Clearinghouse responded to 4,886 written requests and 901 telephone inquiries and issued the following publications or fact sheets.

- OSERS News in Print (three issues published and distributed to 22,000)
- Pocket Guide to Federal Help for Individuals with Disabilities
- Summary of Existing Legislation Affecting Persons with Disabilities
- ED Facts: Office of Special Education and Rehabilitation Services
- Clearinghouse on Disability Information
- InfoPac (employment guide for people with disabilities)

Contact Person: Carolyn Corlett, (202) 205-8241 (Voice and TDD)

Title I

Sections 100 - 111

Vocational Rehabilitation Services Program

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Program Operations

Sections 100 - 111
The Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) Services Program Federal Funds
$1,628,543,000

Title I of the Act authorizes grants to the States to conduct comprehensive VR programs that meet the "needs of individuals with handicaps so that such individuals may prepare for and engage in gainful employment to the extent of their capabilities."

The Act requires each administering State agency to submit a State plan every three years. This plan, which must be approved before the State agency can receive Federal funds, expresses the State's commitment to the requirements of the Act and lays out its program. It also serves as the major reference point for RSA in monitoring performance, as measured against the setting and achievement of client goals, program operations, and delivery of services. Eighty-two State agencies administer VR Services programs in the 50 States, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, Republic of Palau, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianna Islands. In 25 States, a general VR agency provides services to persons with any disability. Approximately one-half of the State VR agencies are located in multi-program agencies, for instance, Departments of Human Resources. About on-fourth of the States place their VR agency in Departments of Education. The remaining State VR agencies are independent agencies responsible to the Office of the Governor.

ALLOCATIONS OF FEDERAL FUNDS

The Act authorizes Federal allocations on a formula basis with a State fund matching requirement. The State matching share is 20 percent of the amount allotted to the State in 1988. Beginning in FY 1989, any increased amount a State receives above its 1988 allotment is matched at an additional one percent per year for five years.

VR SERVICES PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Program emphasis continued to be placed on providing services to individuals with the most severe handicaps. New and continuing efforts by RSA were conducted to help State agencies improve management and programmatic efforts in several areas.

Monitoring

Under the authority of Section 12(A) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, RSA is responsible for providing monitoring in order to carry out the purposes of the Act. Program accountability is assured through the systematic monitoring of compliance to the Federal regulations using standardized instruments, resulting in technical assistance and corrective action. The formula grant monitoring system has been significantly revised during the past year in order to develop a uniform approach and to permit development of national data base useful to management. RSA has employed additional staff in order to strengthen its oversight of the formula grant programs.

Monitoring activities are conducted by the ten regional offices, located throughout the country, and the central office located in Washington. The major areas monitored on-site in the formula grant programs are: State Plan Assurances, casework documentation, eligibility, Client Assistance Program operations, audit follow-up, and internal controls for fiscal management.

During FY 1991 RSA accomplished the following:

- issued Commissioner's Memorandum CM-91-29 requiring that all Title I formula grant programs to be monitored against all compliance requirements at least once every three years, and recommending reviews of Title VI-C, VII-A and Client Assistance Programs;
- distributed the RSA Case Review System (CRS) protocol to accompany the CRS review instruments to assure that casework documentation compliance reviews under Titles I, VI-C, and VII-A are conducted by RSA in a fair and uniform manner;
- implemented the Client Assistance Program (CAP) review to monitor compliance with Governor's Assurances submitted to receive funds under Section 112 of the Act;
- issued 111 reports to State agencies that summarize the significant findings of monitoring reviews and indicate regional office plans for follow-up, including: 56 partial or complete State Plan Assurance Reviews (SPAR) and 42 case reviews using the CRS of Title I, Title VI-C and Title VII-A formula grant programs, and 13 CAP reviews;
- implemented the Audit Data System to collect the results of audits of formula grant programs concluding

in the issuance of a Program Determination Letter (PDL); and

- conducted national monitoring/technical assistance workshop for RSA regional office monitoring liaisons in monitoring "Order of Selection", techniques for conducting the CRS and skills training in operating monitoring data systems.

Computerized data systems for the SPAR, CAP Review, CRS and Audits were more widely used during FY 1991. Data is aggregated and analyzed at the regional and national levels and is used to target resources to areas of identified deficiencies as well as long-term planning for monitoring, technical assistance, special populations, training, and evaluation priorities. The first Formula Grant Programs Annual Monitoring Report based upon FY 1991 monitoring data was developed for the Commissioner.

Contact Person: David Ziskind, (202) 205-5474.

CASELOAD TRENDS THROUGH FISCAL YEAR 1991

I - SUMMARY

Fiscal Year 1991 was a year in which sharply contrasting caseload trends occurred. State VR agencies provided services to increased numbers of persons with disabilities for the fourth year in a row and saw the total workload of applicants and clients expand for the seventh consecutive year, yet experienced a decline in the number of individuals successfully rehabilitated to levels last experienced nearly a quarter of a century ago. At the same time, the number of cases in the rehabilitation process on the last day of the fiscal year reached the highest level in a decade, although the intake of new cases, whether as applicants or clients accepted for services, declined for the second year in a row.

The caseload picture among persons with a severe disability was also mixed in FY 1991. There was a significant increase in the numbers served, a slight decline in the number newly accepted for services, and a large decline in the number of successful rehabilitations in comparison to FY 1990. However, record percentages were set in FY 1991 of persons with severe disabilities among the total clientele served by State agencies. They now comprise nearly seventy percent of all persons served, rehabilitated, accepted for services, and in receipt of services on September 30, 1991.

Key caseload highlights are described more fully in the paragraphs below containing references to the 13 historical tables which follow this textual summary.

II. REHABILITATIONS

All Persons Rehabilitated

State agencies rehabilitated 202,831 persons in FY 1991, a 6.1 percent decrease from the number rehabilitated in FY 1990 (216,112). This marked the fifth year in the last six of a declining trend and represented the fewest number of persons rehabilitated since FY 1967, when rehabilitations were last under 200,000.

The decrease from FY 1990 was nearly 13,300 persons. Most of this decrease is explained by a decline in the rehabilitation rate, discussed more fully in the paragraph below, showing that relatively fewer of the case closures were deemed to have been fully successful. (Tables 1 and 3)

The Rehabilitation Rate

The rehabilitation rate -- defined as the percent of cases closed from the active statuses that represent successful rehabilitations -- fell to 59.9 percent in FY 1991 from 62.2 percent in the previous year. This rate was calculated by dividing the number of rehabilitations (202,831) by the sum of rehabilitations and non-rehabilitations (338,878) and multiplying the result by 100. For many years, the rehabilitation or "success" rate had fluctuated narrowly in the range of about 62 to 65 percent. The sub-60 percent rate in FY 1991 represented the first time since the decade of the 1940's when the rehabilitation rate was as low. (Table 3)

Severely Disabled Persons Rehabilitated

Successful rehabilitations among persons with severe disabilities numbered 139,794 in FY 1991, 4.4 percent less than in FY 1990 when 146,241 persons with severe disabilities were rehabilitated. Despite the decline, caseloads of persons with severe disabilities continued to increase in FY 1991. Severely disabled persons in receipt of rehabilitation services on September 30, 1991 totaled 417,807, or 3.8 percent more than on the same date one year earlier (402,620). (Tables 9 and 10)

Overall, more than two-thirds of all persons rehabilitated in FY 1991 were severely disabled (68.9 percent), the highest percentage observed in the 18-year history of this statistical series. (Table 10)

The Rehabilitation Rate for Persons with Severe Disabilities

Persons with severe disabilities rehabilitated in FY 1991 accounted for 59.2 percent of all such individuals whose cases were closed from the active statuses whether rehabilitated or not. This represented a decline from the 61.6 percent rate that occurred in the previous fiscal year. Concurrently, the rehabilitation rate among individuals classified as not being severely disabled was 61.4 percent in FY 1991 compared to 63.6 percent in FY 1990. Seen over a five-year perspective, the rehabilitation rates for persons with severe disabilities have declined by less than three percentage points while those for persons without severe disabilities by about five percentage points. (Table 11)

III. PERSONS SERVED

All Persons Served

State agencies served 941,771 persons in FY 1991, a 0.4 percent increase from the 937,971 persons served one year earlier. This was the fourth consecutive increase in persons served -- defined as the number of persons accepted for VR services whose cases were open at some time during the year -- after 12 years in a row of steady decreases. Persons served in FY 1991 represented the largest total in nine years. The FY 1991 increase resulted from a gain in the number of persons with severe disabilities served which exceeded the loss in the number served who did not have a severe disability. (Tables 1, 2, and 12)

The largest segment of persons served was represented by those still in receipt of services on September 30, 1991, or 602,893 persons (64.0 percent of all persons served). This total was 2.1 percent more than on the same date one year earlier and was the largest number of cases carried over to a succeeding year in ten years. (Tables 6 and 8)

Persons with Severe Disabilities Served

Persons with severe disabilities in receipt of VR services at some time during FY 1991 totaled 654,038, or 2.2 percent more than the 640,163 persons served in FY 1990. This was the third year in a row that a record number of persons with severe disabilities served was attained. The increase in the last five years has been substantial, exceeding 73,000 persons. In the same interval, some 55,000 fewer persons with non-severely disabilities were served. The latter group declined by 3.4 percent in FY 1991 to 287,733, the lowest such total recorded. (Table 12)

The proportion of all persons served who were severely disabled reached its highest level ever in FY 1991, 69.4 percent.

There has never been a year in which this percentage declined. (Table 12)

IV. NEW ACCEPTANCES

All Persons Accepted for Services

State agencies accepted 351,916 persons for VR services in FY 1991, a decrease of 1.6 percent from the 357,560 acceptances in FY 1990. Although this was the second consecutive decline, the total represented the average intake of newly eligible cases observed in the last nine years. In that span of time there has been little variation from the yearly level of 350,000 new acceptances. (Table 4)

The Acceptance Rate

While the number of individuals accepted for services in FY 1991 declined by 1.6 percent to 351,916, the number whose cases were closed as not accepted remained at nearly the same level as in FY 1990, or 266,948. Those accepted for services decreased to 56.9 percent of all individuals whose eligibility for VR services was determined compared to 57.2 percent in FY 1990. This percentage is referred to as the acceptance rate and has held steady in thirteen of the last 15 years, at approximately 57 or 58 percent. (Table 4)

Persons with Severe Disabilities Accepted for Services

State agencies accepted 245,505 persons with a severe disability for services in FY 1991, a loss of 0.7 percent from 247,126 in the year before, after four years of increases. FY 1991, nevertheless, represented the second highest number of persons with severe disabilities accepted for rehabilitation in any year. The lowest number of acceptances of persons with severe disabilities was set in FY 1982 at 200,600. (Table 13)

The number of persons with non-severe disabilities accepted for services declined by 3.6 percent in FY 1991 to 106,411 from 110,434 in FY 1990. When this statistical series began in FY 1976, nearly a quarter of a million new acceptances were of persons who did not have a severe disability. The proportion of all new acceptances in FY 1991 accounted for by persons with severe disabilities, reached 69.8 percent, another record level. (Table 13)

V. NEW APPLICANTS

The number of individuals applying for vocational rehabilitation services in FY 1991 was 620,943, a 0.6 percent decline from the number applying in FY 1990 (624,902), the second decrease in as many years. Concurrently, there was a increase of

1.8 percent in the backlog of applications awaiting determination of eligibility to 275,548 on September 30, 1991 from 270,695 on September 30, 1990. This was the largest number of applicants awaiting the determination of their eligibility for VR services on the last day of a fiscal year in eleven years. (Tables 5 and 6)

VI. TOTAL AGENCY WORKLOAD

The total agency workload of cases, made up of both applicants and clients in all stages of the rehabilitation process, edged upward for the seventh year in a row, this time to 1,500,692, or 0.4 percent more than in FY 1990. This was the highest workload total since FY 1981.

Total workload is the sum of all case closures during a fiscal year, regardless of the type of closure, and the number of cases in various stages of the rehabilitation process on September 30, the last day of the fiscal year. The FY 1991 experience shows that the workload increase from the previous year was entirely a function of an increase in the number of cases open on September 30, 1991. The total of such cases throughout the entire rehabilitation process was 894,866, about 14,600 more than on September 30, 1990 while the number of closures in FY 1991 (605,826) was approximately 8,700 less than in the prior year. The decline in the number of closures was accounted for by the decrease in persons rehabilitated. (Tables 2 and 6)

POST-EMPLOYMENT SERVICES AND ANNUAL REVIEWS OF INELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS AND WORKSHOP PLACEMENTS, FY 1981 TO 1991

The report on Post-Employment Services and Annual Reviews (Form RSA-62) submitted each year by State VR agencies contains statistical information on three separate activities conducted under Title I of the Act. These activities are (a) the delivery of post-employment services to previously rehabilitated persons; (b) the conduct of ineligibility determination reviews; and (c) the conduct of reviews of placements into extended employment, especially sheltered workshops. A decade-long summary of activity in these three areas at the national level is presented below and in four tables in Appendix E. (New Mexico-General has not yet filed its FY 1991 report. Therefore, its FY 1990 figures were used as substitutes in this report).

Post-employment services (Appendix E, Tables 1 and 2)

Section 103(a)(2) of the Act identifies the many types of VR services which can be provided to individuals with handicaps. Included among these services are post-employment services necessary to assist previously rehabilitated clients to maintain or regain employment. State agencies can provide these relatively minor services, such as medical treatment and transportation assistance, without having to re-open the recipient's case.

The number of rehabilitated persons receiving post-employment services (PES) increased steadily from FY 1983 through FY 1990, but has remained virtually without any change during 1990-91. It is too soon to say whether the 1990-91 trend of stability is going to continue. Immediately prior to FY 1983, the number declined drastically, after a seemingly steady trend of increase through FY 1981. Of those who received PES in FY 1991 (19,404), nearly 83 percent were severely disabled. The primary goal of post-employment services, maintaining or regaining employment, was met by a little over three-fourths of all individuals for whom the services were completed or terminated (in FY 1991, a total of 10,019 cases were terminated of whom 8,279 were severely disabled).

The utilization rate, or the number of persons receiving post-employment services as a percent of the number rehabilitated in the previous fiscal year, has increased, maintaining the trend noted since FY 1982. Individuals receiving post-employment services in FY 1991 (19,404) were 9.0 percent of all individuals rehabilitated in FY 1990 (216,112). The utilization rate was 11.0 percent for individuals with severe disabilities and only 4.7 percent for those with non-severe disabilities. By type of State agency, the rate was 23.9 percent for agencies for the blind and 8.3 percent for general/combined agencies.

Review of ineligibility determinations (Appendix E, Table 3)

State agencies are required by Section 101(a)(9)(c) of the Act to review each determination of ineligibility no later than 12 months following such determination. The review makes it possible for some individuals to be accepted for rehabilitation services after previously being declared ineligible for such services. This provision in law was designed to be of particular assistance to persons with severe handicaps, to afford them a "second chance" to receive services.

There were 23,551 reviews of ineligibility determinations conducted during FY 1991, a 17 percent decline from FY 1990 but well below the totals experienced in the early 1980's. Despite the change in total reviews, however, the results of the reviews have changed very little in the last decade.

Only 2.2 percent of the individuals whose reviews were completed in FY 1991 were accepted for services; another 3.1 percent were, once again, not accepted for services; and 2.5 percent had re-entered the rehabilitation process, but their eligibility for services had not yet been determined. The overwhelming majority, 92.2 percent, of the reviews completed required no further consideration from the State agency.

Reviews of Extended Employment in Rehabilitation Facilities (Including Workshops) (APPENDIX E, TABLE 4)

Section 101(a)(16) of the Act requires State agencies to conduct periodic reviews and reevaluations of the status of rehabilitated persons placed into extended employment in rehabilitation facilities (including sheltered workshops) to determine the feasibility of their employment in the competitive labor market.

There were 40,227 reviews of non-competitive employment placement conducted by State VR agencies in FY 1991, a 9 percent decrease from FY 1990. Of the 38,007 reviews/reevaluations completed in FY 1991, 6.0 percent resulted in placements into competitive or self-employment, the highest rate of success in this regard during the last decade. Over four out of five individuals, or 82.6 percent, had to be maintained in non-competitive employment. Most of the remaining individuals were not available for a review (8.8 percent) while a few (3.3 percent) had re-entered the VR process.

THE RESOLUTION OF APPLICANT/CLIENT APPEALS

Section 102(d) of the Act outlines the procedures to be followed when applicants to and clients of State VR agencies wish to appeal the decisions made by rehabilitation counselors and coordinators. Such appeals are first reviewed by an impartial hearing officer (IHO) who must resolve these matters. Decisions of the impartial hearing officer may then be reviewed by the State Director if he or she chooses to do so. (In some States a Fair Hearing Board replaces the State Director.)

Subsections 5(A) and (B) of Section 102(d) specify the requirements for and the type of data State agencies must collect and report annually to the Commissioner on applicant/client appeals. This information is transmitted to RSA using Form RSA-722 (Resolution of Applicant/Client Appeals). The tables and charts presented in the appendix and the statistical summary that follows encompass data reported by the 54 VR agencies that had activity involving appeals during FY 1991. The remaining 29 agencies reported no activity involving appeals for this period.

Impartial hearing officers handled a total of 875 appeals during FY 1991. The majority (83.7 percent) of these cases were resolved in this period. Among the 732 appeals resolved, 312 or 42.6 percent, were withdrawn before the IHO could render a decision, 41.4 percent were resolved in favor of the State agency and 16.0 percent were decisions favoring the individual.

The top two complaints specified for appeals handled by the IHO during FY 1991 involved eligibility for services. Most of the appeals occurred because the applicant did not agree with the initial determination made in reference to his/her eligibility for vocational rehabilitation or extended evaluation (EE) services. This complaint was reported by 23.7 percent of the individuals who filed appeals. Clients who needed further services but were determined ineligible to continue those services accounted for 14.9 percent and the nature and contents of the individualized written rehabilitation program (IWRP) was an issue for 13.9 percent of the appeals handled. Complaints/issues either not reported or not specified (all other) represented 21.5 percent of the total available.

A total of 367 IHO decisions were made available for review by the State Director (or Fair Hearing Board in some instances) in FY 1991. Most of these (94.3 percent) were concluded during the year. Nearly half (48.1 percent) of those concluded were not reviewed by the State Director or Fair Hearing Board, 49.1 percent were reviewed and 2.6 percent were concluded before the director made a decision. Of the 170 decisions reviewed 113, or two-thirds, were decisions favoring the State agency which were sustained.

Eligibility for VR or EE services was the most common issue identified for IHO decisions handled by the State Director (25.1 percent registered this complaint). This was followed by eligibility for further services (14.4 percent) and cost of case services (14.2 percent). One out of every five IHO decisions handled by the State Director had complaints which were either unspecified (all other) or not reported on the reporting instrument.

By law, State Client Assistance Program (CAP) agencies can provide assistance to clients and client applicants with the formal appeals process. Of the total 875 appeals processed during FY 1991, 270 (or 30.9 percent) were filed with assistance from the CAP agency. The greatest amount of CAP involvement was reported for IHO decisions made favoring the individual where 41.9 percent were resolved with CAP assistance. Slightly less than a third (30.4 percent) of the decisions favoring the State agency were resolved with help from the CAP agency and 34.0 percent of the appeals resolved before an IHO decision was rendered were assisted by CAP.

More than one-third (36.5 percent) of the total 367 impartial hearing officer decisions handled by State Directors during this year received assistance from CAP. Overall, 44.7 percent of the decisions reviewed received assistance from CAP agencies. The proportion of CAP assistance among the different outcomes for cases concluded ranged from 11.1 percent for IHO decisions concluded before the director made a decision to 60.0 percent for decisions favoring the individual which were reversed.

CHARACTERISTICS OF REHABILITATED CLIENTS

Introduction

The Commissioner of the Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) is required by Section 13 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, to prepare a report which includes specific personal and program-related data on the individuals whose cases are closed out each year. The following is a profile of the clients rehabilitated by the State-Federal Program of Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) during FY 1990. Also included are the reasons for unsuccessful case closures (non-acceptances and non-rehabilitations) during that period. The Case Service Report System (RSA-911) is the source for the data presented here and is used by each State agency to report to RSA the information needed to comply with this requirement. The data shown in Appendix D are preliminary tabulations of the information reported by State VR agencies for FY 1990 and include regional summaries.

The Rehabilitation Services Administration was still making corrections to the RSA-911 database for FY 1990 when this analysis was prepared. At the same time, several State rehabilitation agencies were in the process of re-submitting data they had originally omitted or misreported. When a final data set for FY 1990 is available, much of the information in this report will be changed only marginally, but some of it may be quite different from what is now presented. The reader who is interested in the personal, program-related and outcome characteristics of individuals completing the vocational rehabilitation services is encouraged to obtain the most up-to-date and error-free information.

The Client Profile

Age at application

This item is based on the reported date of birth at the time of application. The mean or average age at application for persons rehabilitated during FY 1990 was 33.9 years. Three out of every ten individuals rehabilitated in this period were under the age of 25 when they applied for services, and persons 55 years and older accounted for 9.4 percent of the total. The remaining clients (those between 25 and 54 years, the prime working ages) represented the largest age group served (60 percent of the total fell into this category). Among the regions, the average age at application ranged from 32.1 years in Region IV to 36.1 years in Region III.

Sex

Males accounted for the majority of those vocationally rehabilitated. In FY 1990, 56 percent of the clients rehabilitated were men. The percent distribution of males and females in the regions was similar to the national distribution except in Region IX, where men comprised more than 60 percent of the total rehabilitated.

Highest grade completed

The average person with disabilities rehabilitated during FY 1990 was close to being a high school graduate (or equivalent) when he/she applied for VR services. The overall mean number of grades completed at the time of application was 11.6 grades. Proportionately, individuals who were at least high school graduates accounted for more than half (56.7 percent) of the total rehabilitated. Clients who had completed at least one year of post-secondary education before they came to the program represented 18.3 percent of the overall total. Among the regions, these persons ranged from 12 percent of the total rehabilitated in Region III to 25.9 percent in Region IX.

Individuals who were mentally retarded (mental retardation was reported as either the major or secondary disabling condition), and received special education, represented 16.6 percent of the FY 1990 rehabilitants.

Race/ethnicity

Nationally, one out of every five persons rehabilitated was a member of a racial minority group. As expected the racial composition of the rehabilitants differed from region to region. For example, minorities as a group accounted for as few as 5.4 percent of the total in Region I to as many as 32.9 percent of the total in Region IV.

The overall percentage of Hispanics, regardless of racial origin, was 8.1 percent. Within the ten regions percentages of Hispanics varied from 1.3 percent in Region VII to 23.6 percent in Region II (primarily because of the rehabilitation agency in Puerto Rico). Regions VI and IX also reported large percentages of clients of Hispanic origin (17 percent and 17.6 percent, respectively).

Marital status

Many of the clients rehabilitated have never been married when they apply for VR services. This group represented 48.5 percent of the total in FY 1990. Four of the ten regions reported at least half of their rehabilitated clients as never having been married at application. Individuals who were married and still living with their spouses when they applied for services comprised 27.6 percent. Persons who lost their mates because of death, separation or divorce were included in the remaining 23.9 percent.

Major disabling condition

The major disabling condition is a physical or mental condition, impairment or disease most responsible for a client's work limitation. Orthopedic impairments (20.8 percent), mental illness (16.2 percent) and mental retardation (14.1 percent) were the most prevalent major disabling condition groupings for those rehabilitated in FY 1990. These three disability categories were the ones most frequently reported in six of the ten regions. Other conditions which accounted for large proportions of rehabilitants included hearing impairments which ranked second in Regions I and III, and substance abuse which ranked third in Region VI and first in Region IX. One out of every four persons rehabilitated in Region IX was limited vocationally because he/she had abused alcohol or drugs. Region IX also reported the largest proportion of individuals with learning disabilities (8.6 percent), followed by 7.4 percent in Region V.

Secondary disabling condition

Secondary disabling conditions contribute to but are not the major bases of clients' work limitations. Four out of every ten FY 1990 rehabilitants reported having a secondary disabling condition. The most common group of secondary conditions was mental illness which was reported by 9.4 percent of the total. This group was followed by orthopedic impairments and substance abuse which accounted for 5.7 percent and 5.5 percent, respectively.

Among the regions, the scope of clients with secondary disabilities varied from 35.2 percent in Region III to 51.4 percent in Region X. The three most common groups of secondary conditions reported in Region X were orthopedic impairments (11.8 percent), mental illness (11.5 percent), and substance abuse (seven percent).

Special disability categories

More than two-thirds (68.8 percent) of the total number of clients rehabilitated in FY 1990 were classified as being severely disabled. This is the highest proportion reported since the definition for severe disability was developed in 1974. Less than one percent (0.3 percent) of those rehabilitated were identified as deaf/blind individuals. Clients whose conditions were caused by traumatic brain injuries (TBI or head injuries) represented 1.1 percent of the total rehabilitated.

Economic status at application

One out of every four persons who successfully completed the VR program during FY 1990 was working when he/she applied for services. Most of this group were employed in the competitive labor market or sheltered workshop settings, and the rest were placed in unpaid positions such as self-employment, homemaking and family work. Three-fourths were not working at the time of application.

The average (mean) weekly earnings at application for all clients rehabilitated in this period was $37.90. Clients who reported earnings at application averaged $175.82 per week. The majority (78.4 percent) of the individuals rehabilitated reported no earnings at application.

The mean number of weekly hours worked at application was 5.8 hours for all who successfully completed the VR program and 31.2 hours for those who were working at application.

Persons rehabilitated during FY 1990 who were working at application reported an average hourly wage rate of $6.58 per hour (clearly more than the federal minimum wage rate of $3.35 an hour in effect through March 31, 1990 and $3.80 per hour the rest of FY 1990). The average hourly rate for all persons rehabilitated (working and not working at application) was $1.17 per hour.

Among the 40,000 clients who worked at application, 72.9 percent or 29,000 were working at or above the minimum wage rate. Overall (considering workers and non-workers), 14 percent of the persons rehabilitated were earning at least minimum wage when they applied for services.

Primary source of support at application

Family and friends continue to be the most common primary source of support at application. However, this source which once supported half of the individuals rehabilitated provided support for 44.8 percent of those rehabilitated in FY 1990. The proportion of persons primarily supported by their own income including earnings at application was 18.4 percent and was the highest percentage ever reported. Federal public assistance was the primary source of support at application for 12.1 percent of the cases successfully closed during FY 1990.

In the regions the proportion of clients primarily supported by their own income at application ranged from 12.2 percent in Region IX to 25.5 percent in Region I. Family and friends were the primary source of support for 30 percent of the clients rehabilitated in Region I and for more than half (58.7 percent) of those rehabilitated in Region IV. The percentage of individuals supported by federal public assistance varied from as low as 6.7 percent in Region IV to as high as 18.2 percent in Region X. Eleven percent of the persons rehabilitated in Region IX were primarily supported at application by private sources other than the client's income, family and friends, and private relief agency.

Public support during VR

Nearly one-third (32.2 percent) of the clients rehabilitated during FY 1990 were on some type of public support (including public assistance) while they were in the VR process. One-fourth were receiving some type of disability-related support such as Supplemental Security Income (SSI) by reason of disability or blindness, Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI), and disabled veteran benefits. Those who were on non-disability related support accounted for 13.9 percent of the total. Non-disability related support includes Supplemental Security Income by reason of age, Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), and General Assistance (GA).

One-fifth of those rehabilitated in FY 1990 were on public assistance during VR (SSI, AFDC, and GA). Among the regions, public assistance recipients represented 12 percent of the total rehabilitated in Region IV and 32.1 percent of the total in Region I.

Type of institution at application

A total of 20,611 clients (or 9.6 percent of the overall total rehabilitated) was residing in an institution at the time of application for VR services. The top three types of institutions resided in at application included halfway houses (4,204 individuals or 20.4 percent of the total), unclassified institutions (3,612 or 1.7 percent), and correctional institutions (2,948 or 1.4 percent). Regionally, the percentage of clients residing in institutions at application ranged from 5.5 percent in Region X to 14 percent in Region IX.

Source of referral

Traditionally, 23 percent of the clients rehabilitated by State VR agencies come to the agency on their own. Self-referral continues to be the most common single source of referral. This is followed by referrals from persons other than physicians and the clients themselves (12.6 percent) and referrals from elementary and high schools (11.4 percent).

Among the broad categories of referral sources, the top three sources among organizations and agencies were educational institutions (15.9 percent), other public organizations such as

Social Security and the State employment service (13.2 percent), and health organizations (13 percent).

Cost of purchased services

Costs of purchased services are expenditures incurred for the purchase of services provided to clients over the life-of-the-case. These costs do not include administrative costs or counselor and other staff salaries. The mean cost of purchasing services for all clients rehabilitated during FY 1990 (served with or without cost to the rehabilitation agency) was $2,335 per person. The mean cost for providing services to those served with some cost to the agency was $2,445 per client.

In the regions the three lowest mean case service costs for all clients rehabilitated were reported by Region IX ($1,358), Region I ($1,929), and Region VIII ($2,036). The three highest costs were recorded for Region V ($2,701), Region X ($2,997), and Region II ($3,013).

Type of service provided (exclusive of counseling which all rehabilitated persons receive)

Nearly two-thirds (64 percent) of those rehabilitated in FY 1990 received three or more rehabilitation services while they were completing their individualized written rehabilitation programs (IWRPs). An additional 24.6 percent were provided with two kinds of services and 7.5 percent received one type of service.

More than half (52.6 percent) of the clients rehabilitated during FY 1990 received some type of training. The most common type of training is personal and vocational adjustment training which was provided to 22.3 percent. Nearly two-thirds of the individuals rehabilitated in Regions VI and VIII received some type of training service. Training services of any kind were least frequently provided in Region III where 43.7 percent of those rehabilitated received such services. Overall, on-the-job training was provided to 8.3 percent of the total rehabilitated. Among the regions, on-the-job training was received by as few as 2.8 percent in Region II to as many as 15.8 percent in Region VIII.

How services (excluding counseling) are provided

Most rehabilitated persons received services purchased by the agency (88.2 percent). Nearly one-half (48.1 percent) of the clients were provided services directly by the State agency and a comparable benefit was obtained to pay for services provided to 45.4 percent. These percents are not additive because two or three of the categories often apply to the same person during the rehabilitation process.

The regions where agencies most frequently provided services directly to the client were Region IX (65.2 percent), Region I (67.5 percent), and Region X (82.4 percent). As previously mentioned, Regions IX and I reported the lowest mean costs of case services and Region X reported the second highest mean cost.

The regions where agencies most frequently purchased services from other sources included Region VIII (94 percent), Region VI (94.5 percent), and Region II (94.9 percent). Region II also reported the highest mean case service cost for all regions ($3,013).

Time spent in VR process

Overall, clients rehabilitated in FY 1990 spent on the average 21.7 months in the VR process from date of application to date of closure. This compares to averages of 16.2 months for individuals rehabilitated in Region IX and 27.3 months for those rehabilitated in Region II.

Special program identification

Less than one percent (0.3 percent) of the persons who successfully completed the VR program in FY 1990 was identified as being associated with a migratory agricultural workers project under Section 312 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. Individuals associated with projects-with-industry under Section 612 of the Act accounted for 1.1 percent of the total.

Supported employment status , a new RSA-911 data element, was reported for a total of 169,222 (or 78.3 percent) of the clients rehabilitated during FY 1990. Persons identified as being supported employment cases (under Title I or Tile VI-C) accounted for 5.5 percent of the total reporting this item. These are cases for which supported employment was the client's goal at some time during the rehabilitation process.

Previous VR experience

Only 7.7 percent of the individuals rehabilitated had experienced the VR program within three years of their most recent date of application. Most of these persons (5.6 percent) had been previously rehabilitated.

Economic status at closure

Most of the FY 1990 rehabilitants (88.3 percent) were classified as being salaried workers at the time of closure. Persons placed into the competitive labor market at closure represented 82.9 percent and those placed in sheltered workshops accounted for the other 5.4 percent. One out of every twelve persons who successfully completed the VR program was identified as a non-salaried employee. Nine percent of those rehabilitated were closed as homemakers.

Regionally, homemaker closures comprised as few as 2.2 percent of the total rehabilitated in Region X to as many as 15.3 percent of the total so closed in Region III. Proportions closed into the competitive labor market ranged from 66.8 percent in Region II to 92.9 percent in Region IX.

Industrial occupations continue to be the most common for rehabilitated clients. For FY 1990, 26.4 percent of the individuals rehabilitated were so placed. These occupations were followed in popularity by service occupations (such as food and beverage preparation) which accounted for 22.9 percent, and clerical positions which represented another 14.7 percent. Clients employed as professionals accounted for 14 percent of the total rehabilitated.

By the time of rehabilitation closure, only one in eleven clients (or 9.3 percent) was without earnings. The overall mean or average weekly earnings for FY 1990 rehabilitants was $192.63. Average weekly earnings for all clients rehabilitated in the ten regions extended from $170.36 in Region VII to $240.74 in Region IX.

At closure the average or mean number of hours worked by all persons rehabilitated was 29.4 hours. Two-thirds of the rehabilitants were working full-time (35 hours or more per week) at closure. Among the regions, the proportions of clients working full-time varied from 54.9 percent in Region V to 74.8 percent in Region IV. The lowest mean number of weekly hours worked was reported by Region V, 19.9 hours, and the highest mean, 34.6 hours, was reported by Region IV. (These are the mean number of hours worked by all persons rehabilitated including those reporting zero hours.)

Each region reported a mean hourly wage rate at closure which was more than the federal minimum rate. Overall, the mean hourly wage rate for all clients who successfully completed the VR program was $5.82 per hour. Of the 175,442 individuals who were salaried or self-employed workers at closure, 149,125, or 85 percent, had earning wages at or above the federal minimum wage rate.

Supported employment outcome

In limited reporting, there were 9,354 individuals rehabilitated during FY 1990 who were classified as supported employment cases. Of this total, three-fourths were competitively employed and met all of the special criteria unique to supported employment, while ten percent of the cases were competitively employed, but did not meet all of the special criteria. Persons who were not competitively employed represented 1.6 percent, while State agencies did not report the outcome for the remaining 14.4 percent.

Primary source of support at closure

A total of 135,968 individuals (or 62.9 percent of the total rehabilitated) reported primary source of support at closure. As expected, the majority (80.5 percent) of these clients were primarily supported by their own income. Family and friends were the primary source of support for 8.5 percent, and 5.4 percent were supported by federal public assistance. (Reporting for this item is not complete because it is a new data element.)

Economic gains during VR

For 70 years the State-Federal Program for Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) has provided services which have prepared individuals with disabilities for and allowed them to engage in gainful employment. The following is a summary of the economic gains experienced by persons rehabilitated during FY 1990.

The proportion of rehabilitants who were either competitively or self-employed improved by 66.6 percentage points from 18.7 percent at application to 85.3 percent at closure.

One out of every five persons rehabilitated reported having earnings when they applied for VR services. At closure this ratio increased to nine out of every ten. The average weekly earnings at closure was $154.43 more than the mean reported at application.

The mean number of weekly hours worked by all clients who successfully completed the program increased by 23.6 hours from 5.8 hours at application to 29.4 hours at closure. The percentage of persons working full-time at closure (66.6 percent) was more than six times the percentage at application (10.4 percent).

The average or mean hourly wage rate rose by $4.65 from application to closure. The proportion of persons working at or above the federal minimum wage rate increased from 14.4 percent at application to 76.6 percent at closure.

The client's own income as the primary source of support improved from 18.3 percent at application to 80.5 percent at closure.

Reasons for closure

Reasons for non-acceptance

Individuals who refused services or failed to cooperate accounted for the largest proportions of those not accepted for vocational rehabilitation (VR) services during FY 1990 (27.4 percent and 21.2 percent, respectively). Applicants whose conditions were classified as being "too severe" represented only 6.1 percent of the non-acceptances in FY 1990. Individuals who were determined to have conditions that did not significantly hamper their abilities to work (no vocational handicaps) also accounted for 6.1 percent of the total not accepted.

Reasons for non-rehabilitation

Inability to locate a client (26.7 percent), refusal of services (24.7 percent) and failure to cooperate (22 percent) were the reasons used most frequently by agencies for clients whose cases were closed not rehabilitated during FY 1990. Persons who were too severely disabled to benefit from further services represented 7.5 percent of those not rehabilitated.

COMPARISON OF ECONOMIC GAINS ACHIEVED BY PERSONS WITH SEVERE AND NON-SEVERE DISABILITIES REHABILITATED BY STATE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AGENCIES IN FISCAL YEAR 1990

Introduction

The 1986 amendments to the Rehabilitation Act included a provision calling for "an evaluation of the status of individuals with severe handicaps..." to be made part of the Annual Report. This report provides an analysis of program data on persons with severe disabilities and persons with non-severe disabilities. In this report, severe disability (a statement about the degree of medical impairment) is made to stand as the closest possible proxy to severe handicap (an indication of the loss in functioning with respect to employment).

Data from the Case Service Report can provide details on (a) client demographics, (b) types of disabling conditions, (c) the client's economic status at application, (d) the nature of his or her interaction with the rehabilitation process, (e) types of rehabilitation outcomes and (f) economic gains from application for services to rehabilitation closure. In this report, however, only (e) and (f) on rehabilitation outcomes and economic gains are presented because of time constraints. The data must be considered preliminary because (a) corrections were still being made to the data base at the time of this report and (b) several State VR agencies were in the process of sending in revised information for FY 1990. Readers interested in the most current information are encouraged to contact RSA for corrected updates to the statistical tables.

The tables presented with this report (See Appendix I) display three columns of data. The first column presents characteristics of all persons rehabilitated in FY 1990, regardless of the severity of disability. The second column presents the same characteristics of persons with non-severe disabilities while the third column presents those of persons with severe disabilities. The tables also show column percents derived from totals at the top of each column, which may be helpful to develop a separate profile of those with severe disabilities and those with non-severe disabilities. These totals will change somewhat from table to table and represent the number of persons for whom the particular item was successfully reported.

For this report, persons with a severe disability are, in general terms, defined as those (a) having stated types of major disabling conditions such as blindness, deafness and orthopedic impairments involving three or more limbs; or (b) having disabilities as qualified in some instances such as hearing impairments with a certain degree of decibel loss; or (c) being so impaired so that they were receiving Social Security Disability Insurance benefits or Supplemental Security Income payments at some time while undergoing rehabilitation services; or (d) having a documented loss in functioning such as the inability to perform sustained work activity for six hours or more and requiring multiple vocational rehabilitation services over an extended period of time.

Rehabilitation Outcomes

The work status and earnings situation at closure for persons with severe disabilities lagged behind that for persons with non-severe disabilities, especially with respect to (a) whether they had earned income at closure, and (b) if working, whether they were employed in the competitive labor market. A worker with a severe disability, for example, earned about 16 percent less, worked about 7 percent fewer hours, and had an hourly wage rate about 10 percent less than a worker with a non-severe disability.

A fairly large and expected difference in the work status at rehabilitation closure was observed for persons with severe disabilities and those with non-severe disabilities. Placed into the competitive labor market were 78.4 percent of persons with severe disabilities and 92.7 percent of those with non-severe disabilities. Homemaking was the rehabilitation choice for 11.2 percent of those with severe disabilities and 3.5 percent of those with non-severe disabilities. In addition, 7.5 percent of those with severe disabilities and 1.0 percent of those with non-severe disabilities were placed into sheltered workshops.

Smaller proportions of persons with severe disabilities were placed into standard occupational groupings because more of them were rehabilitated into homemaking, sheltered workshop work and unpaid family work -- activities frequently not classifiable into regular occupations. For example, 12.7 percent of those with severe disabilities and 16.8 percent of those with non-severe disabilities were placed into professional, technical and managerial positions. Industrial occupations accounted for the largest proportions of both groups, 24.4 percent and 30.7 percent, respectively. More than 20 percent of both groups were placed into service occupations (23.3 percent of those with severe disabilities and 22.1 percent of those with non-severe disabilities).

As reflected in the work status at closure, more of those with severe disabilities than those with non-severe disabilities had no earnings in the week before rehabilitation closure -- 11.5 percent vs. 4.3 percent, respectively. This helped to depress the mean weekly earnings of those with severe disabilities (including those with no earnings) which came to $176.35 compared to $228.36 for those with non-severe disabilities. This difference narrowed somewhat when mean earnings were calculated only for those who had earnings. For wage-earners only, persons with severe disabilities averaged $199.22 while those with non-severe disabilities $238.52 per week. The remaining difference in the two means is primarily a function of the more than seven times greater likelihood of persons with severe disabilities being placed into the generally low-paying jobs in sheltered workshops.

The large majority of clients in both groups were working full-time at rehabilitation closure (35 hours per week or more). With proportionately more of the group of persons with severe disabilities rehabilitated into non-remunerative activities, their mean hours of work in the week before closure was less than that for those with non-severe disabilities, 28.1 hours vs. 32.9 hours, respectively. Even when the non-wage earners were removed from the calculations, however, workers with severe disabilities averaged fewer hours of work per week, 35.1 hours compared to 37.7 hours for workers with non-severe disabilities.

The lower wage-earning capacity of persons with severe disabilities is seen not only in their smaller average weekly earnings, but also in their lower hourly wage rates. As a group, they averaged $5.57 per hour compared to $6.32 per hour for those with non-severe disabilities. Excluding the zero wage-earners brings the means closer, but the group with severe disabilities still lagged behind with $5.73 per hour compared to $6.34 for the group with non-severe disabilities. Examining the rehabilitated wage-earners only, 18.0 percent of those with severe disabilities and 9.2 percent of those with non-severe disabilities were earning below the minimum wage rate (which was $3.35 per hour through March 31 and $3.80 for the remainder of FY 1990). The much more likely placement of severely disabled persons into sheltered workshops would explain this variation to some degree.

Overview of Improvement in Economic Functioning

Improvements in a client's economic status is the most highly valued outcome in the State-Federal program of vocational rehabilitation. Data in the Case Service Report system (RSA-911) permit these gains to be measured in several ways. The measurements used here relate to changes in a client's (a) work status, (b) earnings, (c) hours of work, (d) full-time work status, (e) minimum wage rate status and (f) hourly wage rate from entrance into the rehabilitation program to departure from the program as a rehabilitated person. For each of these six paired measurements, considerable gains were noted for both groups (i.e.,persons with severe disabilities and those with non-severe disabilities) who were rehabilitated in FY 1990. As expected, however, application-to-closure gains for those with severe disabilities were not as large as those for persons with non-severe disabilities because many more of those with severe disabilities were rehabilitated as non-wage-earning homemakers or low wage-earning sheltered workshop workers. The figures presented in this section pertain to the entire group of rehabilitated persons, including those, like homemakers, who did not have earned income.

The large majority of both groups of persons (i.e., those with severe disabilities and those with non-severe disabilities) were not employed at the time of application for services; only 15.5 percent of those with severe disabilities and 23.5 percent of those with non-severe disabilities were working in the competitive labor market (see Table A). By rehabilitation closure, however, 78.4 percent of those with severe disabilities and 92.7 percent of those with non-severe disabilities were in the competitive labor market. This constituted a gain of 62.9 percentage points for those with severe disabilities and 69.2 percentage points for those with non-severe disabilities.

In the week before application, persons with severe disabilities averaged $33.43 in earnings while those with non-severe disabilities averaged $47.76. In the week before rehabilitation closure, the two groups averaged $176.35 and $228.36, respectively. The average gain, thus, for those with severe disabilities was $142.92 per week and for those with non-severe disabilities, $180.60.

As a group, persons with severe disabilities averaged 5.2 hours of work in the week before application and those with non-severe disabilities averaged 7.0 hours. By the time of rehabilitation closure, the two groups averaged 28.1 hours and 32.9 hours, respectively, or a gain of 22.9 hours for those with severe disabilities and 25.90 hours for those with non-severe disabilities.

The proportion of both groups of persons who could work full-time following a successful regimen of rehabilitation services increased markedly from application to closure. At application, only 9.0 percent of those with severe disabilities and 13.4 percent of those with non-severe disabilities were working full-time. At closure, however, 63.1 percent and 82.8 percent, respectively, of the two groups were full-time workers. For those with severe disabilities, thus, there was a 51.8 percentage point increase in full-time workers compared to an increase of 66.5 percentage points for those with non-severe disabilities.

Taken altogether, only 12.2 percent of those with severe disabilities were earning at or above the minimum wage rate at application compared to 18.0 percent of those with non-severe disabilities. By the time of rehabilitation closure, 72.0 percent of those with severe disabilities and 86.7 percent of those with non-severe disabilities were earning at or above the minimum wage rate, a gain of about 59.8 and 68.7 percentage points, respectively, for the two groups.

Finally, as a group, persons with severe disabilities were earning only $1.09 per hour at application (including the overwhelming majority who were not working at all) compared to $1.35 per hour for those with non-severe disabilities. By rehabilitation closure, those with severe disabilities were averaging $5.57 per hour compared to $6.32 by those with non-severe disabilities. The application-to-closure gain in this measurement for each of the two groups was thus $4.48 and $4.97, respectively, per hour.

Summary and Conclusions

Persons with severe disabilities have more obstacles to overcome than do those with non-severe disabilities upon entering a rehabilitation program. These obstacles are (a) the generally more limiting nature of their major disabling condition, (b) the greater likelihood of having a secondary disability, (c) the need for services that are both more numerous and costly, (d) a greater dependence on public sources of support, and (e) a less recent employment experience. These factors tend to reduce the chances for a successful closure into a wage-earning position and into the competitive labor market. Marked improvements from application to closure were noted, nonetheless, for persons with severe disabilities in each of the employment indicators presented in this report: weekly earnings, hours worked, whether working full-time, hourly wage rate, and attainment of earnings at or above the minimum wage rate.

Sections 103(b)

(See also 20 U.S.C. 107)

Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility Program

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Program Operation

Section 103(b) (See also 20 U.S.C. 107)

Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility Program
Federal Funds $26,700,000 (Section 110 funds)

MISSION, PURPOSE, AND BACKGROUND

The Vending Facility Program authorized by the Randolph-Sheppard Act, and funded at the discretion of State VR agencies with the use of VR Services program funds, provides blind persons with remunerative employment and self-support through the operation of vending facilities on Federal and other property. The program, enacted into law in 1936, was intended to enhance employment opportunities for trained, licensed blind persons to operate facilities. At the outset, sundry stands were placed in the lobbies of Federal office buildings and post offices. The law was amended in 1954 and again in 1974 to assure individuals who are blind a "priority" in the operation of vending facilities, which include cafeterias, snack bars, and automatic vending machines.

Since its inception, over 22,000 blind persons have been employed in this program. The program has broadened considerably from Federal locations to also include State, county, municipal, and private installations.

1991 HIGHLIGHTS

Facilities, Vendors, and Other Personnel

Reports from 51 State Licensing Agencies show:

- in FY 1991, there were 3,337 vending facilities in operation: 1,070 were located on Federal property and 2,267 on non-Federal property;
- employment was provided for 3,513 blind vendors: 1,128 were on Federal property, and 2,385 on non-Federal property;
- 420 blind persons were trained to become vendors, 218 or 51.9% were placed as licensed vendors;
- 1,023 potential sites for new facilities were surveyed -- 345 or 33.7% were accepted;
- the General Services Administration had the largest number of Randolph-Sheppard facilities on its property with 552,

followed by the U.S. Postal Service with 254 facilities, and the U.S. Department of Defense with 110 facilities; and

- in addition to the 3,513 licensed blind operators employed in vending facilities, the program employed 488 individuals with visual impairments and 571 with other disabilities.

Program Income

The program gross income (including gross sales, vending machine and other income) from all facilities totaled $394.6 million, an increase of $5.8 million over FY 1990 ($388.8 million). This produced total vendor earnings of $76.5 million, an increase of $1.9 million over FY 1990 ($74.7 million). The national average annual earnings of all vendors was $24,331 in FY 1991, an increase of $509 over FY 1990.

Program Expenditures

The total program expenditures for administering the Vending Facility Program by the State Licensing Agencies were $54.6 million in FY 1991. The funding for those expenditures came from the following sources of support: machine income - $10.4 million; levied set-aside from vendors - $12.3 million; State appropriations - $5.2 million; and Federal (Section 110 funds) - $26.7 million. The data show that 537.8 person years were used to administer the Vending Facility Program.

TYPES OF FACILITIES

This report identifies differences in data on the following specific types of facilities: Snack Bars and Other Facilities, Vending Machines, and Cafeterias. In FY 1991, of the total of 3,337 vending facilities, 2,051 or 61.5% were Snack Bars and Other Facilities, 509 or 15.3% were Cafeterias, and 777 or 23.3% were vending machines.

Snack Bars and Other Facilities

There were 2,051 Snack Bars and Other Facilities in operation in FY 1991, with 657 on Federal property and 1,394 on non-Federal property. The gross sales for this type of facility were $217.8 million or 56.6% of the total program gross ($384.9 million). The average vendor earnings were $21,990.

Cafeterias

There were 509 cafeteria type facilities, with 117 located on Federal property and 392 located on non-Federal property. The gross sales from cafeterias were $99.9 million, 26.0% of the total. The average vendor earnings for this category were $25,760.

Vending Machine Facilities

There were 777 vending machine facilities, 296 on Federal property and 481 on non-Federal property. The gross sales from vending machines were $67.2 million or 17.5% of the total gross sales. The average vendor earnings for this category were $30,592.

Contact person: George Arsnow (202) 205-9317

Section 112

Client Assistance Program

REHABILITATIVE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
Office of Program Operations

Section 112
Client Assistance Program (CAP)
Federal Funds $8,310,000

This formula grant program advises clients and potential client applicants of all available services under the Act and assists these individuals in their relationships with service agencies under the Act. States are required to have a CAP as a condition for receiving VR program funding.

Grants are made to the States for a CAP to be administered by agencies designated by the Governors. Such agencies must be independent of any agency that provides services under the Act unless an agency had, prior to the 1984 amendments, served as a client assistance agency under Section 112 and received Federal financial assistance under the Act. In FY 1991, 57 States and territories conducted CAPs, with 38 States and territories operating their programs in agencies independent of any agency that provides rehabilitation services under the Act. In 1991, grants totaling $8,310,000 were awarded to the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the 5 territories. These grants ranged from $4,950 to $838,205.

The standardized monitoring instruments that were developed during FY 1990 were fully implemented during FY 1991. These instruments were used by RSA Regional Office staff to assess the compliance of the designated CAP agencies with the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. In addition a data system was developed during FY 1991 to establish a national data base which can be used as a basis for management initiatives concerning the CAP program.

STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF CLIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (CAP)
FY 1985 - 1991

The following is a statistical summary of program activities in 56 of the 57 agencies designated to administer the Client Assistance Program (CAP). The data shown in Appendix F are preliminary and reflect actual figures from 54 CAP agencies with estimates for the other two. Program data for California and New Mexico were estimated because these agencies only reported this information for cases closed and new cases, respectively. Information is transmitted to RSA from CAP agencies via Form ED-RSA-227, the Annual Client Assistance Program (CAP) Report.

CAP agencies have informed and assisted more than 300,000 disabled individuals from FY 1985 through FY 1991. The total number of persons served (cases and non-cases) during FY 1991 was

51,370, and represented a decrease of 3.2 percent from the total served during the previous fiscal year. This was the first reduction in total served since CAP became a formula grant program. Concurrent declines in non-cases (routine informational/referral services only) and cases handled caused the drop in the overall total.

FY 1991 was the first year non-cases experienced a decline. Prior to this year, non-cases increased each year and totalled 41,302 in FY 1990. CAP agencies provided routine informational and referral services to 39,866 individuals with disabilities during FY 1991 (3.5 percent less than the previous year). The number of clients and client applicants provided with more extensive services (cases handled) dropped for the fourth consecutive year to 11,504 in 1991.

New cases decreased by 5.3 percent, while cases pending at the end of the fiscal year increased by 3.5 percent over the number on hand at the end of FY 1990. Historically, end-of-the year caseload figures for the client assistance program have fluctuated, while new cases received during the period have declined steadily since FY 1987.

This year, CAP agencies reported more information on program data items which were added to the reporting instrument during FY 1990. These items include client demographics, additional problem areas and additional services provided and are reported for all cases handled.

Age (as of October 1) was reported for 89 percent of the total cases handled during this period. Individuals between the ages of 26 and 40 years accounted for the largest proportion (43.7 percent). This group was followed by those between the ages of 41 and 59 years (22.6 percent). Clients and client applicants under the age of 21 represented 7.5 percent of the total caseload and nearly one percent (0.8 percent) were at least 65 years old.

Sexual identity was recorded for all but seven percent of the 11,504 cases handled during FY 1991. Males accounted for 54.5 percent and females represented 38.7 percent of the total available.

Five out of every six (83.4 percent) persons served as cases were identified by race/ethnicity. Among the 1,910 individuals whose race was either unknown or not reported were 396 hispanics who were not classified racially. Whites comprised more than two-thirds (68.5 percent) of the CAP caseload. The largest minority group was blacks which accounted for 12.6 percent of the total. Hispanics represented five percent of the total CAP caseload during FY 1991.

Individuals accepted as cases may be clients or clients applicants of more than one Rehabilitation Act program, project or facility when they request assistance from the CAP agency. Applicants and clients of the vocational rehabilitation (VR) program accounted for the overwhelming majority (96.4 percent) of CAP cases served during FY 1991. Client applicants and clients of independent living programs (discretionary or formula grant) represented three percent of the cases handled and applicants/clients of the remaining discretionary grants programs and non-VR facilities each accounted for one percent of the total.

Since the majority of the individuals classified as cases in the current fiscal year were applicants for and clients of VR, it follows that the State VR agency would be the primary source of concern. The VR agency was the sole source of concern for 86.4 percent of the cases handled. Other Rehabilitation Act programs, projects and facilities were the sole source of concern for four percent and ten percent had problems with a combination of the VR agency and another Rehabilitation Act source.

Problems related to the quantity, quality and expediency of rehabilitation services was the most prevalent problem area accounting for 51.3 percent. More than a third (34.4 percent) of the individuals served as cases during FY 1991 desired some kind of information. Conflicts between clients and staff of Rehabilitation Act programs were reported by 20.9 percent. An additional 20.4 percent had concerns about eligibility determination, application and reapplication for services or other issues pertaining to the individualized written rehabilitation program (IWRP).

The three most popular disability categories for the persons served as cases by CAP agencies during FY 1991 were: 1) orthopedic impairments - 27.1 percent, 2) mental illness - 15.5 percent, and 3) visual impairments (blindness and other visual) - 10.1 percent.

Advisory/interpretational services were received by more CAP clients than any other type of service. These services which include advising persons of the benefits available under the Rehabilitation Act and their rights and responsibilities in connection with those benefits were provided to 72.3 percent of the cases handled during FY 1991. Information and referral services were received by 66.3 percent and mediation/negotiation services were provided to 48.9 percent. Legal services are those provided to assist clients in judicial or court actions only. During FY 1991, one percent of the CAP cases handled received legal services. Assistance with administrative (informal reviews) and fair hearings (formal appeals) was provided to 9.3 percent and 2.1 percent, respectively. Individuals who received CAP assistance with transportation accounted for 1.2 percent of the total cases handled.

Section 130

American Indian Rehabilitation Services

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Developmental Programs

Section 130
American Indians with Handicaps Vocational Rehabilitation Service Projects
Federal Funds: $4,082,000

The purpose of this program is to support projects providing vocational rehabilitation services to American Indians with disabilities who live on Federal or State reservations. Only American Indian tribes or consortia of such tribes may apply for support under this program. These projects funded under Section 130 are required to provide a broad spectrum of vocational rehabilitation services in a manner comparable to those services provided by the State vocational rehabilitation units.

HIGHLIGHTS

Three new projects were funded in FY 1991; twelve other projects received continuation funding. About 3,500 clients were served by the 14 projects in FY 1991.

EXEMPLARY PROJECTS

- The Northern Cheyenne Vocational Rehabilitation Program, Montana, while organizationally under the Tribal Board of Health, is autonomous in its provision of vocational rehabilitation services. This project gives special attention to independent living services for the severely disabled and the developmentally disabled, two areas of need especially significant to this reservation. The project, in its first five months of activity, served some 40-50 clients and provided special independent living services to five-six severely disabled clients, completely on schedule with its first year's service projections.

- The Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians' Program represents an economic opportunity/business enterprise model that includes a supported employment component, a workshop, as well as a fully competitive employment program. The Mississippi Choctaw VR program projected serving 162 clients in the first year. Within the first two quarters of the beginning of the project, it had served 124 clients. In that same period:

  - 40 clients were projected for competitive employment; 25 were actually placed in reservation related businesses or off-reservation positions;

- 10 were projected for workshop employment, six were identified as appropriate;
- 4 clients identified as appropriate for post-secondary education, 2 were placed in a community college setting.

Contact person: Edward Hofler, (202) 205-9432

Title II

National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research

THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DISABILITY AND REHABILITATION RESEARCH

Sections 200 - 204
The National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research Federal Funds $58,924,000.

The National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) provides leadership and support for a national and international program of rehabilitation research and the utilization of the information acquired through this program. In addition, the Director of the Institute serves as Chairman of the Interagency Committee on Disability Research (ICDR), which is charged with coordinating rehabilitation research efforts throughout the Federal Government. NIDRR also administers model Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) programs funded under Section 311 of the Rehabilitation Act and Technology Assistance programs established by the Technology-Related Assistance for Individuals with Disabilities Act. New this year are NIDRR-funded projects related to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

In FY 1991, the NIDRR program budget was $58,924,000, plus $5,000,000 for SCI programs and $20,982,000 in Technology Act money. These funds supported:

39 Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers ($22,844,000);

19 Rehabilitation Engineering Centers ($11,015,000);

17 ADA-Related Projects ($4,850,000);

61 Field-Initiated Research Projects ($7,434,000);

27 Research and Demonstration Programs ($4,800,000);

15 Knowledge Dissemination and Utilization Projects ($3,217,000);

10 Research Training grants ($1,661,000);

12 Innovation Grants ($600,000);

13 Mary E. Switzer Fellowships ($433,000);

13 Model Spinal Cord Injury Centers ($5,000,000);

31 Technology-Related Assistance Grants ($20,982,000);

16 Small Business Innovative Research grants ($900,000); and

miscellaneous expenditures ($1,170,000).

Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers

Federal Funds $22,844,000

Each of the 39 Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers (RRTCs) focuses on a particular aspect of the medical, psychosocial, or vocational rehabilitation of persons with disabilities. For some centers, this means concentrating on a specific disabling condition, such as traumatic brain injury, deafness, low vision, spinal cord injury, arthritis, long-term mental illness, or neuromuscular disorders. Others study activities and services that affect the lives of disabled people. These include independent living, housing, service delivery, rehabilitation strategies, and information systems. Knowledge contributed by the RRTCs has influenced the fields of rehabilitation medicine, vocational counseling, social work, and, to some extent, architecture.

HIGHLIGHTS

The Baylor College of Medicine Research and Training Center has gathered disturbing new information on the life status and health of persons with spinal cord injury. The project studied 661 subjects in 13 Texas counties and found that: one in three had a pressure ulcer; more than one in three had a urinary tract infection; one in four had been hospitalized at least once in the preceding year; one in three was at risk for clinically significant depression; and only one in four had full- or part-time employment. This research will aid professionals in the fields of health maintenance and rehabilitation to develop more effective therapies and strategies.

Research and Training Center on the Social Psychological Development of Children and Youth with Disabilities at the University of Minnesota conducts research, training and information dissemination activities at four sites. The focus is on psychosocial and developmental aspects of disability and chronic illness for children and youth, as well as service delivery and policy issues that affect their progress.

The Center on Improving Supported Employment Outcomes at Virginia Commonwealth University plans to establish a national clearinghouse of information on supported employment to generate six major lines of research in the areas of policy, local implementation, consumer implementation, program evaluation, vocational integration, and school-to-work transition; and to provide a broad-based spectrum of training activities and products related to supported employment.

Rehabilitation Engineering Centers
Federal Funds $11,015,000

NIDRR funded 19 Rehabilitation Engineering Centers (RECs) in FY 1991. These centers seek solutions to disability-related problems through the application of technological advances. Areas of interest include sensory loss, mobility impairment, chronic pain, communication difficulties, and the evaluation and adaptation of assistive devices.

HIGHLIGHTS

The South Carolina REC for Rehabilitation Technology Services has developed a model Technology Demonstration Center. With interactive displays, printed information, workshops and seminars, the Center will promote assistive technology awareness among allied health professionals, rehabilitation practitioners, persons with disabilities and their families and the community in general. In addition to its education activities, the Center serves as an assistive device resource for all ages.

The University of Vermont's Rehabilitation Engineering Center on Low-back Pain has been awarded a contract by the General Services Administration (GSA) for a one-year study of back injuries in GSA workplaces. The Center will conduct worksite assessments and develop recommendations for a comprehensive injury prevention program. Elements of the study include: the extent of low-back injury among GSA employees, ergonomic assessment of the work environment, job task requirements and work behaviors. Recommendations will focus on modifications of worksites and job tasks and administrative actions to promote safety and increased productivity.

ADA-Related Projects
Federal Funds $4,250,000

The National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research supported 16 Grants in FY 1991 to provide technical assistance and training to those with rights and duties under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). This new program establishes: 10 Regional Disability and Business Technical Assistance Centers; 3 Materials Development Projects; and 2 National Training Projects. NIDRR also awarded a contract to coordinate the activities of these grantees.

The 10 Regional Centers will be funded for five years. They will work closely with the disability and business communities to provide information and referral services, training and technical assistance in all areas covered by the ADA.

The Materials Development Projects will be funded for two years. They will produce specialized materials in the areas of employment, communications, public accommodations, and accessibility.

The National Training Projects will be funded for three years. One will work with the leaders, staff and associates in independent living centers. The other will concentrate on parent, peer and family networks.

Field-Initiated Research
Federal Funds $7,434,000

NIDRR funded activities under this program that blended well with its overall research mandate but which may have been outside the range of priorities. Institutions of higher education, non-profit organizations, and profit-making businesses were eligible to apply for this type of grant.

HIGHLIGHTS

The Division of Cardiology at the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center has developed an effective exercise program for persons with peripheral or vascular diseases. The project also provides rehabilitation services through collaboration with the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center. Results of the program include better mobility and increased independence, as well as improved management of daily living activities for elderly patients with these diseases.

Vanderbilt University is conducting a three-year study of the spatial orientation skills of blind persons. The subjects are a group of 40 to 60 totally blind persons, who vary in the age of onset of blindness and all of whom are without severe additional disabilities. During the first year this project will study some of the environmental characteristics that make perception and imagination difficult (for example, the complexity and size of the environment). During the second year the project will devise scales to assess the skill level of perception and imagination across a range of situations and to assess the scale's reliability with a group of blind and visually impaired adults. During the third year the project will fine-tune the assessments and field test their usefulness, enlisting the aid of a national sample of orientation and mobility professionals.

Research and Demonstration Programs
Federal Funds $4,800,000

To augment the work of the RRTCs and RECs, NIDRR funds Research and Demonstration programs that focus on specific problems encountered by individuals with disabilities and the rehabilitation and other professionals who serve them.

The Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation at Boston University is examining the involvement of persons with long-term mental illness in State vocational rehabilitation systems. The project will conduct three interventions designed to demonstrate promising strategies that successfully involve consumers in their own rehabilitation. It will demonstrate three different approaches to involving consumers with long-term mental illness as advocates in the vocational rehabilitation system. Project activities include collaboration with the regional Assessment Training Center in Denver and Project SHARE/National Mental Health Self-Help Clearinghouse.

The University of Minnesota's Institute of Community Integration is conducting a national study on the transition of individuals with severe disabilities from school to work. The project will conduct national surveys and evaluation studies, state-level case studies, develop a policy development and decision-making framework, and disseminate information through an information exchange. All project activities include collaboration with the Transition Institute at the University of Illinois and the Center for Technical Assistance in Transition and Supported Employment at the University of Vermont.

Mary E. Switzer Fellowships
Federal Funds $456,400

The purpose of this program is to build research capacity by providing two levels of Fellowship awards. In FY 1991 Distinguished Fellowships were given to three persons of doctorate or comparable academic status who have had seven or more years experience relevant to rehabilitation research. Ten other individuals, in earlier stages of their research careers, received Merit Fellowships.

Some of the awarded projects included research related to: personal identity in the transition from psychiatric hospital to community life; work disability because of rheumatoid arthritis; psychological adjustment of persons with spinal cord injury; disability rights and independent living: a social movement and public policy perspective; and autonomic function in chronic fatigue syndrome: assessment and intervention.

Research Utilization and Dissemination
Federal Funds $8,067,000

NIDRR's mission includes not only research but the effective use of that research. Through 35 Research Dissemination and Utilization grants in FY 1991, the agency is placing the products of other programs in the hands of rehabilitation professionals, educators, technology developers, and individuals with disabilities.

HIGHLIGHTS

Regional Information Exchanges (RIEs)

This program now covers six Regions: I, II, III, V, VI and IX. These Exchanges make possible the rapid replication of outstanding programs in particular areas of rehabilitation. The RIE's examine and validate the quality of these models, declare them "exemplary" and then, through well-planned technical assistance, promote their adoption or adaptation by others throughout the region. They also build information networks with newsletters, regional conferences, and the development and distribution of technical assistance guidelines.

The University of California/San Francisco has received a three-year grant to develop, analyze, synthesize, and disseminate statistical information on disability. This project will develop and update data on the number and sociodemographic characteristics of persons with disabilities, their health status, medical care use and benefits, and will serve as a central repository and resource center for statistical data on disability. Through statistical analyses of national survey and program data bases (primary data bases include the Survey of Income and Program Participation, the National Health Interview Survey, and the National Medical Expenditures Survey), the Disability Statistics Program will continue to develop and update data on major aspects of disability in the United States. This will include demography; epidemiology and health status; health care use, costs, and coverage; employment and earnings, social services, benefits, and activities.

Research Training and Career Development Grants
Federal Funds $1,661,000

These grants are designed to acquaint professionals in rehabilitation-related disciplines with research methods and statistical analysis. Psychiatrists and other physicians, speech therapists, rehabilitation engineers, physical therapists, neurophysiologists and others receive training for periods of one to three years.

Innovation Grants
Federal Funds $600,000

Innovation grants are limited to one year and a maximum of $50,000.

HIGHLIGHTS

The Pennsylvania College of Optometry has developed a home-study series, the Luvreading Program, to train persons with macular vision loss to read. In addition, this program will assist teachers and rehabilitation practitioners to assess the degree of difficulty encountered by individuals with this type of vision loss and to devise appropriate interventions and teaching approaches.

Another grant has enabled a swimming expert from the University of Hawaii to take underwater films of 84 world-class swimmers with disabilities. The goal is to develop more effective therapeutic and rehabilitative swimming techniques for persons of all ages with varying disabilities.

International Programs

Research jointly funded by NIDRR and the Slovenian Research Council of Ljubljana, Slovenia, has produced "Standing and Walking After Spinal Cord Injury," a comprehensive reference text covering the principles of Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) as applied to patients with spinal cord injury. In addition, the book discusses related biomedical issues, patient selection criteria and gait restoration. This extensive reference work should prove valuable to biomedical and rehabilitation engineers, researchers, orthotists and physical therapists.

In cooperation with the government of India, NIDRR is supporting a District Rehabilitation Center (DRC). This multi-faceted project collects and evaluates data; operates a National Information Center on Disability Resources; supports a Rehabilitation Engineering Center for Technology Assessment; supports a model/experimental program in rural job development for Indians with mental retardation; the development and execution of a joint US/India program of research in spinal injuries; consultation, technical assistance, and training.

Spinal Cord Injury Programs

Federal Funds $5,000,000

The 13 projects continue research and evaluation of a comprehensive coordinated service delivery system from point of injury, through acute medical rehabilitation, community reintegration and long-term follow-up care. Nine projects are concentrating on collaborative prevention of costly secondary complications and testing new medical and rehabilitative therapies. A major thrust of this program is the continued demonstration and refinement of the model and its application to other severe disabling conditions, such as traumatic brain injury, severe burns and coronary disease.

Small Business Innovative Research Grants
Federal Funds $900,000

This program encourages research into and the development of new products and ideas in rehabilitation through a uniform three-phase process. NIDRR made 16 such grants in fiscal year 1991.

HIGHLIGHTS

Meeting the Challenge, Inc., of Colorado Springs, CO, is developing an automatic system of personal checking account management for persons with mental retardation.

A Phase II SBIR grant to Eloquent Technology, Inc., of Ithaca, NY, will develop six regional dialects of American English for use in unlimited vocabulary vocal alternative/augmentative communication aids for speech-impaired persons. It will use rule-based synthesis with a novel modular approach and phonetic model for cost-efficient development of a range of high-quality synthetic voices. For each dialect, both text-to-phoneme and phoneme-to-speech rules will contain substantial universal modules common to all dialects, plus much smaller modules. With this approach, additional modules could generate different ages and sexes with relatively little effort.

Interagency Agreements

The Interagency Committee on Disability Research (ICDR), chaired by the Director of NIDRR, is a forum and resource for Federal Agencies conducting or supporting rehabilitation research. Nine Interagency Agreements, some new and some continuations, were concluded by NIDRR during FY 1991.

Areas of cooperative effort:

- Work Disability: a symposium will examine the causes, extent, and consequences of joblessness associated with work disability and the effectiveness of public and private efforts in promoting employment among people with work disabilities.
- Hearing Impairment: with the Bureau of Maternal and Child Health, Department of Health and Human Services, NIDRR is supporting a project to demonstrate the cost effectiveness of early identification of hearing impairment.
- Health-Education Consortium: in conjunction with the National Commission to Prevent Infant Mortality, NIDRR is supporting a project to bring together a wide range of health-and education-related organizations and agencies dedicated to developing the full learning potential of all children.

Contact person: For additional information about any particular program administered by NIDRR, please contact the Office of the Director, Dr. William H. Graves, Phone: (202) 205-8134, Voice or (202) 205-5479, TDD.

Title III

Section 304

Rehabilitation Training

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Developmental Programs

Section 304
Rehabilitation Training
Federal Funds $33,353,000

The Rehabilitation Training Program is designed to: (1) increase the supply of qualified personnel available for employment in public and private agencies and institutions involved in the vocational and independent living rehabilitation of individuals with physical and mental disabilities, especially those individuals with the most severe disabilities; and (2) maintain and upgrade basic skills and knowledge of personnel employed as providers of vocational, medical, social, or psychological rehabilitation services. The Rehabilitation Training Program is authorized under Section 304 of the Act, which permits grants and contracts to be made to States and public or non-profit agencies and organizations, including institutions of higher education, to pay part of the costs of activities. Grants may be made for long-term and short-term training, in-service training of employees in State rehabilitation agencies and public and private facilities, rehabilitation continuing education program, training of interpreters for deaf individuals and special training projects of an experimental and innovative nature.

In FY 1991, funds were awarded to 330 projects as follows:

	(In thousands)
Rehabilitation Long-Term Training	$21,831
Rehabilitation Short-Term Training	379
Rehabilitation Continuing Education	4,309
State Vocational Rehabilitation Unit In-Service Training	3,773
Experimental and Innovative Training	1,161
Interpreter Training for Deaf Individuals	1,510
	$32,963

As authorized by Section 16(b) of the Act, $99,200 in Rehabilitation Training Program funds was used for expenses related to peer review of applications. In addition to peer review expenses, training funds were used as follows: Drug-Free Initiative - $135,000; Institute on Rehabilitation Issues - $51,520; World Congress on Technology - $10,000; and Training Need Assessment Contract - $76,520.

Grants awarded included:

- long-term training in rehabilitation engineering, rehabilitation medicine, rehabilitation counseling, prosthetics and orthotics, rehabilitation facility administration, vocational evaluation and work adjustment, occupational therapy, specialized training in supported employment services, rehabilitation of the mentally ill, rehabilitation job development/job placement, and rehabilitation workshop and facility personnel;
- continuing education programs to upgrade and maintain the skills of rehabilitation personnel employed in both public and private rehabilitation agencies;
- in-service training for the development of State vocational rehabilitation unit personnel; and
- special training projects of an experimental and innovative nature that are designed to train new types of rehabilitation personnel or to demonstrate innovative training techniques.

EXAMPLES OF NEW REHABILITATION LONG-TERM TRAINING PROJECTS FUNDED

Matrix Research Institute, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Matrix Research Institute plans to improve the skills of job developers and job placement specialists seeking to increase supported employment opportunities for individuals with long-term mental illness. The project focusses on new strategies for identifying, contacting, convincing, and maintaining the involvement of employers interested in participating in supported employment programs for the hard to place population. Training will be offered in two sites per year. Training will be offered in all six states in Region III and to three states in other regions (New York, Georgia, and Texas.) Approximately 35 trainees will participate at each site for a total of 210 over the three year project.

Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU), Richmond, Virginia

VCU plans to (1) increase the supply of qualified supported employment personnel and (2) maintain and upgrade the skills and knowledge of personnel who currently provide supported employment services. The supported employment telecourse network (set net) offers three telecourses annually for a total of 51 hours of personnel training. VCU's 'Set Net' previously received RSA funding in 1988 to experiment with satellite technology telecourses and instructional product development. The Set Net curriculum is competency based and incorporates live, interactive satellite broadcasts with pre-session readings and activities, post-session simulation activities, field based exercises, and pre-and post-evaluations. Set Net also plans to develop and disseminate videotape/workbook instructional materials relevant to supported employment and rehabilitation personnel training needs for persons who cannot access the live training.

University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

This project is of national scope. Over the course of the three year project period, a series of training workshops will be held in nine RSA regions for vocational rehabilitation, mental health, psychosocial and other provider agencies and university faculty. These workshops focus on the psychiatric-vocational rehabilitation of persons with long-term mental illness. The workshops will be augmented by the availability of a "hot-line" training technical services and the updating of training resource materials on an annual basis. During the third year of the project, a national conference is planned which will include primary consumers and family members as active participants.

University of Arkansas/Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas

This project provides funds to residents in physical medicine and rehabilitation. The residency program presents a balance between clinical and academic skills. Located in a rural state, the program attracts many residents interested in working in less populated areas. Accordingly, the curriculum also emphasizes skills needed by physiatrist working in rural settings. With these emphases, the project increases the availability of physiatrist to rural areas as well as physiatrist trained in academic skills. The program works closely with the state rehabilitation agency and consumer/advocacy groups.

Contact: Richard Melia, Ph.D., Telephone: (202) 205-9400

REHABILITATION CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM

Rehabilitation Continuing Education Programs train newly employed personnel in basic rehabilitation service delivery knowledge and skills and assist experienced rehabilitation personnel to upgrade their skills and master new developments and technological advances in rehabilitation service delivery. Rehabilitation Continuing Education programs provide training for both rehabilitation agency and facilities staff. Training provided under these programs focuses on meeting needs common to several States in a geographic area. In fiscal year 1991, eleven continuation grants were awarded that emphasized training as follows:

- improved utilization of supported employment concepts and procedures and specialized training in implementing supported employment programs;
- promoted Human Resource Development/Human Resource Management (HRD/HRM) concept;
- specialized training in implementing competitive employment for individuals with severe disabilities, including placement of individuals who are deaf, individuals who are blind (under the Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility Program), and individuals who are deaf-blind;
- training for rehabilitation counselors in the applicability and implications of Section 504 as related to job placement;
- coordinated service delivery to facilitate the transition of youth with disabilities from school to employment;
- improved management in the areas of program planning and monitoring, including case reviews and application of standards in program evaluation;
- rehabilitation of individuals who are learning disabled, individuals with long-term mental illness, and individuals who are deaf-blind;
- improved use of rehabilitation technology and rehabilitation engineering services; and
- technical assistance to rehabilitation facilities.

Contact: Ellen Chesley, Telephone : (202) 205-9481

STATE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION UNIT IN-SERVICE TRAINING

Activities supported under the State Vocational Rehabilitation Unit In-Service Training Program focus primarily on program areas relevant to each unit's immediate operation, including training to resolve deficiencies identified in audits and other reviews of the State program.

Seventy-eight in-service training projects were funded in FY 1991. To the extent consistent with the State unit's own determination of training needs, those projects emphasized:

- improved use of supported employment concepts and procedures and specialized training in the implementation of supported employment programs;

- specialized training in implementation of competitive employment program for individuals with severe disabilities in non-segregated environments;
- improved skills in job identification, job development, and job placement for individuals with severe disabilities, including placement of individuals who are deaf, individuals who are blind (under the Randolph-Sheppard Vending Facility program), and individuals who are deaf-blind;
- implementing coordinative activities between State VR units and State education agencies, including coordinative activities to facilitate the transition of youth who are disabled from school to employment;
- improved management in the areas of program planning monitoring, and evaluation;
- improved use of preliminary and thorough diagnostic information in order to determine eligibility for services and the nature and scope of services to be provided, especially for individuals with learning disabilities, individuals who are deaf-blind, individuals with traumatic-brain-injury and individuals with long-term mental illness; and
- improved use of rehabilitation technology, including rehabilitation engineering services.

Contact: Robert Werner, Telephone: (202) 205-8291

REHABILITATION SHORT-TERM TRAINING

Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois

Southern Illinois University is the recipient of the RSA Short-Term Training grant in the area of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). This one-year project will develop and provide a "Train the Trainers" program in regard to the implementation of the ADA. The target audience for this project's training sessions are rehabilitation pre-service educators, post-employment trainers/human resource development specialists, and the human resource development specialists/staff development officers of Federal/State vocational rehabilitation agency programs. The program has a national focus and the eight content areas being addressed include: Legislative history and the philosophy of the Independent Living Movement; Civil Rights movement of persons with disabilities; ADA--The law and the regulations; Accessibility surveys and the ADA; Job accommodations and defining essential job functions; Assessment of functional capacities; and Rehabilitation technology and reasonable accommodation.

Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois

In addition to the Short-Term Training grant awarded to Southern Illinois University in the area of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the University was also the recipient of a Short-Term Training grant in the area of Marketing.

Under this proposal the University will develop training modules that are intended to enhance the vocation placement of persons with disabilities.

Contact: Robert Werner, Telephone: (202) 205-8291

INTERPRETER TRAINING FOR DEAF INDIVIDUALS

The Interpreter Training Program, established under Section 304(d), was designed to increase the supply of skilled manual and oral interpreters and to ensure the maintenance of basic interpreter skills. During FY 1991, 10 regional interpreter training projects were funded, one in each of the Department of Education regions. In addition, two national projects were funded which focus on training in the areas of educational and rehabilitation interpreting. In FY 1991, the projects trained 221 employment-ready interpreters and conducted 425 workshops. Approximately 12,500 persons participated in some aspect of the training offered. This training includes classroom instruction, workshops and seminars. Curriculum includes areas such as:

- tactile interpreting for deaf-blind individuals;
- oral interpreting for persons who are hard of hearing or deaf who rely on speechreading;
- voicing for people who do not speak for themselves;
- interpreting for low-functioning deaf individuals of persons with limited English language skills; and
- interpreting in legal or in medical situations.

Contact: Charlotte Coffield, Telephone: (202) 205-9001

Contact: Richard Melia, Ph.D., Telephone: (202) 205-9400

Part B

Special Projects

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Developmental Programs

SERVICES TO INDIVIDUALS WITH SEVERE HANDICAPS

Section 311(a)(1)
Special Projects and Demonstrations for Providing Vocational Rehabilitation Services to Individuals with Severe Handicaps
Federal Funds $18,368,000

The purpose of the program is to provide financial assistance to States and other public and private agencies and organizations for expanding or otherwise improving vocational and other rehabilitation services for individuals with severe disabilities, irrespective of age or vocational potential. This is accomplished through the support of projects, for up to 36 months, that will demonstrate new procedures or desirable employment outcomes. It is expected that successful project results will be replicated, in whole or in part, to resolve or alleviate rehabilitation problems that are nationally significant or common to several States.

Under Section 311(a)(1), 84 continuation projects and 7 new projects were funded during FY 1991. Continuation projects currently funded by the program address the following priority categories: (1) Rehabilitation Technology; (2) Innovative Strategies to Promote Vocational and Independent Living Rehabilitation Outcomes for Individuals with Severe Handicaps; and, (3) AIDS (invitational priority). An additional 13 spinal cord injury projects administered by the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR), and 2 projects serving Deaf and Hard of Hearing People Who Are Low-Functioning, were continued, however, are not included in this synopsis.

The new projects in 1991 are serving (1) individuals with Specific Learning Disabilities; and (2) individuals with Long-Term Mental Illness. Applications were also funded under the program in a "Non-Priority" category that permitted the support of applications that were not responsive to one of the absolute priorities.These projects have just begun start-up activities.

HIGHLIGHTS OF PROJECTS FUNDED IN FY 1991

Specific Learning Disabilities

University of Nebraska at Omaha, through statewide collaborative efforts, will improve disability awareness, self-advocacy skills, and pragmatic language skills in education, community, and employment settings for citizens with specific learning disabilities.

Vermont Division of Vocational Rehabilitation in Waterbury, VT, will increase the number of students and adults in Vermont with specific learning disabilities who will receive individualized support services in order to achieve academic, social, and employment success.

Long-Term Mental Illness

Fountain House in New York, NY, will bring together its psychosocial rehabilitative long-term follow-along services with the comprehensive array of vocational training services found in a small number of New York City agencies to substantially enhance the long-term community adjustment of mentally ill adults who are deaf.

United Rehabilitation Services in Wilkes-Barre, PA, will use creative and innovative industry-integrated training programs to provide vocational rehabilitation services for adults with long-term mental illness.
Contact: Thomas E. Finch, Telephone: 202/732-1396

SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES PROJECTS

Section 311(d)(1)(A)
Special Projects and Demonstrations for
Providing Supported Employment Services
Federal Funds $9,079,906

The supported employment (SE) program funds demonstration projects to assist States in rehabilitation "systems changes" from day and work activity programs to competitive work through SE. In FY 1991, 17 new statewide demonstration grants were awarded.

The mission of these SE statewide demonstration grants is to:

- convert State dollars toward long-term funding of SE;
- promote community awareness of SE as a viable VR model;
- provide technical assistance to agencies that develop SE programs;
- encourage community advocacy to create SE options; and
- develop interagency SE agreements.

RSA collaborated on the development of an instrument for data collection with the Research and Training Center at Virginia Commonwealth University. In 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991, the Center surveyed all 50 States and the District of Columbia. The

FY 1991 data will be available in March, 1993. However, the 1990 data shows that the total number of individuals in SE has risen from less than 10,000 in FY 1986 to 74,657 in FY 1990. In 1990, the majority of individuals (65.0 percent) participating in SE were persons diagnosed as mentally retarded. Individuals with long-term mental illnesses constituted 24.4 percent of the persons reported. The remaining 10.6 percent were comprised of persons with cerebral palsy (1.9 percent), sensory impairment (2.2 percent), traumatic brain injury (1.1 percent) and "other" constituting 5.5 percent. More comprehensive data on this program are available in the RSA Annual Report to Congress on Supported Employment.

In FY 1991, RSA funded 12 community-based continuation projects focused on stimulating the development of innovative approaches for improving and expanding the provision of supported employment services to individuals with severe handicaps and to enhance local capacity to provide supported employment services. Individuals with severe handicaps, such as mental retardation, cerebral palsy, mental illnesses, traumatic brain injury, and individuals who are blind with at least one other handicapping condition, are being served through these programs. The Final reports of these 12 projects will be available in March, 1993.

SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

Section 311(d)(2)(A)
Special Projects and Demonstrations for Providing
Supported Employment Technical Assistance
Federal Funds $943,094

During FY 1991, there were two technical assistance cooperative agreements were awarded to assist State VR agencies develop and implement the Title VI, Part C State Supported Employment Services program. The recipients of the technical assistance cooperative agreements, awarded for a three-year period, are the University of Oregon and Virginia Commonwealth University.

The cooperative agreements with the University of Oregon and Virginia Commonwealth University for the provision of technical assistance in supported employment have five objectives: (1) to participate in a minimum of quarterly management conferences with the RSA Project Officer to identify and solve problems that arise, to modify existing objectives when appropriate, and to coordinate efforts with the recipient of the other cooperative agreement and with RSA Regional Office Supported Employment Specialists; (2) to identify and disseminate national and regional supported employment resource information to RSA Regional Office staff and State vocational rehabilitation agency staff; (3) to organize and convene an Advisory Committee, composed of individuals with specific experience and knowledge in supported employment, that will meet semiannually to ensure program effectiveness; (4) to hold annually one national meeting on supported employment; and (5) to develop technical assistance plans with all States and to revise these plans when necessary.

The following objectives are unique to the cooperative agreement with the University of Oregon: (1) to plan and conduct issues forums in multiple locations and to document and evaluate their effectiveness; and (2) to coordinate the exchange of supported employment information on a national scope.

The following objectives are unique to the cooperative agreement with Virginia Commonwealth University: (1) to establish a Supported Employment Technical Assistance Center that provides expertise to State vocational rehabilitation agencies in creating or expanding supported employment capacity in all States served by the project; and (2) to provide six national videoconferences based on the Supported Employment Telecourse Network for large scale topical conferences recommended from State technical assistance plans.

FY 1991 HIGHLIGHTS

- A forum entitled "State Leadership in Supported Employment" with the Association for Persons in Supported Employment (APSE) was held in San Diego, California. The topics addressed included long-term supports/funding options, State systems change issues and direct service issues.
- A National Meeting on Supported Employment was held in Washington, D.C. This meeting included presentations on the Americans with Disabilities Act, Employer Roles in Supported Employment, Linking Assistive Technology and Supported Employment as well as a discussion of supported employment regulations.

Contact Person: Fred Isbister, (202) 205-9297

MIGRATORY AGRICULTURAL AND SEASONAL FARMWORKERS

Section 312
Handicapped Migratory Agricultural and Seasonal Farmworker Vocational Rehabilitation Service Projects
Federal Funds: $1,060,000

Mission and Purpose

The purpose of this program is to provide vocational rehabilitation services to migratory and seasonal farmworkers (MSFWs) with handicaps, and to members of their families who are with them, including the maintenance and transportation of the individual with handicaps and members of their families where necessary for the rehabilitation of the individual.

Activities and Accomplishments

In FY 1991, 11 projects were funded under this program. Over 2,500 MSFWs with disabilities were served in FY 1991. Examples of projects funded in FY 1991 are as follows:

- Utah State Office of Rehabilitation, in its provision of appropriate rehabilitation services to migratory agricultural and seasonal farmworkers, is placing greater emphasis on service to the families of these farmworkers. This project is also pioneering the use of the supported employment/job coach model in serving MSFWs.

- New Jersey Division of Vocational Rehabilitation Service, in recognizing significant demographic factors in its State, is working to increase the number of non-farm rehabilitations of MSFWs who have opted to "settle out" in New Jersey. This project will develop an area-wide industry survey of job possibilities for MSFWs with disabilities which can serve as a reference tool for vocational rehabilitation counselors. This project expects to receive 525 referrals with 150 rehabilitation, 75 of which will be in non-farm settings.

- The Illinois Department of Rehabilitation Services project is seeking to establish linkages with job service offices in Illinois and Texas for MSFWs who migrate between the two States. Within Illinois, this new project is serving a 20 county area in Northern Illinois, a part of the State not previously served by migratory farmworker projects. Three hundred New MSFWs will be identified and evaluated; 125 will enter rehabilitation plan status, and 65 will receive job placement assistance.

Contact Person: Edward Hofler, (202) 205-9432

RECREATION

Section 316

Projects for Initiating Special Recreation Programs for Individuals with Handicaps
Federal Funds: $2,617,000

Mission and Purpose

The purpose of this program is to initiate special programs of recreational activities for persons with disabilities in order to increase their mobility, socialization, independence, and community integration.

Activities and Accomplishments

In FY 1991, 28 continuation projects were funded. These projects offer persons with disabilities opportunities to develop new interests, specific skills, and the confidence to take risks in an integrated setting that impacts on all aspects of their quality of life, including employability.

NOTABLE EXAMPLES

- Lexington Center for the Deaf, Jackson Heights, New York, projected that it would serve 150 deaf clients in the first year and over 400 clients in the three-year project period with recreational opportunities in the form of indoor/outdoor sports, camping, backpacking, dance, special activities in astronomy, photography, horticulture, and video drama productions. At its sites in Queens, Brooklyn and Westchester, Lexington Center had already served over 500 clients in the first two quarters of its first year.

- Chesterfield County Community Services Board, Virginia, is targeting 50 persons with developmental disabilities each year for integrated recreational activities already provided by the County Parks and Recreation Department, the YWCA, the County Extension Services, and organized church groups. Characteristic of this project are its consumer-driven Integrated Recreation Task Force for consumers themselves; and the "supported participation model" with use of leisure coaches. In the first part of the project's first year, approximately 25 clients were already served.

- The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill's Leisure Program is serving 500 persons with severe mental illness. The project bridges the gap between hospitals and communities by providing a therapeutic recreation specialist to deliver transitional services after patient discharge from one of two psychiatric hospitals. Community reintegration is the direct goal of this project which will be thoroughly evaluated and developed for dissemination to other settings. Reports on the early part of the project's first year indicate that the development procedures and liaison activities with hospitals and consumer groups were accomplished well ahead of schedule.

- Gracewood State School and Hospital, Georgia, serves 180 clients with severe physical disabilities in an adapted recreation and leisure program. Modules in multimedia art, bowling, dance, drama, music, puppetry, model railroading, and table games are designed to improve the individual's skills in mobility, communication, socialization, work, and community integration. A progress report submitted for the first five months of this project indicate that the project met or exceeded 10 of the 14 first year objectives. In that period, 80 clients were served in skill development programs and educational experiences were provided for over 200 clients.

- The Recreation Center for the Handicapped, San Francisco, California, offers an intense program of community reentry for persons with traumatic brain injury. The project proposed to serve 100 unduplicated clients in the three-year project period, providing such services as therapeutic swimming, weight and gait conditioning, organized adapted sports, support groups, music and drama therapy, adventure trips, as well as services to assist adults with head injuries to engage in competitive or supported employment. Reports of the first five months of the project indicate that all objectives were being completed on schedule. Notably client participation increased by 30% and the vocational rehabilitation component was in place and had received 21 referrals with 7 consumer assessment were in progress.

Current projects are measured not just by numbers of persons served, but by the skills developed and service hours provided. Significant emphasis is placed on recreational opportunities for persons with severe disabilities, and even on specific populations, such as persons with hearing impairments, persons with traumatic brain injury, and persons with mental illness.

Contact person: Edward Hofler, (202) 205-9432

Title IV

National Council on Disability

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY

Section 400
National Council on Disability
Federal Funds $1,475,000

The National Council on Disability (the National Council) is an independent Federal agency comprised of 15 members appointed by the President of the United States and confirmed by the U.S. Senate. The National Council has the following statutory duties:

- establish general policies for and review the operation of the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR);
- establish guidelines for the President's Committee on the Employment of People with Disabilities;
- provide advice to the Commissioner of Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) on policies and conduct;
- provide advice to the President, the Congress, the RSA Commissioner, the Assistant Secretary of the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS), and the Director of NIDRR on programs authorized under the Act;
- provide to the Congress, on a continuing basis, advice, recommendations, and any additional information which the National Council or the Congress considers appropriate;
- review and evaluate on a continuous basis the effectiveness of all policies, programs, and activities concerning individuals with disabilities conducted or assisted by Federal departments or agencies, and all statutes pertaining to Federal programs, and assess the extent to which they provide incentives to community-based services, promote full integration, and contribute to the independence and dignity of individuals with disabilities;
- make recommendations for ways to improve research, service, administration, and the collection, dissemination, and implementation of research findings affecting persons with disabilities;
- review and approve standards for the Independent Living and Projects With Industry programs;
- submit an annual report with appropriate recommendations to the Congress and the President regarding the status of research affecting persons with disabilities and the activities of RSA and NIDRR; and

- issue an annual report to the President and the Congress on the progress that has been made in implementing the recommendations contained in the National Council's January 30, 1986, report, Toward Independence.

While many government agencies deal with issues and programs affecting people with disabilities, the National Council is the only Federal agency charged with addressing, analyzing, and making recommendations on issues of public policy which affect people with disabilities regardless of age, disability type, perceived employment potential, economic need, specific functional ability, status as a veteran, or other individual circumstance. The National Council recognizes its unique opportunity to facilitate independent living, community integration, and employment opportunities for people with disabilities by assuring an informed and coordinated approach to addressing the concerns of persons with disabilities and eliminating barriers to their active participation in community and family life.

MAJOR ACTIVITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS DURING 1991

Hearings and Forums

The National Council continuously seeks to receive input and feedback from persons with disabilities. In addition to the four mandated meetings held by the National Council, forums were held on such subjects as the reauthorization of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; writing employment policies for people with disabilities; personal assistance services; the research implications of the Americans with Disabilities Act; health insurance; and the financing of assistive technology.

Prevention of Primary and Secondary Disabilities

The Disabilities Prevention Act, drafted by the National Council in 1990, was reintroduced in the 102nd Congress. Also, in conjunction with the Centers for Disease Control and the Minority Health Professions Foundation, the National Council conducted the first National Conference on the Prevention of Primary and Secondary Disabilities.

Education

"The Effectiveness of Educational Programs for Children with Disabilities," a study being conducted by the National Council, will examine the outcomes of elementary and secondary educational programs and special services for children with disabilities, including special education. In addition, the study will examine the outcomes of academic achievement, work readiness, and quality of life.

Technology

"Assistive Technology for Individuals with Disabilities," a study which is mandated by the Technology-Related Assistance for Individuals with Disability Act of 1988, will examine Federal laws, regulations, and procedures that affect a State's ability to develop consumer-responsive, statewide systems of technology-related assistance for individuals with disabilities. It will also consider financing mechanisms in the public and private sectors which affect the availability of technology-related services for people with disabilities.

Health Insurance

The National Council's study on "Health Insurance and Health-related Services for Individuals with Disabilities," which is cofunded by the National Institute on Disability Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR), will focus on the needs of, and possible solutions for, individuals with disabilities in accessing health insurance.

NIDRR

The National Council held regular meetings with the Director and NIDRR staff to discuss the developments of the NIDRR five-year plan and other research areas.

Communication

Participation in a variety of interagency committees enabled the National Council to keep abreast of activities in the Federal Government, and to keep others informed of the National Council's activities. Membership on the Interagency Committee on Disability Research, the Federal Interagency Coordinating Council, the Federal Task Force on Disability, and the Interagency Committee on Developmental Disabilities reflect this policy.

In order to better inform the disability community and others about the activities of the National Council, circulation of FOCUS, the National Council's newsletter, continued to expand. The newsletter continues to be a vehicle for communication and helps the National Council solicit input regarding its activities and policy development. In addition, the National Council will continue to publish special reports and annual reports to keep those in the disability community aware of its activities.

The National Council also increased its outreach effort to people with disabilities through the news media.

Other Focus Areas:

Employment

As employment continues to be a significant area of concern for people with disabilities, the National Council will be addressing this issue through the development of a national employment policy for persons with disabilities. Hearings are scheduled for FY 1992.

Personal Assistance Services

With the signing into law of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, one of the biggest problems facing persons with disabilities and their families is the overwhelming need for personal assistance services. The National Council conducted hearings on this issue in FY 1991 to highlight the critical nature of this problem, and Chairperson Sandra Swift Parrino testified on this subject before the U.S. Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources.

Title V

Section 501

Employment of Individuals with Handicaps

THE U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

FEDERAL SECTOR PROGRAMS
OFFICE OF FEDERAL OPERATIONS

Section 501
Employment of People with Disabilities in the Federal Government

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) has responsibility for enforcing the nondiscrimination and affirmative action provisions of laws and regulations concerning federal employment of people with disabilities. Each year EEOC reviews and evaluates progress made by Federal agencies in hiring, placement, and advancement of people with disabilities when they submit their annual accomplishment reports. From this information, EEOC prepares an annual report to Congress regarding employment of people with disabilities in the Federal Government.

The Interagency Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities (ICEPD) was established by Section 501(a) of the Act. The Committee has the responsibility for:

- providing a focus on the employment of individuals with disabilities in the Federal Government and to review, in cooperation with the EEOC, the adequacy of hiring, placement, and advancement practices with respect to individuals with disabilities in the executive branch agencies;

- increasing employment opportunities for individuals with disabilities and to assure an equitable, suitable, and functional work environment in the federal service; and

- making recommendations for policy, procedural, regulatory, and legislative changes that will improve employment opportunities for people with disabilities.

The Committee is comprised of Principals who are designated by the President of the United States and are Executive Level IV or higher. There's a permanent standing committee whose members are selected by the Principals. The ICEPD is Co-chaired by the Chairman of the EEOC and the Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, Department of Education. Congress does not appropriate funds for the ICEPD; therefore, the staff resources of the member agencies are vital to the success of, the Committee. The basic staff support is provided by the EEOC which houses the Secretariat.

In fiscal year 1991, the Committee was restructured. The newly appointed Principal members replaced eleven of the standing members and the newly structured Committee focused its efforts on developing a strategic plan to address employment issues of people with disabilities in the Federal Government. The standing committee members identified and addressed issues of employment and planning, prioritized and developed a strategic plan to address the most significant issues.

The Principals met in October 1991, to discuss the status of employment for people with disabilities in the Federal Government, and to consider the standing committee's plan and proposal to develop a comprehensive method of providing technical assistance and reasonable accommodation for applicants and federal employees with disabilities. After careful consideration of the plan and proposal, the Co-chairman appointed a subcommittee to review and evaluate existing Federal resources and services currently available to federal employees and applicants with disabilities. The subcommittee will conduct a survey, analyze the information and recommend appropriate action to the Principals.

The ICEPD recommends to appropriate State agencies, policies and procedures to increase employment opportunities for people with disabilities. States are encouraged to adopt and implement these policies and procedures. Currently, ICEPD has several proposals and/or projects under consideration that should enhance employment opportunities. The Committee continues to serve as a catalyst for the Federal Government, and as a role model for State and local governments by initiating innovative approaches to meeting the employment needs of people with disabilities. The committee also provides consultation on employment of disabled, veterans as required by Section 403 of the Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974.

Section 502

Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

Section 502
Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board
Federal Funds $2,700,000

The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (ATBCB) is an independent Federal agency charged with ensuring that certain facilities designed, constructed, leased or altered with Federal funds since September 1969 are accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities.

The ATBCB has a governing board of 23 members. The President appoints 12 public members (six must be persons with disabilities) to three-year terms, and the other 11 are the heads (or designees) of the Departments of Defense, Education, Health and Human Services, Housing and Urban Development, Interior, Justice, Labor, Transportation, Veterans Affairs, the General Services Administration, and the U.S. Postal Service.

The Access Board has major responsibilities for the 1968 Architectural Barriers Act and for those portions of the Americans with Disabilities Act relating to accessibility.

Architectural Barriers Act

Under the Barriers Act, the agency is charged with ensuring that certain facilities designed, constructed, leased, or altered with Federal funds since September 1969 are accessible to and usable by person with disabilities. Specific legislative responsibilities are to:

- ensure compliance with standards prescribed under the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (Public Law 90-480);

- propose alternative solutions to barriers facing persons with disabilities in housing, transportation, communications, education, recreation, and attitudes;

- determine what Federal, State, and local governments and other public or private agencies and groups are doing to eliminate barriers;

- recommend to the President and Congress legislation to eliminate barriers;

- establish minimum guidelines and requirements for standards issued under the Architectural Barriers Act;

- prepare plans for adequate transportation and housing for people with disabilities, including proposals to cooperate with other agencies, organizations, and individuals working toward such goals;

- develop standards and provide technical assistance to any entity affected by regulations issued under the Act;
- provide technical assistance on the removal of barriers and answer other questions on architectural, transportation, communication, and attitudinal barriers affecting persons with disabilities; and
- ensure that public conveyances, including rolling stock, are usable by people with disabilities.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

Under the ADA, the Access Board acquired additional new responsibilities to:

- develop accessibility guidelines for transit facilities, transit vehicles, commercial facilities and public accommodations, children's environments, and recreation facilities;
- assist the Department of Justice in developing a comprehensive, government-wide plan to provide technical assistance to entities covered by the ADA;
- implement a technical assistance plan on the Board guidelines for entities covered under the transportation and public accommodations titles of ADA;
- as part of the technical assistance plan, develop and publish technical assistance manuals for those entities covered under titles II and III (transportation and public accommodations) of ADA; and
- assist the Department of Justice in certifying State and local accessibility regulations as meeting or exceeding requirements of the ADA.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Published proposed ADA accessibility guidelines in January 1991;
- Distributed over 13,000 copies of the proposed guidelines;
- Held 14 public hearings nationwide with about 450 people testifying;

- Analyzed over 2,300 comments, totaling over 13,000 pages, on the proposed guidelines;
- Held 20 meetings to debate and refine the guidelines;
- Published final ADA accessibility guidelines on buildings and facilities on July 26, 1991, and on transit facilities and vehicles on September 6, 1991;
- Distributed over 7,700 copies of final guidelines;
- Responded to over 16,000 toll-free calls on 1-800-USA-ABLE;
- Presented 55 training sessions on accessibility standards or guidelines;
- Mailed approximately 9,600 accessibility information packets;
- Resolved 200 Barriers Act complaints; in about half the barrier was removed;
- Presented Chairman's Award to Disney World (Orlando);

SUMMARY

Creating the ADA Guidelines

Given lead responsibility by Congress to develop the ADA accessibility guidelines, the Access Board held 20 meetings throughout the year to accomplish this important task. In January 1991, the first proposed rulemaking for buildings and facilities was published in the *Federal Register* for public comment. Two additional proposed guidelines, a supplemental notice on transportation facilities and another on transportation vehicles, were published in March.

The Board's guidelines were to provide guidance to the Departments of Justice and Transportation in setting accessibility standards for all facilities and vehicles covered by the ADA. Title II requires access to State and local government activities including public transportation systems. Title III requires accessibility in public accommodations and commercial facilities. Under the act, the standards issued by these two departments must be consistent with the Board's guidelines.

Fourteen nationwide hearings were held between January and March 1991. Nearly 13,000 pages of comments were received from over 2,300 individuals and organizations submitting written statements or attending the hearings. Many commenters, especially those with disabilities and their organizations, supported the proposed guidelines. All comments and testimony were tabulated, analyzed, and considered in preparing the final guidelines.

The Board modeled its guidelines on the *Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards* (UFAS) which use the *American National Standards Institute* (ANSI) format and numbering system. The Board's guidelines, however, contain extensive scoping provisions which ANSI does not. The ANSI standard is incorporated or referenced in many state and local building codes and is generally accepted by the building industry.

Board research results also were used, and more guidance was included for some provisions. Keeping its commitment to work toward the integration of its ADA guidelines with the ANSI standards, the Board also incorporated some of the planned 1991 ANSI revisions.

The Board published its final guidelines for buildings and facilities in the *Federal Register* on July 26, 1991. The Department of Justice adopted the guidelines in entirety and published them as its accessibility standards on the same date. Other final guidelines, for transportation facilities and vehicles, were published by the Board on September 6. The Department of Transportation adopted the Board's guidelines for vehicles as its standards for transportation providers covered by Titles II and III of the ADA. Over 7,700 copies of the final guidelines were distributed by the end of the fiscal year.

The Board will periodically update its guidelines to reflect technological developments, changes in accessibility codes and standards, and to better meet the needs of individuals with disabilities. In 1992 ADA guidelines will be created for state and local government facilities, children's environments, and recreation facilities.

Promoting Public Awareness

The Access Board conducted many activities this year to increase public awareness of the Board, ADA requirements, and the practical benefits of accessibility.

Hearings on the proposed ADA guidelines were held in 14 cities. Board members appeared on local radio and television programs to publicize both the hearings and accessibility. In addition, press releases, media advisories, and press kits were distributed to the media in each city.

International exposure was gained last fall when, at the invitation of the U.S. Information Agency and the Department of State, Board staff gave technical presentations at two seminars on "Design That Works for Everyone" in the Soviet Union.

Continuing its practice of hearing from the general public at out-of-town board meetings, the Board held public forums in Orlando and Hartford, Connecticut, in 1991. The Orlando forum focused on the proposed ADA guidelines; in Hartford, emphasis was on how to successfully implement the final guidelines. Also during the year, a number of Board and staff members spoke at meetings and conventions across the nation, primarily to discuss the Board's ADA activities.

The Board's second annual Chairman's Award recognizing major corporations and businesses that have exhibited a commitment to accessibility went to Disney World in Orlando for access in its theme parks, transportation systems, hotels, and other amenities.

In other public education efforts, thousands of calls from the public were received on the Board's toll-free number; most related to the ADA guidelines. The Board's newsletter *Access America* continued to inform its 4,000 readers of ongoing activities and this year included a special pull-out insert on the ADA. Following established policy, all Board publications were available in Braille, audio cassette, large type, and computer disk.

Providing Technical Services

The major accomplishment of the Board's Office of Technical and Information Services was creation of the ADA guidelines. However, many other technical services were provided.

In response to a dramatic increase in requests for technical assistance and information, over 9,600 information packages were sent out during the year. Predictably, three-fourths were ADA-related. Over 16,000 calls were logged on the toll-free 800 number.

The Board cooperated with the Department of Justice and other agencies with ADA responsibilities to develop a preliminary ADA Technical Assistance Plan. Staff also began work on ADA-required technical assistance manuals.

Technical staff gave 55 training seminars on Federal accessibility requirements. Ten (10) of the sessions were conducted jointly with the General Services Administration and reached over 950 people. Training materials are being developed on the Architectural Barriers Act, UFAS, and ADA. Also slides and a workbook on transportation access and a scripted slide on the Access Board are under way.

Each year the Board sponsors technical research. Results of these projects are used to refine Board guidelines, provide staff with technical assistance tools, and supplement accessibility information available to the public. Projects completed during the year produced a resource document on airport access for disabled and elderly persons; a comprehensive *UFAS Retrofit Manual*; a report on accessible parking spaces and loading zones; and regulatory impact analyses on the facilities and transportation guidelines.

The technical office also updated and expanded the Board's library of over 3,000 documents, jointly sponsored the third "Design America Accessible" project to increase architecture student awareness, and began a cooperative effort to develop course material on accessibility for design schools.

Enforcing the Barriers Act

During the year, three compliance trends were noted. Large numbers of complaint cases were closed because corrective actions were taken and in one of every three cases, the actions were voluntary. Also the Board continued to resolve more cases than it received.

Ninety-five cases were closed because corrective actions eliminated the barriers. This was 48 percent of the 200 closed. The percentage of cases closed due to corrective action since 1977, when record keeping began, has risen to over 40 percent.

The Board did not have jurisdiction in every corrective action case. However, in over 31 percent of the 95 cases closed for corrective action during the year, the responsible organization or agency voluntarily corrected the problem. Over the past four years there has been a substantial rise in the percentage of voluntary corrective action cases. This reflects the increasing dedication and responsiveness of federal agencies and other organizations to make their buildings accessible to all people.

For the fourth consecutive year the agency closed more cases than it opened. In FY 1991, the Board's Office of Compliance and Enforcement opened 153 cases and closed 200. Citizens from 42 states and the District of Columbia brought the new complaints to the Board's attention. Predictably, over 30 percent concerned Federal buildings and facilities. Some of the more unique complaints involved lack of access to boat piers and docks.

Also compliance staff continued to hone complaint procedures including automating telephone and conference logs and revising two documents routinely sent to complainants to make them easier to read and understand.

For more information see the ATBCB's Annual Report to the President and to the Congress for FY 1991.

Contact Person: Larry Allison, Voice/TDD (202) 653-7834

Section 503

Employment Under Federal Contracts

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

Section 503
Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

The Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) in the U.S. Department of Labor has the sole responsibility for implementing and enforcing Section 503 of the Act. Section 503 requires most employers doing business with the Federal government to take affirmative action to employ individuals with disabilities. OFCCP also prohibits employment discrimination on the basis of disability. The day-to-day enforcement activities which protect the employment rights of persons with disabilities are carried out by a network of ten regional offices, located in the standard Federal regions, and the national office.

Affirmative Action and Reasonable Accommodation

Every employer doing business with the Federal government under a contract in excess of $2,500 must take affirmative action in employment with respect to individuals with disabilities. These measures cover the full range of employment and personnel practices, such as recruitment, hiring, rates of pay, upgrading, demotion, and selection for training. Federal contractors are also required to make reasonable accommodations to the physical or mental limitations of qualified individuals with disabilities. This applies to contracts awarded by Federal agencies and subcontracts awarded by prime contractors. Employers with Federal contracts of $50,000 or more and 50 or more employees must prepare, implement, and maintain a written affirmative action program for each establishment. The programs must be reviewed and updated annually.

Right to File a Complaint

Individuals with disabilities who are protected by the contract compliance programs may file complaints if they believe they have been discriminated against by Federal contractors or subcontractors. Complaints may also be filed by organizations or other individuals on behalf of the person or persons affected. A contractor's failure to make reasonable accommodation to the disability of a qualified disabled employee, may be the basis for administrative sanctions and the possible loss of Federal contracts.

MISSION AND PURPOSE

OFCCP's mission continues to be the enforcement of regulations requiring Federal contractors to take affirmative action and eliminate discrimination from the workplace, and to obtain redress for victims of discrimination. Emphasis will continue to be devoted to:

(1) fairly and firmly enforcing the equal employment and affirmative action rules and regulations;

(2) delivering prompt quality service to our constituents;

(3) ensuring proper program emphasis and enforcement through coordinated efforts with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission regarding the Americans with Disabilities Act;
(4) continuing staff development through a comprehensive training program;

(5) maintaining quality control by ensuring consistent application of established policies and procedures throughout the program;

(6) linking recruitment and training sources with specific contractor job opportunities; and

(7) encouraging affirmative action by increasing liaison with, and technical assistance to, contractors and other interested groups.

ACTIVITIES DURING 1991

The following is a summary of OFCCP's activities under Section 503 of the Act during FY 1991:

o Compliance Reviews (Combined Executive Order 11246, Sections 503/4212, formerly 2012)	5,379
o 503 Complaint Investigations	918
o Total 503 Complaint/Case Inventory at End of FY 1991	540
o Workers in Facilities Reviewed	2,229,367
o Individuals Receiving Cash Benefits	411
o Amount of Cash Benefits Agreements	$6,790,570
o Amount of Other 503 Financial Agreements	$504,200

OFCCP Compliance Officers monitor Federal government contractors' compliance with Section 503 as part of the regular compliance review process. When a compliance review identifies problems which cannot be easily resolved, OFCCP attempts to conciliate with the employer. When conciliation efforts fail, OFCCP may recommend the administrative enforcement process. Federal rules and regulations set forth administrative procedures to be followed when enforcement actions are necessary.

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

Under new coordination regulations, complaints filed with OFCCP under Section 503 that also fall within the jurisdiction of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) will be investigated under both laws by OFCCP. EEOC will designate OFCCP as its agent for ADA complaint investigations and authorize OFCCP to issue right-to-sue letters. OFCCP and EEOC will follow the same substantive rules for determining discrimination on the basis of disability.

Section 504

Nondiscrimination Under Federal Grants and Programs

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Civil Rights Division

Section 504
Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted and Federally-Conducted Programs and Activities

The Civil Rights Division (the Division or CRD) of the Department of Justice (DOJ) is responsible for the consistent and effective enforcement by Executive agencies of what are commonly referred to as the "cross-cutting" civil rights statutes, including Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (the Act). Executive Order 12250 charges the Attorney General with this responsibility, which has been delegated to the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights. Under Executive Order 12250, the Division undertakes a diverse array of regulatory and administrative initiatives. The Division reviews all proposed civil rights regulations for consistency, adequacy, and clarity, and assists Federal agencies in the development of appropriate regulations. The Division also issues interpretations of these regulations in individual administrative cases and provides guidance to the agencies on new civil rights issues. The Division annually reviews the civil rights implementation plans of each Federal agency as required by Section 1-403 of Executive Order 12250, and offers training and technical assistance to agencies to improve their civil rights enforcement procedures and programs. CRD also promotes interagency information sharing and cooperation through delegation agreements.

REGULATION DEVELOPMENT AND REVIEW

Regulations for Federally Assisted Programs

During FY 1991, CRD continued to provide guidance to Federal agencies on Section 504 regulations that apply to federally-assisted programs. Examples of activities on Section 504 federally-assisted regulations and related guidelines and manuals are summarized below.

- During FY 1991, CRD staff continued to work closely with representatives of the Office of Management and Budget, the Department of Transportation (DOT), and the White House to resolve issues related to DOT's effort to amend the existing Section 504 regulation applicable to federally assisted mass transit. This work culminated on September 6, 1991, when DOT published a final regulation implementing the transportation provisions of titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Title II of the ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in the operation of public bus systems, light and rapid rail systems, and commuter and intercity rail systems, and establishes specific affirmative requirements for the purchase of accessible vehicles and the provision of paratransit. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicap by private entities operating transportation systems (other than transportation by aircraft) and public accommodations that provide transportation to their clients or customers. DOT's ADA rule also amends the agency's current regulation implementing Section 504 as it applies to recipients of Federal financial assistance to make that rule consistent with the requirements of the ADA. Prior to publication, CRD reviewed DOT's proposed final rules and negotiated changes required to ensure consistency between DOT's rule and DOJ's regulations implementing titles II and III of the ADA.

- On December 19, 1990, 15 agencies issued a final rule that amends, in a joint publication format, their existing regulations implementing Section 504 of the Act for federally assisted programs. The amendments include a cross-reference to the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS) for which the Division previously issued a prototype regulatory amendment designed as a model for agencies to use to the extent appropriate in developing an amendment to reference UFAS.

- In Paralyzed Veterans of America v. Thornburgh, No. 79-1979 (C.D. Cal.), the district court on December 20, 1990, entered an order dismissing the action because each of the agencies named in the complaint that is obligated to publish a Section 504 regulation for federally assisted programs has completed a final rule. CRD oversaw development of Section 504 regulations by the agencies involved with this litigation and has reported to the court on this matter for several years.

Regulations for Federally Conducted Programs

The Division continued with its efforts to provide guidance to agencies required to issue regulations implementing Section 504 in federally conducted programs. Continued use of the Division's prototype regulation, first issued in 1983, was encouraged, as was issuance of regulations by joint publication. Joint publication eliminates most of the paperwork and administrative burdens for agencies issuing regulations. During FY 1991, the Division assisted approximately ten smaller agencies to develop their own notice of proposed rulemaking implementing Section 504 in federally conducted programs or to participate in a fourth joint publication the CRD is preparing. Over 50 agencies have published final Section 504 federally conducted regulations using the joint publication process. This has meant savings of over $350,000 to the Federal Government in printing costs alone. Several activities exemplify the Division's accomplishments in this area of regulatory development.

- On March 13, 1991, the General Services Administration (GSA) published its final rule implementing Section 504 for federally conducted programs and activities. The rule, among other things, addresses with specificity the manner in which GSA will carry out its responsibilities as the Government's landlord in order to enhance the abilities of other Federal agencies to offer their programs in a manner accessible to individuals with disabilities. It also outlines procedures for cooperation between GSA and Federal tenant agencies when the accessibility of a Federal agency's programs is affected by the inaccessibility of a building under GSA's control.

- Plaintiffs filed Williams v. United States, No. 80-5368 (C. D. Cal., filed Dec. 3, 1980) to compel all Federal agencies to issue regulations implementing Section 504. CRD, on behalf of The United States, periodically filed status reports on the progress of agency publication. The last report was filed August, 1991, noting that only three Federal agencies had not published final regulations. Based on this statement the Court removed the action from the active caseload. The United States must notify the Court as each remaining agency publishes its final rule.

- CRD staff continued with efforts to assemble a draft NPRM to implement Section 504 for Federal agencies that have not yet issued Section 504 regulations. During FY 1991, the pool of agencies that CRD continued to work with in the joint publication process includes: Arctic Research Commission, Commission on the Bicentennial of the United States Constitution, James Madison Memorial Fellowship Foundation, United States Holocaust Memorial Council, United States Institute of Peace, National Council on Disability, Federal Credit System Assistance Board, Department of Agriculture, The Peace Corps, and the Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board.

- On July 29, 1991, CRD approved under Executive Order 12250 DOT's revised draft final Section 504 rule for federally conducted programs. While the draft is an adaptation of Justice's prototype rule, the draft contains two provisions that are unique to DOT's programs. They deal with the right to seek a review of a denial of a waiver of physical qualification standards. DOT's final rule was published in the Federal Register on August 6, 1991.

COORDINATION INITIATIVES

In addition to direct liaison with individual agencies, the Division conducts a number of projects that cut across all Executive agencies. These initiatives are directed to the elimination of duplicative requirements, to the introduction of more cost-effective procedures to reduce burdens on Federal agencies and on their recipients, and to the provision of technical assistance to Federal agencies. Several accomplishments in this area are highlighted.

- o The Division continued the review and implementation of legislation affecting its responsibilities under Executive Order 12250 and under Section 504, both directly and indirectly. CRD staff remained as active participants in the government-wide task force of Federal agencies with responsibilities related to implementation of the ADA. During FY 1991, CRD continued to operate its Telephone Information Line to respond to questions on the requirements of the ADA. The hot-line work and distribution of ADA fact sheets and brochures continued at a substantial and brisk pace. For example, during February, 1991, over 375 voice and TDD calls were received on the "ADA hot-line" and an additional 124 calls were received on CRD's electronic bulletin board. Also, as of February, 1991, the number of ADA/504 related documents distributed by the Division since the beginning of FY 1991 rose to approximately 640,000. CRD also continued operation of the Department of Justice ADA exhibit at conventions and meetings of entities and individuals directly affected by the ADA.

- o During FY 1991, CRD met its statutory mandate to develop and publish public accommodations and public services regulations for the implementation of the ADA. On July 26, 1991, this Department's regulations implementing titles II and III of the ADA were published in the Federal Register. Title II of the ADA extends the prohibition of discrimination on the basis of disability now applied to recipients of Federal financial assistance to all programs and activities of State and local governments. When the ADA takes effect in January 1992, the title II regulation will not only apply to activities such as social services, parks, and colleges, but also to tax collection, motor vehicle licensing, and many judicial functions that have not previously been subject to any Federal prohibitions on discrimination based on disability. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in places of public accommodation, such a hotels, restaurants, travel agents, dry cleaners, retail sales establishments, hospitals, homeless shelters, offices of health care providers and other professionals, movie theaters, and other establishments. It also prohibits discrimination in the conduct of courses and examinations related to licensing or certification; and it requires all new construction of (or alterations to) commercial facilities to be accessible to persons with disabilities. When the ADA takes effect, the title III regulation will apply to over 3.8 million enterprises operating over 5 million places of public accommodation.

- CRD continued to spearhead enforcement of Section 504 within DOJ by processing complaints filed principally by inmates and corrections employees of recipients of Federal funds from the National Institute of Corrections (NIC). This work was undertaken as a result of an interagency agreement between CRD and NIC. At the beginning of FY 1991, there were 39 active cases under review by Coordination and Review; 13 additional cases were opened during the fiscal year. The section closed 21 cases during FY 1991, resulting in an active caseload of 31 cases at the close of the fiscal year.

- CRD continued to implement the agreement made with the Department of Treasury's Office of Revenue Sharing (ORS) in 1987 when the Revenue Sharing Program expired. Under the agreement the Division (1) refers to appropriate agencies for processing, complaints filed with ORS alleging violations of the nondiscrimination requirements in the Revenue Sharing Act during the time in which the Revenue Sharing funds were received by a locality and (2) monitors compliance agreements signed by ORS but not yet fully implemented. CRD's FY 1919 Revenue Sharing complaint caseload included many allegations of Section 504 violations.

AGENCY LIAISON

Review Agency Implementation Plans

The Division received and reviewed civil rights implementation plan updates from approximately 25 agencies that administered programs of Federal financial assistance in FY 1991. These plans were drafted as base-year documents, covering FY 1990-1993, and established the long-range civil rights enforcement goals and priorities along with the short-term fiscal year activities undertaken to achieve them. The plan updates included projected FY 1991 activities to enforce Section 504 and the other cross-cutting civil rights statutes, and addressed major compliance and enforcement functions such as complaint investigations, compliance reviews, legal and administrative enforcement, technical assistance, and regulatory and policy development.

Collect Agency Workload and Performance Data

The Division issued a guideline for the collection and reporting of civil rights workload and performance data by agencies administering programs of Federal financial assistance. This data, which is submitted concurrently with each agency's implementation plan, addressed activities in the major components of a civil rights compliance program, such as complaint investigations, compliance reviews, and legal and administrative enforcement. CRD's collection and analysis of Section 504 workload and performance data from agencies responsible for enforcement of the statute continued.

- Division staff provided technical assistance to agency staff responsible for preparing the final FY 1991 data on civil rights workload and performance. They also analyzed the individual agency submissions. The Division issued guidelines on August 15, 1991 for updated FY 1992 implementation plans including the submission by October 15, 1991, of FY 1991 civil rights enforcement workload and performance data.

Maintain Assistance Network and Respond to Training Needs

The Division maintained its ongoing liaison activities with civil rights and other appropriate program and legal staff of the more than 25 agencies that administer Federal financial assistance programs and the more than 95 Federal Executive agencies that operate federally conducted programs. These continuing liaison activities help to identify technical assistance needs and opportunities of individual agencies to improve their compliance programs. They also serve to assess the compliance status and effectiveness of each agency in enforcing civil rights laws. Frequently, CRD staff are asked to provide training for agencies enforcing statutes covered by Executive Order 12250, especially Section 504. Efforts to train the agencies and to promote vigorous enforcement of Section 504 are highlighted.

- On June 3 and July 1, 1991, CRD staff trained Department of Agriculture (USDA) staff that included representatives from the Office of Advocacy and Enterprise, USDA's central civil rights office, and 25 civil rights offices within program agencies. The training covered the form and substance of implementation plans and emphasized particularly coverage under Section 504 as it applies to USDA's own programs and activities. Similar training was provided on June 27, 1991, to the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). The participants were primarily TVA career employees who were assigned new civil rights responsibilities as a result of the decentralization of TVA's civil rights enforcement program.

Provide Legal Assistance and Policy Interpretations

The Division continued to provide information, assistance, and policy guidance on the legal requirements of the civil rights statutes covered by Executive Order 12250. Guidance was frequently in response to requests on Section 504 matters. Examples of CRD's legal/policy assistance activities are discussed.

- October 4, 1990, one of the people who had filed a complaint with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) concerning its Morse Code requirement for amateur radio operators advised us that he has now passed the FCC's modified examination and received his license. CRD staff had met with the FCC to discuss possible modifications in the requirement to accommodate people, like the complainant, who are unable to pass the regular examination because of disabilities.

- November 26, 1990, CRD staff met with Canada's National Transportation Agency and Transport Canada concerning the requirements and implementation of the Air Carrier Access Act and the ADA, as it relates to transportation.

- September 6, 1991, the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board published guidelines for the design and construction of accessible transportation facilities and vehicles subject to the ADA. These guidelines were developed after lengthy negotiations in which Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights John R. Dunne played an active leadership role. These guidelines have been adopted by the Department of Transportation in its regulation implementing the ADA, and CRD anticipates that the DOJ regulation implementing title III will be amended to adopt the guidelines for accessible transportation facilities.

ASSIST AGENCIES TO CONDUCT SECTION 504 SELF-EVALUATIONS

During FY 1991 the Division continued with its initiative begun in the previous years to encourage, assist, and assess the efforts of Federal agencies to carry out the self-evaluation requirement contained in their final Section 504 regulations for federally conducted programs. Having guided the regulatory development process nearly to completion, the Division has focused its attention on coordinating the enforcement of these nondiscrimination requirements in the ongoing operation of the Federal Government's programs and activities.

Through the self-evaluation each agency identifies and changes any of its policies or practices that discriminate against qualified individuals with handicaps. This requirement parallels the self-evaluation required and found useful by recipients of Federal financial assistance. Section 504 regulations for federally conducted programs also require each agency to develop a transition plan when structural changes are necessary to make one or more of its programs accessible to individuals with handicaps. The effect of these requirements is to cause Federal agencies to review their facilities, programs, policies, and practices and to make changes required to permit qualified individuals with handicaps to participate fully in the agency's programs and activities.

Division staff continued to meet during FY 1991 with representatives of agencies that have published final regulations. These agencies ranged from the cabinet-level departments to the smaller agencies of the executive branch. An example of activity on this Section 504 coordination and enforcement effort follows.

- October 15, 1990, CRD staff met with the National Endowment for the Humanities' (NEH) Office of General Counsel, who is now acting director of NEH's Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO). Ways to invigorate and reorganize OEO to make it an effective component of NEH were discussed. Also discussed were specific pending matters including the publication of a revised title VI regulation, the development of an implementation plan for FY 1991, and the renewal of the self-evaluation process to implement Section 504 in NEH's own programs and activities.

Contact person: Ms. Stewart B. Oneglia (202) 307-2222

Section 507

Interagency Coordinating Council

INTERAGENCY COORDINATING COUNCIL

Section 507
Interagency Coordinating Council

The Interagency Coordinating Council was established by the 1978 amendments to the Rehabilitation Act to coordinate and facilitate the effective Federal implementation of Title V of the Act. Eight Federal agencies with enforcement or coordination functions with regard to these provisions are represented on the Council. These agencies are: the Departments of Justice, Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Interior; the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board; the Office of Personnel Management; and, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. The Council is chaired by the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights.

During FY 1991, the Council met and considered two major issues: the ADA; and publication of Government records in accessible formats for use by persons with disabilities. Consideration of these issues by the Council during FY 1991 are highlighted in its Annual Report to Congress as follows:

o The primary focus of the Council during this year has been the implementation of the ADA, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in employment, public services, public accommodations, and public transportation. The ADA also requires the establishment of a TDD (telecommunications device for deaf persons) relay system to enable people who cannot use conventional telephones to communicate with telephone users through an operator who will relay their communication. Although the Council does not have direct responsibility for ADA implementation, the Rehabilitation Act activities of the Council members are affected by the amendments made by the ADA to Section 504 and by the ADA's effect on the members' grant recipients and contractors. In addition, three Council members, ATBCB, EEOC, and DOJ, have significant roles in the ADA implementation process. These member agencies devoted a significant amount of their agencies' resources to meet their statutory obligation to issue guidelines and regulations for the implementation of the ADA by July, 26, 1991. The Council actively monitored the implementation efforts of these Council members. During this year, the EEOC issued regulations implementing the employment provisions of the ADA, and initiated a program to provide technical assistance to employers. The ATBCB issued guidelines for the accessible construction or alteration of places of public accommodation, commercial facilities, and transportation facilities subject to the ADA. In addition, the ATBCB developed and issued guidelines for the construction of accessible vehicles. These guidelines have been adopted as the ADA standards under the implementing regulations issued by the Department of Justice and the Department of Transportation. The ATBCB also has initiated a technical assistance program to assist affected individuals and entities to interpret and apply these guidelines. The DOJ published two regulations implementing the ADA. One rule establishes the requirements applicable to State and local governments subject to title II of the ADA. This regulation is modeled on the Department's prototype regulation implementing Section 504. The Department also issued a regulation implementing title III of the ADA, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in the operation of public accommodations and requires new construction and alterations of commercial facilities to be accessible. DOJ also published a proposed comprehensive Government-wide plan for providing technical assistance to covered entities for public comment in December 1990. The revised final plan is now being prepared. In addition, DOJ has established its own technical assistance to entities subject to the public services and public accommodations provisions of the ADA.

o The Council has approved a statement of policy about the obligation of Federal Executive agencies under Section 504 to make Government documents, publications, films, and other video presentations available in accessible formats for persons who have disabilities that prevent them from using conventionally printed or filmed material. This policy will be circulated among the Federal Executive agencies for comment prior to publication. Under Executive Order 12250, 3 C.F.R., 1980 comp., p. 298, the Department of Justice, which chairs the Council, is responsible, inter alia, for coordinating the government-wide implementation of Section 504 of the Act. Section 504 has been interpreted to require the provision of auxiliary aids, including Braille or audiotaped material for people who are unable to read conventionally printed material, and open-captioned video for persons who are otherwise unable to use filmed or video taped material. In carrying out its government-wide coordination obligations, the Department of Justice has issued a prototype regulation to all Federal Executive agencies to implement Section 504 in their programs and activities, and it has worked closely with each agency to ensure that regulations consistent with this prototype are published. In addition, the DOJ has issued technical assistance guides to the Executive agencies that explain these requirements, provide advice about implementing procedures to ensure that information is made available to persons with disabilities, and provide technical information about obtaining Braille, taped, or captioned material.

Contact person: Ms. Stewart B. Oneglia
(202) 307-2222

Title VI

Part B

Projects With Industry

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Developmental Programs

Section 621
Projects With Industry (PWI)
Federal Funds $19,445,000

MISSION AND PURPOSE

The purpose of the PWI program is to create a unique partnership between business, industry, labor, and the rehabilitation community, ultimately leading to competitive employment for individuals with disabilities. The primary goal of this discretionary grant program is to expand job opportunities for people with disabilities in the competitive labor market.

PWI is a Federal government/private industry initiative involving corporations, labor organizations, trade associations, foundations, and voluntary agencies that operate through a partnership with the rehabilitation community. The program creates, and expands job opportunities for people with disabilities in the competitive labor market. As part of this program, training is provided for jobs in realistic work settings, generally within commercial or industrial establishments, coupled with supportive services to enhance pre-employment and post-employment success of people with disabilities in the marketplace. Through PWI program services provided to individuals with disabilities, in FY 1991 over 13,000 individuals with disabilities became competitively employed, with an estimated average earnings of $205 a week.

In FY 1991, 13 continuation projects were funded. In addition, for the first time in the program's history, the majority of the PWI projects were recompeted and 100 new awards were made. These new awards were funded in seven priority areas, which included projects with national, local, or State/multi/state scopes; industry-based training features; specific services targeting young adults with handicaps; rural areas; and older workers with disabilities. The projects deliver a wide range of services tailored to meet the employment needs of different client populations with physical and mental disabilities representing varied occupational, and educational backgrounds. Each project is required by law to have a Business Advisory Council (BAC) that provides the mechanism for private sector business participation in policy making. This affords business and industry the opportunity to identify available jobs within the community and prescribe appropriate training programs to develop the skills necessary to fill those jobs.

EXAMPLES OF SUCCESSFUL PWI PROJECTS

Multi Resource Centers, inc. (MRC), Minneapolis, Minnesota

Population Served: Adults with Mental Illness Physical and/or Learning Disabilities

The goal of Multi Resource Center's Project With Industry is to develop and maintain partnerships between the private sector and the rehabilitation community to create and expand job opportunities for people with disabilities. Since first being funded in 1977, this project has assisted in the employment of over 2700 individuals. During that time, MRC has developed, in cooperation with area companies and the Minnesota Division of Rehabilitation Services, three computer training programs and a range of placement services that meet the varying needs of people with disabilities.

During FY 1991 MRC assisted in the placement of 193 people, at an average cost to the Federal government of $1205 per placement. The average yearly salary for these persons was $15,185 which included a high of $55,000 per year for one individual. Of those persons placed, 75 percent were severely disabled and 57 percent were unemployed for more than six (6) months prior to entering the program.

Much of the success enjoyed by the project is due to the active participation of Twin Cities area employers, the rehabilitation community and area schools. Through the Business Advisory Council and a number of other committees, business representatives, rehabilitation professionals and educators provided advise, direction and assistance to the project and MRC's candidates for competitive employment.

National Center for Disability Services (formerly Human Resource Center), Albertson, New York

Population Served: Persons With Disabilities in Long Island, NY, The Virgin Islands, San Antonio, TX, and Suffolk, NY.

The National Center for Disability Services (NCDS) PWI is a national program providing technical assistance to affiliate projects in the Virgin Islands, San Antonio, and Eastern Suffolk County and direct client services in their local area. NCDS provides technical support to rehabilitation professionals by assisting affiliated placement facilities in applying the concepts of PWI and establishing BACs who identify available jobs and the skills and training needed for occupations in the local labor market.

NCDS provides a wide range of direct services including vocational skills training, job seeking skills training, job clubs, and follow-up services to workers with disabilities and their employers in their local project in Long Island, NY.

In FY 1991, NCDS and its affiliates placed 245 individuals with disabilities into competitive employment at a cost of $921 per placement. NCDS has obtained the highest possible composite score on compliance indicators in two consecutive years.

Career Services for the Handicapped
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Population Served: Young Adults

This PWI represents a collaborative effort between the Albuquerque Public School System, Career Services, and the University of New Mexico Special Education Department. The project facilitates the transition from school to competitive employment by offering job training, job development, job seeking skills training, job coaching, and the development of natural supports to maintain employment for young adults up to age 26.

The program, with the assistance of their Business Advisory Council, placed 79 youths into competitive employment during FY 1991. The positive results of the program have been attributed to placing young adults into realistic job skills training programs, and providing training in appropriate work habits and attitudes. The cost per placement for the 79 disabled young adults was $737, and 65 percent of those placed have severe disabilities.

Kansas Elks Training Center for the Handicapped (KETCH)
Wichita, Kansas

Population Served: Adults with Disabilities

KETCH is a Statewide PWI with offices in 14 locations in Kansas. The offices are co-housed with State vocational rehabilitation agency staff. KETCH also benefits from the support of approximately 21,000 members of the Elks Lodge who represent a diverse cross-section of business and industry.

KETCH provides services for individuals with disabilities by providing Direct Placement into competitive employment, On-the-Job Training, and the Industrial Evaluation program. Industrial Evaluations are temporary placements, usually 80 hours in length, which allow PWI clients to receive feedback from the employer about their performance and an opportunity for the client to learn about various jobs.

In FY 1991, 414 individuals with disabilities were placed into competitive employment at a cost of $469 per placement.

Aging In America
Bronx, New York

Population Served: Older Adults With Disabilities

Aging In America (AIA) is a placement project serving older persons with disabilities who reside in the Metropolitan New York City area. The goal of this program is to create competitive employment opportunities for older workers who are seeking re-entry into the labor market, and also for those older individuals who are seeking employment for the first time.

Services provided by this PWI include a comprehensive evaluation, job seeking skills seminars, referral to internal and community education services, job matching, job development, job referral and placement services. AIA maintains extensive linkages with other community based providers, and has an extremely active, sizeable BAC.

In FY 1991 AIA placed 91 older persons with disabilities into competitive employment at a cost of $1108 per placement.

PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY

In addition to the review of program accomplishments, a comprehensive analysis of overall performance data for FY 1989, 1990, and 1991, and compliance with the evaluation standards developed in accordance with the 1986 Amendments to the Act was conducted in FY 1991 to evaluate PWI program effectiveness. The compliance indicators measuring nine critical performance areas for all grantees and the on-site compliance reviews of individual PWI projects proved to be useful tools in identifying specific program strengths and areas for improvement.

OVERALL PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Overall performance data compiled for FY 1989, 1990, and 1991 (see figure 1) show that PWI projects across the country have continued to carry out their mission with great success. A total of 75,730 people with disabilities had been served over this 3 year period and 43,538 of these individuals were placed in the competitive labor market.

The FY 1991 data show 23,915 persons served, with 13,577 placed into competitive employment. These figures represent a slight decrease compared to FY 1990, and are indicative of: a) PWI project emphasis on serving clients already enrolled in the program; and b), the fact that those persons with disabilities who had been unemployed for longer than six months sought job search assistance in lesser numbers.

During FY 1991 the number of persons with severe disabilities served and placed increased to 17,219, accounting for 72 percent of the PWI client population. Over the last three years, the placement rate for persons with severe disabilities, one of the must critical measures of program effectiveness, has remained consistently high at 70-71 percent. The increased cost of placement from $1,164 in FY 1989 to $1,381 in FY 1991 is reflective of the intensive services necessary to achieve placements in the current job market of decreased job opportunities.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

A detailed analysis of specific performance indicator data for FY 1989, 1990, and 1991 revealed that these outcome measures were useful in monitoring the performance of individual grantees and in determining whether a grantee should receive continued funding.

Patterns in project performance indicate that during FY 1991 the majority (87 percent) of projects have successfully met their performance objectives and attained the minimum composite score of 70 points. Grantees scored particularly high on five performance indicators including change in earnings, percent of persons with severe disabilities served and placed, and percent of persons unemployed served and placed. The most outstanding results have been produced by the Human Resources Center, a national project with multiple sites located in San Antonio, Texas, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Eastern Suffolk, New York. Their excellent accomplishments in all areas of service delivery including vocational skills training, job seeking skills training, job clubs, and follow-up services to employees and employers, have been reflected in their composite score of 150, which is the highest possible score attainable.

Over 75 percent of the grantees indicated that 60-76 percent of their clientele served and placed had severe disabilities. In addition, the majority of the projects stated that at least 60 percent of the clients served and placed had been unemployed for over 6 months.

In FY 1991 grantees had trouble meeting the cost per placement indicator. For 54 percent of the grantees, their cost exceeded the range limit of $1,600, which resulted in these grantees earning no points for this indicator.

The composite score based on performance data obtained with the nine indicators has been used to identify ineffective projects. Sixteen of the projects scored less than 70 points, which is the lowest acceptable performance score during the final year of project operation (FY 1991). The placement activities of these individual projects were especially hard hit by the impact of the current sluggish economic downturn. Several of these projects experienced delays in implementing contracts and had problems with counting placements and dealing with staff turnover.

For identifying low quality projects, the projected cost per placement and cost per placement indicators appear most effective. Performance on these two indicators seems to be predictive of the overall ability of the grantee to achieve a minimally acceptable score. Of the 125 projects, 54 percent received no points on the projected cost per placement indicator and 31 percent received no points on the cost per placement indicator.

Figure 1. Projects With Industry Program Outcomes: Overall Program Performance Data for FY 1989-1991.

	FY 1989	FY 1990	FY 1991
Persons Served	27,625	24,190	23,915
Persons Served who are severely disabled	19,351(70%)	16,862(70%)	17,219(72%)
Persons Served who were unemployed 6 months	19,248(70%)	16,364(68%)	15,656(66%)
Persons Placed	14,702(53%)	15,259(63%)	13,577(57%)
Cost/placement	$1,164	$1,123	$1,381
Persons Placed who are severely disabled	10,453(71%)	10,641(70%)	9,612(71%)
Person placed who were unemployed 6 months	9,833(67%)	10,066(66%)	8,957(66%)

ON-SITE COMPLIANCE REVIEWS

On-site compliance reviews, as required by the Act, commenced in FY 1989 and have continued since. One-third (36) of the PWI projects funded in 1987 were site-visited prior to the end of FY 1991. In FY 1991, 15 on-site compliance reviews were completed. RSA conducted site visits at the following grantee locations:

Region I:	Region V:
Vermont Assoc. of Business Industry & Rehabilitation	Multi Resource Centers, Inc.

Winooski, Vermont

Region II:

Rehab. Internat'l of PR
Santuroe, Puerto Rico

Vera Institute of Justice, Inc.
Job Path
New York, NY

Region III:

National Restaurant Assoc.
Washington, DC

Int. Assoc. of Machinist/
Aerospace Workers Ctr. for
Admin.
Rehab./Employment Serv.
Washington, DC

Uni. of Penn. Hospital Ctr.
Information Resources
Philadelphia, PA

National Council for
Therapy & Rehab. thru
Horticulture
Gaithersburg, MD

Human Resources Dev. Inst.
Washington, DC

Minneapolis Rehab. Center
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Voc. Guidance Serv.
Cleveland, OH

Region IX:

Arizona Assn. of Rehab.
Facilities
Scottsdale, AZ

Rehab. Serv. of No. CA
San Francisco, CA

SW Business, Industry &
Rehabilitation Assoc.
Scottsdale, AZ

Region X:

Uni. of Washington
College of Education
Seattle, WA

Trend Colleges, Inc.
Vancouver, WA

Background and Methodology of the Site Visits

RSA has developed a protocol and operational framework within which the on-site reviews are conducted for the PWI program. A review team is assembled for each site survey, comprised of two RSA Central and/or Regional Office representatives; a PWI Program Specialist and a Grants Management Specialist. A representative from the State VR agency, who has a working knowledge of PWI, is invited to participate. The remaining team member is a non-Federal employee with experience in conducting a PWI, who usually resides in a State other than where the project is located.

COMPLIANCE REVIEW FINDINGS

Findings of the fifteen compliance reviews conducted during FY 1991 indicated that PWI sites required technical assistance in some areas. The RSA review teams made recommendations to enable grantees to take corrective actions and make the necessary changes to meet requirements in the specific areas described below.

Cost per Placement

Six grantees were advised to work more closely with their State VR agency to develop written agreements with the State agencies and to more fully utilize their BAC's employer contacts in order to increase the number of individuals with disabilities placed in employment.

Failure to pass this indicator in and of itself, does not subject a grantee to the termination of Federal funding. Many of the grantees provide services that cause costs to exceed performance range. RSA encourages all programs to achieve the minimum cost possible.

Business Advisory Council (BAC)

In eight instances, it was suggested that grantees seek to broaden the membership of their BAC. The grantees were provided with copies of by-laws considered exemplary that would help create more structure for their BAC. RSA recommended the formulation of ad hoc committees and that specific assignments be given to BAC members between meetings.

Working Relationships

Seven grantees developed written agreements with State vocational rehabilitation agencies and other cooperating organizations. These agreements will enable grantees to better determine the role and responsibility of each agency in providing services to clients.

Organization and Administration

Eight grantees were advised that they should secure the determination by the appropriate State vocational rehabilitation units that their clients are individuals with handicaps suitable for the PWI services, as required by Federal regulations.

In all 15 visits, grantees were instructed to strengthen client records by obtaining more complete referral information and by training placement specialists when necessary in case documentation.

Project Evaluation System

Seven projects were instructed to improve their documentation methods in order to implement a system capable of retrieving the necessary data, i.e., change in earnings, severity of disability and number of placements for the RSA year-end evaluation reports.

Grants Management

Ten of the grantees experienced problems in some or all of the areas noted below:

- Grantee cost sharing or matching support was not properly documented in grantee records as required by Federal regulations;

- Other fiscal deficiencies disclosed in the reviews included: annual or at least, bi-annual independent audits are not being conducted; audit reports are not being provided to the Department of Education; written policies for staff travel, procurement, or consultants had not been established; program income is not being reported to and authorized by the Department of Education for use on the project; and some proposed program/budget modifications are not being reported for approval to the Department;

- Grantees were instructed to follow the appropriate Federal regulatory requirements. The Grants Management Specialist reviewed each section with the grantee and provided the project with the most recent copy of pertinent regulations. By adhering to the corrective actions recommended, grantees would be following all required fiscal, accounting and reporting procedures.

Other areas of concern

- At five on-site reviews, it was suggested that it would be beneficial to advise clients of their rights under the Client Assistance Program (CAP), although the grantees were instructed that this is not a requirement under the PWI regulations;

- At one site-visit, the PWI grantee was informed that site accessibility and safety needed to be improved.

RSA continues to work with projects that received site visits and continued funding to ensure that all necessary corrective action is taken.

In addition, RSA conducted an orientation training session in November 1991 to inform all grantees about their grants management responsibilities and address other areas of concern. As a follow-up, PWI staff at the Central and Regional Offices provide technical assistance on an ongoing basis to assist grantees to maximize program effectiveness.

Contact person: Thomas Finch, Ph.D., (202) 205-9796

Part C

Supported Employment Services

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Program Operations

Section 631
The State Supported Employment Services Program
Federal Funds $29,150,000

This formula grant program (Title VI, Part C) assists States to develop collaborative supported employment (SE) programs with appropriate public and private nonprofit organizations. The program is intended to enable State VR agencies to provide individuals with severe handicaps traditionally time-limited post-employment services that lead to SE. The State VR agency is responsible for the administration of the program and for establishing cooperative agreements or letters of understanding with private sources or other public agencies in which the commitment for extended services for long-term job support is secured. Funds for the program are distributed on the basis of population, with no State receiving less than $250,000.

Historically, SE developed as an alternative service delivery model to traditional rehabilitation programs that had difficulty assisting individuals with severe handicaps achieve mainstream, integrated employment. SE has demonstrated that these individuals can engage in real work for competitive pay as part of America's work force.

One of the eligibility criteria for SE is based upon each participant's inability to function independently in mainstream employment without intensive extended job support services. Job support services may be provided at the workplace for most disability groups, or if appropriate, away from the workplace for individuals who are seriously mentally ill. These extended services must be required for the duration of the employment and typically involve the continued provision of training, supervision and other services to the participant on a scheduled basis through the use of a "job coach" or employment specialist.

The Department published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on November 13, 1991, inviting public comment on the proposed final rules for the State Supported Employment Services Program. These regulations will clarify certain program requirements and make other changes that are needed to increase program effectiveness and flexibility in supported employment. The Department expects to publish final regulations by summer, 1992.

Additionally, the Department is in the process of collecting data on the Title VI, Part C State Supported Employment Services Program through two data elements that have been added to the RSA-911 (Individual Case Service Report) data collection system. The information provided by these two data elements identifies the personal and program-related characteristics of persons receiving supported employment services whose cases are closed each year.

RSA-911 data does not provide information on the number of persons served in the supported employment program. RSA has developed a separate report to collect caseload data for the Title VI, Part C Program that will monitor the volume of open cases at any point in time and the flow of new cases into active statuses. The data collection package was approved by OMB in October, 1991. The Title VI, Part C data obtained from the RSA-911 and the Case Service Report will assist in evaluating the effectiveness of the program, improve planning, monitoring, and technical assistance, and provide a basis for consideration of future policymaking.

Limited information on the State Supported Employment Services Program is available from preliminary RSA-911 1989 tabulations on 40 State VR agencies and data from the Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) Rehabilitation Research and Training Center funded by NIDRR. The RSA-911 preliminary data on the 40 State VR agencies in fiscal year 1989 indicate that 4,900 individuals were closed as rehabilitated after having received supported employment services. Additional data is expected later this year.

Further impact of the State Supported Employment Services Program is noted in FY 1990 data collected by the Research and Training Center at Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) in its survey of all 50 States and the District of Columbia. VCU's database included "merged" data of the Title VI, Part C program and the 27 Title III systems change grants (see Section 311(d)(1)(A)). Highlights of VCU's data for FY 1990 are: (1) the number of individuals in SE grew from fewer than 10,000 in FY 1986 to 74,657 in FY 1990; (2) State VR agencies were able to leverage over $190,000,000 for SE extended services from other State agencies/sources in FY 1990; (3) State VR agencies spent approximately $35,000,000 in Title I funds for SE in FY 1990--a 97.1 percent increase over the preceding year; (4) the average hourly wage of supported employment individuals increased from $3.38 in 1988 to $3.85 in 1990, representing a 13.9 percent increase; and (5) the number of SE providers increased to 2,662 in FY 1990--a 17.1 percent increase over the preceding year. More comprehensive data on this program's impact are available in the Annual Report to Congress on Supported Employment.

Contact Person: Fred Isbister, (202) 205-9297

Title VII

Part A

Comprehensive Services for Independent Living

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Program Operations

Section 701
Comprehensive Services for Independent Living Program
Federal Funds $13,619,000

Title VII, Part A of the Act authorizes grants to assist State VR agencies to provide comprehensive services for independent living to those individuals whose disabilities are so severe that they do not presently have the potential for employment, but who may benefit from vocational or other comprehensive rehabilitation services which will enable them to live and function independently, or to maintain appropriate employment. Priority for services is given to those persons not served by other provisions of the Act.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE YEAR

Seventy-nine (79) State agencies elected to participate in the Independent Living Rehabilitation Services (ILRS) program by submitting new State plans for independent living for FY 1991, 1992 and 1993. Of these agencies, 39 indicated they would invoke an order of selection for the program since they were unable to serve all eligible applicants. Forty-seven (47) agencies indicated that clients would have to meet an economic needs test in order to receive at least some ILRS (note: evaluation of rehabilitation potential; counseling, guidance and referral services; and placement services must be provided without consideration of the client's economic need).

Narrative reports from State agencies indicate that most States use the ILRS program in conjunction with services through the community based centers for independent living (CILs) to provide a comprehensive network of independent living services to meet the needs of individuals with severe disabilities in their States.

Some accomplishments of State ILRS programs in addition to the traditional direct client services include:

- development and expansion of networks of trained peer counselors to provide outreach, information and referral, and counseling to individuals with severe disabilities;
- a systematic program of de-institutionalization and prevention of institutionalization of individuals with severe physical disabilities by the provision of community based independent living services, particularly the coordination of personal care attendant services; and

- identifying and addressing the independent living service needs of native Americans with severe disabilities living on reservations and in urban areas.

The State ILRS programs have demonstrated creativity in cooperating with other service providers to provide comprehensive independent living services to individuals with severe disabilities in their States.

STATISTICS - FY 1991

The source for the data used for this summary is the Annual Report on State Agency Independent Living Rehabilitation Services, Title VII, Part A (Form ED-RSA-7A). A new version of this reporting instrument was developed during FY 1990. Information added included: 1) amount of non-Federal funds over the required 10% match used for the ILRS program; 2) amount of Federal funds subgranted; 3) number of subgrants awarded; 4) number of subgrantee staff; 5) reasons for closure; and 6) time in the active caseload. All but five of the agencies reporting for FY 1991 used the new format. Because of the limitations in reporting during FY 1990, no data from the new items were shown for that year. FY 1991 is the first year that information on the new data items will be presented. Tables and charts presenting these data can be found in Appendix H.

CASELOAD STATISTICS

Administrative data

States contributed a total of 5.9 million dollars in non-Federal funds over the required 10-percent match for use by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies during FY 1991. Agencies reported that they subgranted 4.6 million dollars of Federal funds to a total of 164 subgrantees to provide services to clients. Overall, the number of staff in State ILR agencies and subgrantees, paid from all sources, during FY 1991 were 178.2 and 156.7, respectively (full-time equivalents).

Applicant status

A total of 17,476 individuals were in applicant status (pre-active caseloads) during FY 1991. Of this total, 12,996, or 74.4 percent, were new applicants. Over half (51.9 percent) of the persons in this status were determined eligible for ILRS, an additional 21.3 percent were determined ineligible for services, and 26.8 percent were awaiting their eligibility determinations at the end of the year.

Compared with pre-active caseload activity in the previous fiscal year, increases occurred in all areas except cases on hand at the beginning of the year and cases accepted for IL during this period. The declines experienced were 3.9 percent for new acceptances and 3.4 percent for cases carried over from the prior year. The largest increase (13.0 percent) was reported in the number of cases not accepted for ILR services.

Active Caseload

The 9,069 individuals with severe disabilities accepted for IL services during FY 1991 accounted for 46.8 percent of the total 19,377 clients in the active caseload. Clients whose cases were closed during the year for various reasons represented 45.0 percent of the total available, and 10,656 cases (55.0 percent of the total) were still in receipt of services at the end of FY 1991.

With the exception of newly accepted cases, active caseload activity was up from a year ago. Total persons served during FY 1991 were 6.3 percent more than the total number served during FY 1990. The 8,721 cases closed during this period represented an increase of 5.3 percent from the prior year, and the total on hand in the active caseload at the end of FY 1991 is 6.7 percent more than the number on hand on the same date one year earlier.

Client Profile

Age (at closure or at the end of the year)

Age is reported annually for each client served by State ILR agencies. More than one-fourth (28.9 percent) of those served during FY 1991 were 65 years old and over. Individuals who were between the ages of 23 and 54 years (working age) accounted for the largest proportion (42.0 percent), and clients between 55 and 64 years represented 12.1 percent of the total. One out of every eight persons served (12.5 percent) were under 23 years old, and age was not reported for the remaining 4.4 percent.

Sex

Over half (51.6 percent) of the persons served in FY 1991 were females. This differs from the vocational rehabilitation (VR) program where the majority of the individuals served are usually males.

Race/ethnicity

Minorities knowingly accounted for 17.5 percent of the total 19,377 persons served during FY 1991. Racial identity was not reported for 5.2 percent of the individuals served.

Hispanics, regardless of race, represented 4.5 percent of the total served.

Disability (major disabling condition)

Visual impairments (blindness and other visual impairments) are the most common disabling conditions for persons served in FY 1991. Nearly one-third (32.2 percent) were so impaired. Orthopedic impairments (excluding spinal cord injuries and some neuromuscular disorders) represented 21.4 percent. Neurological disorders including neuromuscular conditions represented 10.2 percent and spinal cord injuries accounted for 7.6 percent of the total available. There were 6,543 (25.1 percent) IL clients served who had multiple disabilities, and 687 (3.5 percent) had conditions related to traumatic brain injuries.

Services provided

Of the 16 broad ILRS categories, counseling was the service most frequently provided. Close to half (48.5 percent) of the individuals served during FY 1991 received some kind of counseling service. Additional services received by large percentages of IL clients served in FY 1991 included: 1) advocacy and referral services (29.2 percent); 2) daily living services (27.1 percent); and 3) physical and mental restoration (23.2 percent). Services other than those listed on the form were provided to 27.1 percent of those served.

IL IWRP goals

Each client who receives comprehensive services for independent living is required to have an individualized written rehabilitation program which states specific goals and the services required to meet those goals. The RSA-7A collects information on the number of individuals who achieve their IWRP goals. Over half (54.2 percent) of the individuals whose cases were closed during FY 1991 had programs which specified services necessary to improve their ability to take care of themselves (self-care), and 42.4 percent of the IWRP's included mobility as a goal. The distribution for the remaining categories was: 1) vocational (4.1 percent); 2) other (7.8 percent); 3) educational (10.6 percent); 4) residential (17.4 percent), and 5) communication (30.4 percent).

More than 70 percent of the clients with specific goals in their programs achieved those goals. The area with the highest level of achievement was residential goals which were achieved by 79.1 percent of the individuals who had that goal specified in their IWRP's. Employment was a goal for only 4.1 percent of the clients who completed their ILR programs during FY 1991. Unlike the VR program, achievement of a vocational goal following the completion of ILR services primarily involves maintaining suitable employment not job placement. Large proportions of individuals with goals to improve mobility (75.4 percent) and ability to take care of themselves (75.3 percent) were also successful. Educational goals were achieved by 66.9 percent, and the lowest level of achievement (52.8 percent) was reported for the 360 clients who had vocational goals.

Reasons for closure

There were 8,721 clients whose cases were closed during FY 1991. Of this total, 6,998 or 80.2 percent were closed as no longer needing ILR services. An additional 16.5 percent still needed services when their cases were closed and 3.3 percent had their cases terminated because of death. Overall, 514 or 5.9 percent of the total cases closed were referred to State VR agencies. Among the cases closed no longer needing ILR services, 6,619 achieved their IL goals. These individuals accounted for three-fourths of the total cases closed during the period. Clients referred to VR who no longer needed ILR services represented 5.1 percent of the total closed. Reasons other than those listed on the form were the most common reasons for closure among cases closed when the person still needed ILR services. These reasons accounted for 7.4 percent of the total closed. Other reasons for closure may include deterioration of client's condition and services not readily available in client's location.

Length of time in active caseload

Overall, more than half (54.0 percent) of the clients served by State ILR agencies during FY 1991 were in the active caseload for a maximum period of one year. Another 20 percent were in active statuses between one and two years and 14 percent were in the caseload for more than two years. Time in active statuses was not available for 12.2 percent of the total clients served.

Part B

Centers for Independent Living

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Developmental Programs

Section 711
Centers for Independent Living
Federal Funds $27,579,000

MISSION AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this program is to provide funds to State vocational rehabilitation units or local public agencies and private nonprofit organizations for the establishment and operation of Centers for Independent Living (CIL). These centers for independent living, either funded directly or indirectly through grants to States, are nonresidential community-based programs of services or facilities offering a combination of independent living services for individuals with severe disabilities or groups of individuals with severe disabilities that promote independence, productivity, and quality of life.

The varied combination of independent living services provided to individuals with severe disabilities through this program include: intake counseling; referral and counseling services with respect to attendant care; counseling and advocacy services with respect to legal and economic rights and benefits; independent living skills, counseling, and training, including such programs as training in the maintenance of necessary equipment and in counseling on therapy needs and programs, and special programs for individuals who are blind and deaf; housing, recreation, and transportation referral and assistance; surveys and directories, and other activities to identify appropriate housing, recreational opportunities, and accessible transportation, and other support services; health maintenance programs; peer counseling; community group living arrangements; education and training necessary for living in the community and participating in community activities; other programs designed to provide resources, training, counseling, services, or other assistance of substantial benefit in promoting the independence, productivity, and quality of life of individuals with disabilities attendant care and training or personnel to provide such care; and such other services as may be necessary and not inconsistent with the provision of this title.

Centers conduct other important activities, such as outreach/community education, technical assistance to other community agencies, transitional services to assist youth in making the transition from school to the community, service coordination, emergency intervention, individual and group social and recreation activities, and vocational/educational/employment services.

Section 711 of the Act requires that individuals with disabilities be substantially involved in policy direction and management of such centers. All Centers operated with funds under this program must have a principal governing board composed of a majority of persons with disabilities.

ACTIVITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- 144 awards were made to 97 grantees, averaging $191,521 per award. These funds were used to operate 202 Centers in 50 States, the District of Columbia and 3 territories.
- Direct services were provided by centers for independent living to approximately 100,000 individuals. Information and referral services were provided over 280,000 times.
- According to the fiscal year 1991 annual evaluation (A-K) data, current projects provide a wide range of services to the following individuals and groups with the following disability representations: traumatic brain injury -- 3.1%, visual impairment -- 16.7%, hearing impairment -- 12.4%, cerebral palsy -- 3.1%, spinal cord injury -- 4.9%, multiple sclerosis -- 2.8%, muscular dystrophy -- 1.2%, arthritis -- 2.2%, other orthopedic -- 20.1%, amputation -- 1.5%, mental retardation and mental illness -- 12.1%, and other disabilities -- 40.9%.
- Through education and advocacy, the CILs worked to influence positive community change and increase access throughout the country.
- On-site compliance reviews were conducted at 51 CILs. In most instances, the centers were deemed in compliance with program regulations and they provided fully satisfactory to superior services.

State VR agencies are the recipients of 94 of the 144 grants. Forty-one grants are awarded to general State agencies, 33 to combined State agencies, 15 to State agencies for the blind, and 5 to co-applicant general State agencies and State agencies for the blind. Of the 50 grants awarded to nonprofit organizations, 44 are awarded to organizations incorporated as CILs, 5 to community services organizations with independent living program components, and one to a university.

Data from the annual A-K reports for FY 1991 indicated that project staff were actively involved with creating community change. Examples of such change include, but are not limited to: encouraging local transportation providers to convert to a majority of mainline accessible buses to meet the transportation needs of individuals with mobility impairments; developing extensive volunteer networks based at the CIL; creating accessible materials for individuals with visual impairment; developing innovative programs for individuals with mental illness such as, self-help and housing services for individuals who are homeless and peer counseling programs for individuals in locked wards; developing and managing local and State equipment loan and revolving fund programs; developing and operating a wide variety of consumer controlled attendant care approaches and funding strategies; counseling individuals with severe disabilities and their families as to the availability of rights and benefits regarding appropriate school options and medical support; educating elders with developing severe disabilities on independent living techniques and skills; and organizing and coordinating Statewide TDD services for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing.

MANDATORY SITE VISITS

Section 711(f)(3) of the Rehabilitation Act requires that RSA conduct on-site compliance reviews of at least one-third of the grantees receiving funding in 1987 by the end of 1991. The purposes of the site visits included the following to:

- assess compliance with the reporting requirements of Section 711(c)(3) of the Act;
- study the program operation, organizational structure, and administration of the grantees and centers;
- verify that the grantees and centers are managed in accord with Federal requirements contained in the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) and 34 CFR Part 366;
- assess the projects conformance with the goals, objectives, outcomes, activities, and conditions of the approved application;
- identify areas of needing improvements; and
- identify areas requiring technical assistance needed to minimize or eliminate deficiencies.

In accordance with the requirements in the Act, the grantees and CILs to be site visited were selected randomly. Since most grants are awarded to State VR agencies, but most of the services are provided by CILs, it was decided that the actual CILs, not the grantees, would be visited. RSA began the on-site reviews in the summer of 1990. Each review team consisted of RSA program and grants management staff, a non-Federal individual who was an expert in the provision of independent living services and, when relevant, a representative from the appropriate State agency grantee. The results of these site visits were positive. Interviews with individuals who received services were very valuable, as they illustrated how the CILs have had an impact on their lives. A review of the financial, policy and program information provided a critical view of the operations and procedures of the grantee and center. By the end of FY 1991, compliance reviews were conducted in one-third of the grantees receiving support in FY 1987.

The reviewers found that the types of services provided were comprehensive and consistent, yet responsive to divergent community needs. The IL philosophy of self-determination and empowerment was well embroidered into the fabric of these CILs.

SITE VISIT HIGHLIGHTS

The following information is organized by Federal region with CILs in each region organized alphabetically by State.

Region I

INDEPENDENCE UNLIMITED, INC. (IUI)
Hartford, Connecticut

IUI is committed to empowering persons with disabilities by facilitating their independence. The CIL uses volunteers in all aspects of its operations. The CIL serves a cross-disability population provides peer counseling services, skills training services, accessibility education, and information and referral services. IUI was found to have sound and effective financial administration.

CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING AND WORKING OF SOUTHWESTERN CONNECTICUT (CILSC), Stratford, Connecticut

The center serves a cross-disability population and provides peer counseling services, skills training services, adaptive technology resources, accessibility education, information and referral services, and community and legislative advocacy. CILSC is dedicated to assisting individuals with any disability to locate and obtain the appropriate services to attain their self-directed individual goals and community integration. Reviewers found that consumers provided valuable input into the CIL's activities, policies and planning.

STAVROS CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING, INC.

Amherst, Massachusetts

This CIL has offices in Amherst, Springfield and Greenfield. The CIL concentrates its efforts on community advocacy and coordination activities. The CIL assists the access of individuals with disabilities to services, transportation and recreation throughout the entire service area. Stavros is also noted for its outreach efforts to multi-cultural communities.

BOSTON CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (BCIL)

Boston, Massachusetts

This CIL is one of the oldest in the country. In the past fiscal year, BCIL provided over 22,569 hours of independent living services to 671 consumers. These services were both diverse and consumer directed and controlled. Among the strengths of this CIL are peer counseling and skills training services, personal care attendant services and an excellent transitional living program for individuals who can benefit from intensive apartment based learning experiences before living independently in the community.

INDEPENDENCE ASSOCIATES

Brockton, Massachusetts

Independence Associates, with centers in Brockton and Taunton, delivers services designed to respond to the needs of individuals with a wide range of disability types, ages and individual circumstances and goals. It is committed to the IL movement and the civil rights of people with disabilities. Interviews with consumers and staff and the review of case records indicate that all of the center's independent living services are consumer directed. The numbers of goals that have been achieved is evidence that consumers are satisfied with the activities provided in the CIL. This program has demonstrated a balanced approach between community systems change and direct service provision.

AD LIB INC.

Pittsfield, Massachusetts

Ad Lib Inc. serves a cross-disability population and provides peer counseling services, skills training services, deafness services, information and referral services, and community advocacy. Ad Lib offers a one-to-one relationship between consumer and staff. Working together, carefully examining the consumers abilities and desires, the consumers are encouraged to take control of their own lives and make their own decisions. Ad Lib's community advocacy and coordination activities substantially assisting the access of individuals with disabilities to services, transportation and recreation were found to be exemplary. An example of these services is a very successful after hours drop-in center.

THE CENTER FOR LIVING AND WORKING, INC. (CLW)
Worcester, Massachusetts

During this past fiscal year, CLW provided 14,458 hours of independent living services to 584 consumers. CLW has done an excellent job at expanding its funding base. A major award from a private foundation, together with substantial State and Housing and Urban Development (HUD) dollars, provide a solid and varied financial foundation.

GRANITE STATE INDEPENDENT LIVING FOUNDATION (GSILF)
Concord, New Hampshire

GSILF provides IL services throughout the State. The CIL primarily serves individuals with physical and hearing disabilities and provides peer counseling services, skills training services, communication and IL skills training for individuals who are deaf, personal care assistance services, information and referral services, community services, and community advocacy. The CIL is dedicated to improving the quality of life for disabled persons by insuring the availability of the broadest range of services, by conducting advocacy efforts, and by the establishment of social support. The CIL provides services with the peer support groups, throughout the State.

Region II

DISABLED INFORMATION AWARENESS AND LIVING, INC. (DIAL)
Clifton, New Jersey

DIAL serves seven counties in New Jersey. This CIL has three branches which provide comprehensive services to consumers in urban and rural parts of the State. DIAL provides an array of services including: information and referral, rehabilitation engineering, architectural barrier reduction, IL skills training, peer counseling/support and advocacy support. With an extremely active/supportive consumer directed advisory board, DIAL has established numerous coalitions of consumers to work on various needs in the State.

HEIGHTENED INDEPENDENCE AND PROGRESS (HIP)
Englewood, New Jersey

HIP is the oldest federally funded CIL in New Jersey, established in 1980 as a subprogram of the Social Service Federation of Englewood. It was separately incorporated in 1988. HIP provides comprehensive IL services to Bergen and Hudson counties. The CIL receives IL Parts A, B and C funding from both State VR agencies. HIP provides a wide variety of services to persons with disabilities including: information and referral, peer counseling and other counseling services, transportation assistance, architectural barrier reduction, technical assistance with adaptive devices, individual and community advocacy, health/personal management services, income planning and financial management, housing referral, and recreational services. Additionally, HIP is providing outreach to minority individuals with a full-time staff person devoted to this activity and hosts ongoing self-help support groups for persons with Multiple Sclerosis, learning disabilities and hearing impairments.

CAPITAL DISTRICT CENTER FOR INDEPENDENCE INC. (CDCI)
Albany, New York

CDCI began operations in 1980 providing services to a four county area. Services include information and referral, peer counseling, advocacy, independent living services, wheelchair accessible van services, community education, disability awareness programs, sign language interpreting, architectural barriers consultation, and equipment loan. CDCI provides services to a wide range of individuals with disabilities and strives to work cooperatively with other agencies and organizations located within the community.

INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER OF WESTERN NEW YORK (ILCWNY)
Buffalo, New York

ILCWNY was an outgrowth of an effort in 1979 by students with disabilities from the State University of Buffalo to empower themselves. ILCWNY was funded to provide systems advocacy and coordination of consumer services with other agencies through a comprehensive program of non-medical services. With the help of a western New York foundation, the CIL equipped a library/resource room. Information is available on a variety of disability-related subject areas through the use of a computer catalog which features synthesized speech and an optional enlarged keyboard.

CENTER FOR INDEPENDENCE OF THE DISABLED IN NEW YORK (CIDNY)
New York, New York

CIDNY was established in 1979. The center provides a wide range of direct services to consumers with a variety of disabilities, including those classified as HIV positive. CIDNY worked to improve compliance to the New York Building Code which mandates accessibility through a working group of Board members, activists with disabilities, the real estate community, and city officials. In 1991, CIDNY was funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation to establish a primary health care facility for people with disabilities.

ROCHESTER CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (RCIL)
Rochester, New York

RCIL was formed in 1966, when a group of people with disabilities formed an organization to eliminate architectural barriers. In 1979 RCIL was incorporated as a CIL providing IL services to a variety of individuals with disabilities. Developing access both to transportation and housing has been a priority for RCIL for 1991. Providing information on the ADA to consumers, employers and the public at large has become an important educational effort.

WESTCHESTER INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER (WILC)
White Plains, New York

WILC was originally part of the Westchester County Office of the Disabled. In 1983, it became a free-standing CIL. Since initiating services, it has developed a strong advocacy/legal rights focus. The New York State Client Assistance Program and the Protection and Advocacy Program have representative housed within the CIL serving the surrounding counties. During 1990, WILC established a full-time Hispanic Outreach Program serving Hispanics with disabilities and their families.

MOVIMIENTO ALCANCE VIDE INDEPENDIENTE (MAVI)
Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico

This program serves the extended metropolitan area. A wide range of services are provided. This program employs an occupational therapist and a recreational therapist. MAVI meets many of the needs of the adult population with severe disabilities, enabling them to live independently. A special unit provides pre-vocational training, including occupational and recreational therapy. A strong parents' association provides a wide range of support services to enhance the CIL's activities, including sponsoring fund raising activities to purchase equipment not covered by the grant.

VIRGIN ISLANDS ASSOCIATION FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (VIAIL)
St. Thomas, Virgin Islands

VIAIL is the only private organization on the Island providing services to persons with disabilities on an individual or on a group basis at the CIL or at the persons home. This program has worked to raise the consciousness of the community about persons with disabilities. Of special note is an annual two-day workshop provided by the CIL to nursing students at the University of the Virgin Islands.

Region III

MARYLAND CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING
Baltimore, Maryland

This CIL is the only consumer directed CIL in the State. The CIL attempts to address Statewide problems by utilizing an 800 telephone number Statewide for information and referral. The CIL primarily serves individuals with disabilities who are low income, male and residents of Baltimore city. Services include case management, information and referral, benefits coordination, transportation, and attendant management services. The most used service is peer counseling regarding housing assistance. Unique services include: shelter services for homeless individuals who are disabled, pre-parole services for inmates with disabilities, and services for visitors/tourists with disabilities.

CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING OF SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA
Altoona, Pennsylvania

The CIL is the only consumer directed center in a seven county area and addresses area problems through extensive networking with local community resources. The CIL receives referrals from various organizations, including: churches, human services committees, county commissioners, schools, etc. Services include: independent living skills training, minor home modifications, peer counseling, individual and community advocacy, housing referral, information and referral, transition assistance, referral to the Commonwealth's attendant care program, attendant management, and outreach to local organizations and schools. Unique services provided by the program include establishment of an independent living skills training program at the Hiram G. Andrews Rehabilitation Center in Johnstown. The CIL also uses the American Businessmen's Club to assist in the purchase of materials for the provision of ramps and home modifications for individuals with disabilities.

NORTH CENTRAL CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (NCCIL)
Lewisburg, Pennsylvania

The NCCIL serves eight counties in north-central Pennsylvania which are primarily rural except for State College. At least one Board of Directors member is from each of the counties served. Each Board member has a local Advisory Council in the county from which the member is located. The staff spends a great deal of time in the field. Services of the CIL include: IL skills training, peer and professional counseling, individual and community advocacy, housing referral, information and referral, attendant care management, a resource library, advice on legal rights, support networks, and outreach to local organizations and schools. Unique services include: the establishment of a chronic pain support group, the development of a novel approach to the staffing of the CIL to accommodate the rural and remote areas served, and the use of part-time staff members to provide appropriate services (many of these persons have previously been consumers of the CIL). The CIL provides most of the independent living skills training services in the home of the consumer to permit the adaptation of services and learning experiences to the home environment.

RESOURCES FOR LIVING INDEPENDENTLY CENTER (RLIC)
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

The RLIC serves a five county area in Southeastern Pennsylvania. The service area is primarily urban with only a small rural pocket. The CIL has several sizable contracts which provide additional IL services to consumers in its primary service area and to areas outside the five county service area. Services include: independent living skills training, minor home modifications, peer counseling, individual and community advocacy, housing referral, information and referral, an attendant care program, attendant management, and outreach to local organizations and schools. Unique services of the RLIC are: provision of transition services at a ten unit transitional facility in collaboration with the National Temple (a North Philadelphia Community Development Agency). Other services include the operation of a Hispanic Outreach Program for Latinos with disabilities, homemaker services provided to individuals with disabilities through Philadelphia County with Office of Homeless Services funds, and personal attendant care services funded by the Commonwealth.

NORTH CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (NCWVCIL)
Morgantown, West Virginia

The NCWVCIL is located adjacent to the West Virginia University campus. The Center provides services to a population which is between the ages of 25-44, evenly divided between male and female, and approximately one-half of the consumers have been in the past or are presently clients of the West Virginia Division of Rehabilitation Services. Services include: advocacy/benefit assistance, comprehensive assessments, independent living skills training, peer support/supportive counseling, case management services, information and referral, TDD relay, peer support groups, and interpreter services. Unique services of the NCWVCIL include the provision of orientation and mobility services for individuals who are blind and visually impaired. The CIL has an itinerant peripatologist on staff. Another service provided is several peer support groups with staff serving as facilitators. Also, the CIL has developed dining and TDD directories to the benefit of West Virginians and visitors with disabilities.

Region IV

INDEPENDENCE FOR THE BLIND
Tallahassee, Florida

Independence for the Blind is currently serving eleven counties. Since the CIL targets the population of individuals with visual impairment, it provides materials in multimedia for that population, including tape, braille or large print. The CIL has a rehabilitation technology program and a supported employment program. The CIL offers diverse services directly or through referral, however, the major emphasis seems to be on rehabilitation teaching.

CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING OF NORTH FLORIDA
Tallahassee, Florida

This CIL serves fourteen counties in Northern Florida. The CIL has doubled its services in the past three years. It is divided into five programs: IL Services, Tools for Independence (equipment loan program), the Ramp Builders Project, Long-Term Residential Service, and Rehabilitation Engineering. The Residential Program includes a consumer-controlled personal care component. The CIL maintains a current attendant roster used by the residents of the program or other consumers in the community. In the process of recruiting attendants, the CIL developed a strong community resource with the Volunteer Action Program called the "Grandpeople" program. Senior citizens are identified, trained and utilized as personal care attendants.

Region V

ALLEN COUNTY LEAGUE FOR THE BLIND
Fort Wayne, Indiana

The requirements and philosophy of Title VII have enabled the Board of the Allen County League for the Blind to become consumer controlled and the programs to fill service gaps in the community for a variety of persons with disabilities. The programs initiated or planned since the date of the review now include: technology, job accommodation services, and ADA compliance activities.

REGIONAL ACCESS AND MOBILITY PROJECT
Rockford, Illinois

A pioneer in Illinois, this CIL developed innovative programs needed to serve persons with disabilities using satellite offices. Community advocacy and collaboration with other service providers are major emphases, in addition to direct service provision. Some of the special programs established serve consumers who are deaf or hard of hearing, have traumatic brain injuries, or have alcohol and substance abuse problems. Additional resources, when available, are planned to be used to extend programs to three additional counties. A strong emphasis is placed on jobs and vocational needs of consumers.

WILL-GRUNDY CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING
Joliet, Illinois

The CIL has rapidly established its presence in a two county area. It has an active consumer board and provides over 22 different types of independent living services to more than 260 consumers a year. Exemplary programs provide: services to consumers who are deaf, community awareness and public information for all persons with disabilities, and special services for Spanish speaking consumers. Strategic planning, marketing, and fund raising activities are well developed and implemented to broaden community services, and support continuing programs relevant to community needs.

Region VI

MAINSTREAM LIVING
Little Rock, Arkansas

The Arkansas Department of Rehabilitation Services contracts with Mainstream Living. CIL programs include peer support groups, supported employment, community support and other areas. Mainstream Living is responsible for conducting a cooperative ramp construction program with the Southwestern Bell Telephone Pioneers and the Metropolitan Junior Chamber of Commerce, constructing over 158 ramps since the inception of the program. Ramps were built with volunteer labor or retired Pioneers and supplies were provided by city funds and grant funds from the Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.

NEW ORLEANS RESOURCES FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING
New Orleans, Louisiana

Still in its "embryonic" stage of development, the CIL has developed a diversified base of funding sources. It has experienced very rapid growth and significant expansion of its funding base. In addition to providing core services, the center is working with the Regional Transit Authority, the Elderly and Handicapped Advisory Committee, Mayor's Advisory Committee, and other groups to discuss issues such as Medicaid waivers, a Personal Care Attendant grant, and the need for State appropriated funds.

NEW HORIZONS
Shreveport, Louisiana

This CIL increased its funding four-fold through aggressive pursuit of other public funding, cooperation with other agencies and utilization of in-kind contributions of goods, real estate and services. Through a $10,000 grant from the City of Shreveport Emergency Shelter, transitional housing is provided for several individuals to teach them IL and vocational skills. The CIL operates a facility for the homeless which they purchased for a $1.00 from HUD. Since that time, a donation of eleven (11) houses has been made to New Horizons with an approximate value of $60,000.

NORTHWEST OKLAHOMA INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER
Enid, Oklahoma

This CIL provides comprehensive independent living services for 55 persons primarily with severe hearing (21) and orthopedic impairments (11) or mental retardation (9). Services provided include: IL skills training, legal and other counseling, and personal care. Their adaptive aquatics program allows persons with severe disabilities to utilize a local therapeutic accessible pool for both therapy and recreation purposes.

CRISS COLE REHABILITATION CENTER
Austin, Texas

This CIL is a comprehensive rehabilitation facility operated by the Texas Commission for the Blind (TCB). The CIL provides personal assessment and training services in vocational, personal, social and IL skills to legally and totally blind adults referred by TCB field offices. Adaptive skills training at the CIL includes orientation and mobility training, home and personnel management training, communication skills and technology training. Additional services include low vision services, occupational therapy, vocational assessment, health management, college preparation, therapeutic recreation, residential and IL services in addition to specialized services for persons who are deaf/blind.

Region VII

IOWA DEPARTMENT FOR THE BLIND CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING SERVICES, Des Moines, Iowa

The CIL's program more closely duplicates a traditional rehabilitation service delivery mode than typically found in other CILs. Staff are hired through the State personnel system and are trained in the rehabilitation of individuals with blind/visual impairments. IL Rehabilitation teachers travel the entire state, teaching people positive approaches to blindness, IL skills, and alternative techniques for coping with blindness, such as Braille and mobility instruction.

INDEPENDENT LIVING IN NORTHWEST KANSAS, INC.
Hays, Kansas

This CIL's multiple initiatives and practices include a well organized, comprehensive resource library and an annual "Art Knows No Bounds" exhibition which displays works of artists with disabilities. Other activities relate to the provision of services for individuals who are deaf, a self-directed personal attendant care program, an ADA technical assistance program, a transportation program, and a recreational program for consumers.

LEAGUE OF HUMAN DIGNITY RURAL INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER
Norfolk, Nebraska

This CIL conducts an outreach program for persons with severe disabilities in all disability categories and serves a primarily rural 14 county area, 8,000 square miles in size. The area of service also includes 3 Indian Reservations. The review team found that the CIL has an exemplary initiative on the development of transitional living opportunities for consumers. Also, HUD section 202 grant funds enabled the CIL to construct accessible housing apartments in 3 rural sites including York, Columbus, and Norfolk.

Region IX

CENTER FOR THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE DISABLED (CID)
Belmont, California

CID serves the County of San Mateo, comprised of some 20 cities from the Bay to the coast, with a population of 641,000, both urban and rural, and an estimated disabled population of 114,000. Three hundred and eighty-nine individuals with severe disabilities received service. Two innovative programs offered by the CIL are Volunteers in Money Management and Shopping Assistance for Someone Homebound.

INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
Van Nuys, California

This CIL is located in a densely populated metropolitan area. It is the only cil in California to be certified by the Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF). They recently established a satellite office in Lancaster. The Lancaster office serves a previously unserved, developing urban community in the Antelope Valley that includes parts of southeast Kern County and the Mojave Desert. Staff have developed administrative policy and procedure manuals that were well written and very thorough. Case files were organized and well documented and eligibility determinations were quickly made. Services were appropriate and responsive to the need of the consumers. There was also attention to advocacy activities.

INDEPENDENT LIVING RESOURCE CENTER OF SAN FRANCISCO (ILRC)
San Francisco, California

The CIL receives two Title VII, Part B grants, one direct grant from RSA and one sub-grant from the California Department of Rehabilitation (DR). The direct RSA grant, called BUILD (for Building Up Independent Lives with Determination), provides services to consumers with psychiatric disabilities. Services include disability benefits counseling, housing services, job referral and job search skills development, and peer counseling. The California DR sub-grant is specifically used for services to consumers who are hearing impaired and includes disability benefits counseling, housing referral, peer support, IL skills training, advocacy. In addition to the two grant funded programs, a third service program provides attendant referral services, respite services, IL skills consultation, long-term care and resources planning, counseling and support to families and individuals with disabilities, home equity conversion counseling, financial benefits counseling and peer counseling. The latter service, targeted to low-income elderly homeowners, provides third party counseling in areas of reverse annuity mortgages, home equity options, property tax postponements, rehabilitation loans and information and assistance. In addition to on-site peer counseling services, ILRC has an innovative program to reach consumers in the psychiatric unit of a local hospital. Peer counselors make visits to the unit, introduce themselves to patients and describe the peer counseling program. They also are there to help explain the hospital process, patients' rights, etc. ILRC gives recognition and a small monetary award to MUNI drivers (city bus and trolley drivers) who show sensitivity and courtesy to elderly and disabled passengers. Consumers nominate the drivers. This has reportedly become a coveted recognition.

HAWAII CENTERS FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (HCIL)
Oahu, Kauai, Hilo, Maui and Kailua-Kona, Hawaii

HCIL has operated since June of 1981 as a non-profit, statewide agency. It is the only CIL in the State. The main office of the CIL is located in Honolulu on the island of Oahu. This office is responsible for all administration, accounting, fund raising, grant writing, program development and evaluation, planning, and statewide coordination. All CIL service locations operate primarily as direct service providers and local systems advocates. Areas served include metropolitan areas to small rural areas surviving on the tourist industry. There is frequently no public transportation or very limited accessible transportation available. Several CIL service location directors are key community service providers, this allows a good opportunity for networking and coordination of community services, as well as building of joint advocacy agendas.

NEVADA ASSOCIATION FOR THE HANDICAPPED (NAH)
Las Vegas, Nevada

NAH is not solely a CIL. In addition to the IL program, NAH runs a day care program for children age 6 through 14, a day activity center, a sheltered workshop, a behavioral modification program, and has an arrangement with a commercial nursery where graduates of the workshop are hired. NAH serves the southern area of Nevada. Clientele predominantly, if not entirely, have developmental disabilities. In recent efforts, they have re-established contact with the Nevada Rehabilitation Division and established closer working relationships with other local agencies and are making progress toward making themselves better recognized in the community and becoming a more traditional CIL.

NORTHERN NEVADA CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING
Reno, NV

This CIL serves every county in the State except Clark County (Las Vegas). This includes both urban and rural locations over a large geographic area. A variety of IL services is provided to a wide consumer base. The CIL has been very active as an agent advocating for systems change.

Region X

ACCESS ALASKA
Anchorage, with a branch in Fairbanks, AK

Access Alaska has a caseload of individuals who are predominantly disabled with brain or spinal cord injuries. It is locally organized with persons with disabilities in many staff positions. The CIL uses a sophisticated computer software accounting system for record keeping relative to case statistics. The CIL provides information and referral services primarily to physically disabled persons, provides training courses for personal care attendants and assists with other services such as finding suitable housing for persons with disabilities.

VISION RESOURCES FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (VRIL)
Portland, OR

VRIL receives funding from the Oregon Commission for the Blind for providing basic IL services and receives a supplementary grant directly from RSA for program enhancement. Consumers served primarily experience visual disabilities. However, a large number of individuals served also have additional disabilities, some of which are the primary reason for requiring services. VRIL has eight employees who are all very active in community advocacy and systems change. The CIL has evolved from a small braille and taping service, which it continues to provide on a somewhat larger scale. The majority of the staff and the governing board have disabilities, and the facility is fully accessible.

GOOD SAMARITAN CENTER FOR INDEPENDENCE
Puyallup, WA

This CIL was a component of the Good Samaritan Hospital for seven years until amendments to the Rehabilitation Act required a majority of disabled individuals on the Center's governing Board. To meet this requirement, a separate Board was created to oversee the Center. The administrative support for the Center, however, remained unchanged, being operated through the full-range of the hospital's administrative and fiscal offices. The CIL also generates operating revenue from the Veterans Administration, WDVR, etc., on a fee-for-service basis in at least an equal amount to the contract funds. The hospital's administrative support and other costs, notably space, are at no cost to the program. The CIL served about 35 individuals. Services include individual advocacy, barrier reduction, IL skills training, mobility training and orientation services, counseling, peer counseling, personal care training and management services, pre-vocational services, information and referral services, community advocacy and technical assistance.

COMMUNITY SERVICE CENTER FOR THE DEAF AND HARD OF HEARING (CSCDHH)
Seattle, WA

CSCDHH has a performance based contract with the Washington Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (WDVR). The CIL appears to be highly effective in providing services to people who are deaf and hard of hearing. CSCDHH has considerable involvement of people of Asian descent in the organization. The CIL's ability to cross traditional cultural boundaries tends to support identification of a separate and very powerful deaf culture. The CIL reported serving 61 persons under formal independent living plans. Of these individuals, 30% also had secondary disabilities such as cerebral palsy, blindness, spina bifida, autism, other developmental disabilities, spinal cord injury, traumatic brain injury, etc. Basic services include independent living skills training, individual advocacy, equipment and electronic services, peer counseling, and phone interpreting.

INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER NORTHWEST
Seattle, WA

Independent Living Center Northwest is operated under a performance based contract from WDVR. This CIL has recently developed a comprehensive assessment format to assist consumers in determining their independent living goals and the potential services and activities they would like to pursue. A new data collection system is being managed to assist in evaluating the CIL's services. The CIL served 45 persons with full IL plans including a variety of services such as individual advocacy, equipment and electronic services, housing services, income planning and financial management services, peer counseling, and personal care training, management and services.

GREATER LAKES MENTAL HEALTH IL CENTER (GLILC)
Tacoma, WA

GLILC is a performance based contractor with WDVR. It operates as a unit of the Greater Lakes Mental Health Foundation (GLMHF). To comply with the Rehabilitation Act, full policy authority has been delegated to the GLILC Board. GLILC operates under and utilizes the support functions of the GLMHF for policies, procedures, and functioning of accounting, payroll, purchasing, inventory, and other administrative and fiscal operations. The operating budget includes about 40% IL contract, 45% Title XIX payments, and 15% from contributions/GLMHF support. The CIL serves about 109 persons with chronic mental illness, about 20 of whom during the last year had multiple disabilities. Basic services include individual advocacy, pre-vocational services, support of an independent family support/advocacy organization, i.e., Pierce County/Alliance for the Mentally Ill, and IL skills training.

EXAMPLES OF HOW SERVICES HAVE HELPED CONSUMERS

- Advocacy: Staff involvement with the State IL Council facilitated the introduction of Nebraska State legislation to enable new and existing CILs to receive State funding, to establish fair housing standards, and to update State accessibility standards.

- Independence: Chong, a consumer of southeast Asian heritage with a progressive hearing impairment, required assistance due to her increasing inability to communicate. Language and hearing barriers had prevented her from acquiring appropriate medical care. She was provided IL services including hearing tests, a hearing aid and American Sign Language classes. Chong no longer feels isolated and is able to function independently.

- Accessibility: A Volunteer Housing Accessibility Survey Project was developed in collaboration with the Tucson Metropolitan Ministry VISTA Project. In the past year, twenty-two volunteers have been trained by the CIL to complete accessibility surveys of local housing units. As a result, 45 units have been reviewed.

- Training: In-kind support is provided to local agencies in developing specialized services such as Substance Use/Abuse Support Groups, Job Clubs, and adult basic education for students who are deaf.

- Attendant Care: Three years of services were provided to a young man with quadriplegia from the Wind River Indian Reservation. After consistently receiving peer counseling and other services as requested, he developed short and medium range goals, obtained quality medical care with the support of the Tribal Council, and developed IL skills. Today, the young man is on two statewide advisory boards and is once again doing the family accounting.

- Assistive Devices: A 30 year old woman with multiple sclerosis and blindness needed assistance in the areas of housekeeping tasks and written communication. After receiving rehabilitation teaching services and the instruction in the use of the adaptive equipment that she received, she is now able to independently prepare meals for her family, record written messages, read and label household items with braille, and achieve her goals in written communication.

Part C

Independent Living Services for Older Blind Individuals

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Developmental Programs

Section 721
Independent Living Services For Older Blind Individuals
Federal Funds $5,914,000

MISSION AND PURPOSE

Section 721 of the Act authorizes discretionary grants to State VR agencies for projects that provide independent living services for older blind individuals. This specialized program provides independent living services for persons who are blind and age 55 and older to help them adjust to blindness and live more independently in their homes and communities. Each designated State unit that is authorized to provide rehabilitation services to blind individuals may either directly provide independent living services or it may make subgrants to other public agencies or private non-profit organizations to provide these services.

ACTIVITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

In FY 1991, 28 new projects were awarded. The average award was $210,433. The most recent program information shows that approximately 17,224 individuals received core services through funded projects. Approximately half of the clients served were age 76 or older and nearly half had a secondary disability, in addition to visual impairment. Sixty-nine percent of the consumers served were female. There was a total of 211 paid person years assigned to the program, of which 125 were supported by Part C grants, 86 were contributed. In addition, 154 volunteer full-time equivalent years were donated to support the program's efforts.

Services frequently provided by this program include orientation and mobility skills training, communication skills training, communication aids, daily living skills training, low vision services, peer and family counseling, and community integration.

Funded projects provide a wide variety of service options and have a number of different focuses. Examples of activities conducted under these grants follow:

EXAMPLES OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES

- One State delivered services to older blind individuals by establishing independent living mini-centers. These mini-centers are located in technical schools, community colleges and other community sites, and use local resources. Rehabilitation teachers are responsible for identifying personnel who will provide training and coordinate services to clients.

- In several States, there has been interaction between the older blind projects and the other independent living programs funded through Title VII. Collaboration with Part A and Part B programs has resulted in the provision of information and referral data to consumers and staff, coordination of transportation services, production of materials into braille, and peer counseling training.

- Several projects used joint agreements with State area aging agencies to integrate older blind individuals into existing community services and activities. Subgrants were sometimes provided to area aging agencies to improve their service capacity to include blind persons and purchase items such as large print calendars and large numbered clocks.

- One of the states which subgrants with private agencies provides counseling and teaching services to native american indians in their homes. The rehabilitation teacher carried a variety of aids and appliances to show clients how these were used in cooking and performing other household chores. One elderly blind woman received training that will enable her to continue rug weaving, quilting, and other hand work.

- Living Independence for Elders (LIFE) is the name of one project which worked to improve the quality of life for visually impaired older citizens of the State. The LIFE staff coordinated efforts of various service delivery organizations in order to address the total needs of an individual. These needs were addressed by staff through direct service delivery or through referrals for services for senior center activities, food banks, transportation, home delivered meals, housing, and other community programs.

- One project developed an independent living unit team consisting of an optometrist, rehabilitation teacher and peripatologist (orientation and mobility specialist). The team used slide presentations, provided information to overcome stereotypes about vision loss, and demonstrated services which would promote independence. In-service training was provided by this team to a statewide meeting of nursing home providers, health fairs, nursing home staffs, daycare centers, and state adult services staff.

- An example of what a difference independent living services can make is illustrated by the following example. "Ida" broke her hip and went to a nursing home to recuperate. But, because her vision was severely impaired, her family felt she could no longer take care of herself. With the assistance of the independent living program, "Ida", at age 81, found and furnished an apartment. After skills training, she does her own cooking, housekeeping, attends community activities, and entertains at home.

- One grantee provided visual acuity and glaucoma screening to 1,953 individuals. Of that total, 84 persons were referred for follow-up professional eye examination and treatment, and 143 were referred to low vision clinics. Many of the 143 clients were provided special low vision aids that allowed them to read and perform other activities they had previously enjoyed.

Section 731

Protection and Advocacy

REHABILITATION SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Office of Developmental Programs

Section 731
Protection and Advocacy of Individual Rights
Federal Funds $976,000

MISSION AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this program is to provide support to States, through their governors, to establish systems for the protection and advocacy of individuals with severe disabilities who are receiving services under Title VII of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. Priority was given to projects that proposed to serve individuals who were not eligible for services provided by existing protection and advocacy or ombudsman programs or whose requests for services cannot be addressed by client assistance programs funded under section 112 of the Act.

The protection and advocacy systems have the authority to pursue legal, administrative, and other appropriate remedies to ensure the protection of the rights of individuals receiving services under this title within the States. However, the agency designated by the governor to establish the system must utilize alternate dispute resolution techniques to the maximum extend feasible prior to resorting to administrative or legal remedies. Such systems must be independent of any designated unit that provides services under this part to such individuals. The individuals with severe disabilities are expected to be involved in the development and implementation of the protection and advocacy systems.

Duplication of services are to be avoided. Grantees must assure that they have knowledge of and, as appropriate, coordinate services with systems and programs required under the:

- the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act Amendments of 1978;
- the Protection and Advocacy for Mentally Ill Individuals Act of 1986;
- the Older American Act;
- Section 112 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Client Assistance Program;
- advocacy services provided by Centers for Independent Living within the States; and

- Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988.

ACTIVITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Funding for this program was received for the first time in FY 1991. Eleven grants were awarded to State agencies designated by their governors to conduct the protection and advocacy systems. The awards were made for twelve months. As the funds were made available to the grantees in September 1991, factual report are not available concerning services provided to individuals with severe disabilities. Currently, there are no implementing regulations for this program. Therefore, the selection criteria under 75.210 of Education Department General Administrative Regulations apply to this program. Regulations for this program are currently being developed.

Appendices

A

Glossary of Terms

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

1. Caseload Statuses: There are 16 status classifications in the rehabilitation caseload system coded in even numbers from 00 to 32 (code 04 is excluded) which signify progress and decision points in the vocational rehabilitation (VR) process. Form RSA-113 (Quarterly Cumulative Caseload Report) captures information on 14 of these statuses (02 to 30) which are defined below: 1/

a. Status 02 - Applicant: As soon as an individual signs a document requesting vocational rehabilitation (VR) services, he or she is placed into Status 02 and is designated as an applicant. While in Status 02, sufficient information is developed to make a determination of eligibility (Status 10) or ineligibility (Status 08) for VR services, or a decision is made to place the individual in extended evaluation (Status 06) prior to making this determination.

b. Status 06 - Extended evaluation: An applicant is placed into this status when a counselor has certified him or her for extended evaluation allowing certain services to be provided to help in determining rehabilitation potential. Individuals placed into this status may be moved to either Status 10 (accepted for VR) or Status 08 (not accepted for VR) at any time within the 18-month period allowed to complete the eligibility determination.

c. Status 08 - Closed from applicant or extended evaluation statuses: This status is used to identify all persons not accepted for VR services, whether closed from applicant status (02) or extended evaluation (06).

d. Active caseload statuses: An individual who has been certified as meeting the basic eligibility requirements is accepted for VR, designated as an active case, and placed into Status 10. The active statuses are:

Status 10 - Individualized Written Rehabilitation Program (IWRP) development: While in this status, the case study and diagnosis are completed to provide a basis for the formulation of the IWRP. The individual remains in this status until the rehabilitation program is written and approved.

1/ - The other two statuses are 00 (Referral) on which information is not collected as it is limited and unevenly applied by State agencies and 32 (post-employment services) for which data are obtained on another reporting document (Form RSA 62).

Status 12 - Individualized Written Rehabilitation Program (IWRP) completed: After the IWRP has been written and approved, the client is placed into Status 12 until services have been actually initiated.

Status 14 - Counseling and guidance only: This status is used for those individuals having an approved program which outlines counseling, guidance and placement as the only services required to prepare the client for employment.

Status 16 - Physical or mental restoration: Clients receiving any physical or mental restoration services (e.g. surgery, psychiatric treatment or being fitted with an artificial appliance) are placed into this status until services are completed or terminated.

Status 18 - Training: This status is used to identify persons who are actually receiving academic, business, vocational, or personal and vocational adjustment training from any source.

Status 20 - Ready for employment: A case is placed into this status when the client has completed preparation for employment and is ready to accept a job but has not yet been placed, or has been placed into, but has not yet begun, employment.

Status 22 - In employment: When an individual has been prepared for, been placed in, and begun employment, his or her case is placed into Status 22. The client must be observed in this status for a minimum of 60 days before the case can be closed rehabilitated (Status 26).

Status 24 - Service interrupted: A case is placed in this status if services are interrupted while the client is in Status 14, 16, 18, 20 or 22.

e. **Active caseload closure statuses**: A client remains in the active caseload until completion of the IWRP or case termination. Closures from the active caseload are classified in one of the following three categories:

Status 26 - Rehabilitated: Active cases closed rehabilitated must as a minimum (1) have been declared eligible for services, (2) have received appropriate diagnostic and related services, (3) have had a program for VR services formulated, (4) have completed the program, (5) have been provided counseling, and (6) have been determined to be suitably employed for a minimum of 60 days.

Status 28 - Closed other reasons after IWRP initiated: Cases closed into this category from Statuses 14 through 24 must have met criteria (1), (2) and (3) and at least one of the services provided for by the IWRP must have initiated, but, for some reason, one or more of criteria (4), (5), and (6) above were not met.

Status 30 - Closed other reasons before IWRP initiated: Closures from the active caseload placed into Status 30 are those cases which, although accepted for VR services, did not progress to the point that rehabilitation services were actually initiated under a rehabilitation plan (closures from Statuses 10 and 12).

2. Active caseload: The number of cases in the active Statuses (10 to 30).

3. Active cases served: The total number of active cases available during the period--the sum of new active cases and active cases on hand at the beginning of the fiscal year. It is also the sum of the number of cases closed from the active statuses and the number on hand at the end of the fiscal year.

4. Persons served: Identical in meaning to "active cases served."

5. Severely disabled: Cases of individuals who fall into any of the four categories listed below: 1. Clients with major disabling conditions such as blindness and deafness, which are automatically included, and other disabilities as qualified, such as a respiratory disorder with sufficient loss of breath capacity; 2. Clients who, at any time in the VR process, had been Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) beneficiaries; 3. Clients who at any time in the VR process had been recipients of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payments by reason of blindness or disability; and 4. Other individual cases with documented evidence of substantial loss in conducting certain specified activities.

6. Severely disabled caseload: The number of cases in the active caseload of severely disabled persons.

7. Rehabilitation rate: The number of cases closed rehabilitated as a percent of all cases closed from the active caseload. (Rehabilitations as a percent of the sum of rehabilitations and non-rehabilitations.)

8. Acceptance rate: The number of cases accepted for VR as a percent of all cases processed for eligibility. (Acceptances as a percent of the sum of acceptances and non-acceptances.)

B

Financial Tables

INDEX

TABLE I - APPROPRIATIONS - FY 1990 AND FY 1991

TABLE II - BASIC SUPPORT PROGRAM (SECTION 110) - FY 1991

TABLE III - INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM - FY 1991

TABLE IV - SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM - FY 1991

TABLE V - CLIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM - FY 1991

For further information concerning the Tables in this Section contact: Rehabilitation Services Administration, Financial Management and Information Systems Staff, Slagle Allbritton, Director, (202) 205-8358.

Rehabilitation Services

	1990 Appropriation	1991 Appropriation
Rehabilitation Act, as Amended:		
Evaluation - Section 14	$ 988,000	$ 976,000
Title I:		
Basic State Grants - Sec. 100(b)(1)	1,524,677,000	1,628,543,000
Indians - Set-Aside - Sec. 110(d)(1)	3,821,000	4,082,000
Subtotal	1,528,498,000	1,632,625,000
Client Assistance - Sec. 112	7,901,000	8,310,000
Title III:		
Training - Sec. 304	31,110,000	33,353,000
Special Demon. Programs - Sec. 310	17,455,000	18,368,000
Regional Head Injury Centers	14,814,000	-0-
Migratory Workers - Sec. 310	1,086,000	1,060,000
Supported Employment - Sec. 311(d)	9,876,000	10,023,000
Special Recreational Programs - Sec. 316	2,588,000	2,617,000
Title VI:		
Projects With Industry - Sec. 623	18,765,000	19,445,000
Supported Employment - Sec. 631	27,630,000	29,150,000
Title VII:		
Comprehensive Services - Sec. 741 Part A	12,938,000	13,619,000
Centers for Independent Living Sec. 741, Part B	26,666,000	27,579,000
Services for Older Blind Individuals Sec. 741, Part C	5,827,000	5,914,000
Protection and Advocacy for Severely Disabled - Sec. 741, Part D	-0-	976,000
Helen Keller National Center Act:		
Helen Keller National Center	4,938,000	5,367,000
Total	$1,711,080,000	$1,809,382,000

FY 1991
FEDERAL FUNDS AND STATE REQUIREMENTS
BASIC SUPPORT PROGRAM (SECTION 110)

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)	REQUIRED STATE MATCH	MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT REQUIREMENT 5/
U.S. TOTAL	$1,628,543,000	$1,628,543,000	$0	$419,508,092	$424,968,130
AL	$36,879,502	$38,262,760	$1,383,258	$9,879,857	$9,454,421
AK	$5,428,477	$5,511,676	$83,199	$1,421,911	$3,720,774
AZ	$21,642,788	$21,642,788	$0	$5,606,443	$4,818,147
AR	$21,723,151	$22,798,729	$1,075,578	$5,909,147	$6,252,470
CA	$133,311,636	$133,811,636	$500,000	$34,704,686	$29,418,386
CO	$18,854,366	$18,904,366	$50,000	$4,895,633	$4,176,601
CT	$13,035,266	$13,448,064	$412,798	$3,436,234	$6,366,832
DE	$5,428,477	$5,498,993	$70,516	$1,418,103	$1,415,581
DC	$9,186,446	$9,186,446	$0	$2,335,304	$6,423,856
FL	$71,263,286	$73,643,522	$2,380,236	$19,133,435	$15,990,730
GA	$45,321,230	$45,821,230	$500,000	$11,805,642	$11,521,813
HI	$5,995,857	$6,173,197	$177,340	$1,605,675	$1,396,180
ID	$7,983,146	$8,276,146	$293,000	$2,150,001	$2,003,015
IL	$62,951,180	$65,451,180	$2,500,000	$16,923,091	$15,444,989
IN	$40,690,868	$34,190,868	($6,500,000)	$8,547,717	$9,208,740
IA	$19,790,183	$19,165,183	($625,000)	$4,897,072	$4,454,038
KS	$15,741,221	$15,741,221	$0	$4,058,792	$3,519,216
KY	$32,834,840	$33,634,840	$800,000	$8,659,079	$7,604,929
LA	$38,025,927	$38,025,927	$0	$9,811,227	$8,299,861
ME	$9,806,906	$9,306,906	($500,000)	$2,360,922	$2,343,751
MD	$23,569,705	$24,518,742	$949,037	$6,323,793	$7,597,641
MA	$32,248,506	$33,315,321	$1,066,815	$8,514,303	$11,874,235
MI	$57,604,830	$55,654,830	($1,950,000)	$14,265,152	$12,924,770
MN	$27,298,006	$28,485,497	$1,187,491	$7,352,066	$8,063,536
MS	$27,021,992	$27,984,480	$962,488	$7,218,887	$6,348,641
MO	$36,654,078	$36,054,078	($600,000)	$9,250,302	$8,489,599
MT	$6,443,439	$6,443,439	$0	$1,660,176	$1,440,470
NE	$10,990,397	$10,290,397	($700,000)	$2,624,335	$2,341,659
NV	$5,428,477	$5,711,835	$283,358	$1,478,918	$1,693,112
NH	$6,335,702	$6,581,104	$245,402	$1,691,161	$1,621,478
NJ	$34,051,540	$34,251,540	$200,000	$8,700,347	$8,847,579
NM	$12,500,350	$12,425,350	($75,000)	$3,209,693	$2,767,460
NY	$94,802,735	$98,516,294	$3,713,559	$25,377,649	$23,849,407
NC	$51,535,136	$54,025,959	$2,490,823	$13,962,866	$22,843,884
ND	$5,428,477	$5,428,477	$0	$1,398,108	$1,214,058

FY 1991 Section 110 - Continued

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)	REQUIRED STATE MATCH	MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT REQUIREMENT 5/
OH	$75,900,056	$68,900,056	($7,000,000)	$17,471,125	$17,183,581
OK	$25,006,918	$25,406,918	$400,000	$6,570,395	$5,639,111
OR	$18,870,157	$18,870,157	$0	$4,867,566	$4,195,724
PA	$81,379,792	$82,241,363	$861,571	$21,101,524	$19,483,835
RI	$6,603,319	$5,627,719	($975,600)	$1,406,930	$1,457,751
SC	$29,885,798	$31,400,884	$1,515,086	$8,130,165	$15,518,433
SD	$5,928,890	$5,928,890	$0	$1,521,171	$1,344,473
TN	$40,403,664	$40,403,664	$0	$10,388,325	$9,099,391
TX	$109,729,119	$115,327,037	$5,597,918	$30,053,819	$29,917,240
UT	$13,566,990	$13,901,949	$334,959	$3,604,489	$2,953,981
VT	$5,428,477	$5,621,847	$193,370	$1,452,795	$1,595,094
VA	$36,203,523	$36,353,523	$150,000	$9,338,256	$9,408,681
WA	$26,692,479	$27,980,636	$1,288,157	$7,285,760	$5,992,054
WV	$17,553,814	$18,212,447	$658,633	$4,691,166	$9,492,613
WI	$34,226,774	$34,898,763	$671,989	$8,978,610	$7,571,525
WY	$5,428,477	$5,178,477	($250,000)	$1,323,433	$1,353,357
AS	$461,833	$461,833	$0	$119,646 4/	$0
GU	$1,587,668	$1,625,234	$37,566	$423,538 4/	$234,682
MP	$267,395	$280,948	$13,553	$73,074 4/	$0
PW	$1,455,804 2/	$160,138	($1,295,666)	$43,594	$18,981
PR	$42,882,448	$30,276,921	($12,605,527)	$7,742,661	$6,567,412
VI	$1,271,482	$1,300,575	$29,093	$332,321 4/	$188,352

1/ The initial grant is based on the per capita income for calendar years 1985, 1986, and 1987, published by the Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, News Release - EA 89-36, dated August 1988. Population is based on year 1989, as published by the U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

2/ Under the Compact of Free Association Act of 1985, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia are not eligible to receive Federal funds from the Department of Education under this program. The amount shown for Palau is its proportional share, based on population, of the amount allotted to the Trust Territories. The remaining amount was redistributed to other State agencies during the reallotment process.

3/ Reallocation funds are distributed to States and Territories based on per capita income, population and the States' and Territories' requests for additional funds.

4/ P.L. 98-213 and P.L. 98-454 grants American Samoa, Northern Mariana Islands, Guam and the Virgin Islands a waiver of $200,000 in State matching funds.

5/ The maintenance of effort provision under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, requires that States maintain non-Federal funding in an amount equal to the average of the non-Federal expenditures reported for the three prior fiscal years. The maintenance of effort was calculated using year-end or final Financial Status Reports (SF-269) received in the Rehabilitation Services Administration prior to June 28, 1991.

FY 1991
FEDERAL FUNDS AND REQUIRED STATE MATCH
INDEPENDENT LIVING, PART A

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)	REQUIRED STATE MATCH
U.S. TOTAL	$13,619,000	$13,619,000	$0	$1,513,222
AL	$200,000	$201,166	$1,166	$22,352
AK	$200,000	$200,149	$149	$22,239
AZ	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
AR	$200,000	$200,681	$681	$22,298
CA	$1,085,312	$1,093,540	$8,228	$121,504
CO	$200,000	$200,939	$939	$22,327
CT	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
DE	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
DC	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
FL	$473,179	$476,766	$3,587	$52,974
GA	$240,343	$240,343	$0	$26,705
HI	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
ID	$200,000	$200,288	$288	$22,254
IL	$435,350	$438,650	$3,300	$48,739
IN	$208,863	$208,863	$0	$23,207
IA	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
KS	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
KY	$200,000	$201,055	$1,055	$22,339
LA	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
ME	$200,000	$200,346	$346	$22,261
MD	$200,000	$201,329	$1,329	$22,370
MA	$220,812	$222,486	$1,674	$24,721
MI	$346,286	$346,286	$0	$38,476
MN	$200,000	$201,231	$1,231	$22,359
MS	$200,000	$200,742	$742	$22,305
MO	$200,000	$201,460	$1,460	$22,384
MT	$200,000	$200,228	$228	$22,248
NE	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
NV	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
NH	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
NJ	$288,889	$291,079	$2,190	$32,342
NM	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
NY	$670,316	$675,398	$5,082	$75,044
NC	$245,384	$247,244	$1,860	$27,472
ND	$200,000	$200,187	$187	$22,243

FY 1991 Independent Living, Part A Program - Continued

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)	REQUIRED STATE MATCH
OH	$407,306	$407,306	$0	$45,256
OK	$200,000	$200,913	$913	$22,324
OR	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
PA	$449,615	$449,615	$0	$49,957
RI	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
SC	$200,000	$200,994	$994	$22,333
SD	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
TN	$200,000	$201,398	$1,398	$22,378
TX	$634,504	$639,314	$4,810	$71,035
UT	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
VT	$200,000	$200,161	$161	$22,240
VA	$227,721	$227,721	$0	$25,302
WA	$200,000	$201,348	$1,348	$22,372
WV	$200,000	$200,526	$526	$22,281
WI	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
WY	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
AS	$17,024	$17,024	$0	$1,892
GU	$17,024	$5,200	($11,824)	$578
MP	$17,024 2/	$0	($17,024)	$0
PW	$17,024 2/	$0	($17,024)	$0
PR	$200,000	$200,000	$0	$22,222
VI	$17,024	$17,024	$0	$1,892

1/ The initial grant is based on the population for year 1989, as published by the U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

2/ Palau and Northern Mariana Islands did not participate in the Independent Living, Part A Program in FY 1991. The amount shown for those Territories was redistributed to other State agencies during the reallotment process.

3/ Reallocation funds are distributed to States and Territories based on population and the States' and Territories' requests for additional funds.

FY 1991
FEDERAL FUNDS
SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)
U.S. TOTAL	$29,150,000	$29,150,000	$0
AL	$431,991	$444,002	$12,011
AK	$250,000	$251,537	$1,537
AZ	$373,038	$373,038	$0
AR	$252,399	$259,417	$7,018
CA	$3,048,825	$3,133,597	$84,772
CO	$347,966	$351,590	$3,624
CT	$339,784	$339,784	$0
DE	$250,000	$250,000	$0
DC	$250,000	$250,000	$0
FL	$1,329,239	$1,366,196	$36,957
GA	$675,162	$675,162	$0
HI	$250,000	$250,000	$0
ID	$250,000	$252,960	$2,960
IL	$1,222,971	$1,256,975	$34,004
IN	$586,728	$586,728	$0
IA	$297,928	$271,508	($26,420)
KS	$263,624	$236,124	($27,500)
KY	$390,977	$390,977	$0
LA	$459,690	$459,690	$0
ME	$250,000	$250,000	$0
MD	$492,420	$506,111	$13,691
MA	$620,297	$626,757	$6,460
MI	$972,775	$942,775	($30,000)
MN	$456,647	$469,344	$12,697
MS	$274,953	$282,598	$7,645
MO	$541,200	$556,248	$15,048
MT	$250,000	$252,351	$2,351
NE	$250,000	$250,000	$0
NV	$250,000	$250,000	$0
NH	$250,000	$250,000	$0
NJ	$811,538	$834,102	$22,564
NM	$250,000	$250,000	$0
NY	$1,883,027	$1,935,383	$52,356
NC	$689,325	$708,491	$19,166
ND	$250,000	$250,000	$0

FY 1991 Supported Employment Program - Continued

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)
OH	$1,144,188	$1,144,188	$0
OK	$338,211	$347,614	$9,403
OR	$295,830	$295,830	$0
PA	$1,263,044	$1,263,044	$0
RI	$250,000	$208,750	($41,250)
SC	$368,423	$368,423	$0
SD	$250,000	$250,000	$0
TN	$518,226	$532,635	$14,409
TX	$1,782,424	$1,682,424	($100,000)
UT	$250,000	$220,000	($30,000)
VT	$250,000	$251,653	$1,653
VA	$639,705	$646,367	$6,662
WA	$499,448	$513,335	$13,887
WV	$250,000	$255,417	$5,417
WI	$510,568	$519,446	$8,878
WY	$250,000	$250,000	$0
AS	$44,257	$44,257	$0
GU	$44,257	$44,257	$0
MP	$44,257	$44,257	$0
PW	$5,162 2/	$5,162	$0
PR	$345,239	$205,239	($140,000)
VI	$44,257	$44,257	$0

1/ The initial grant is based on the population for 1989, as published by the U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

2/ Under the Compact of Free Assosciation Act of 1985, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia are not eligible to receive Federal funds from the Department of Education under this program. The amount shown for Palau is its proportional share, based on population, of the amount allotted to the Trust Territories. The remaining amount was redistributed to other State agencies during the reallotment process.

3/ Reallocation funds are distributed to States and Territories based on population and the States' and Territories' requests for additional funds.

FY 1991 Client Assistance Program - Continued

FY 1991
FEDERAL FUNDS
CLIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)
U.S. TOTAL	$8,310,000	$8,310,000	$0
AL	$118,766	$118,766	$0
AK	$75,000	$75,345	$345
AZ	$102,558	$102,558	$0
AR	$75,000	$76,580	$1,580
CA	$838,205	$838,205	$0
CO	$95,666	$97,844	$2,178
CT	$93,416	$93,416	$0
DE	$75,000	$75,000	$0
DC	$75,000	$75,000	$0
FL	$365,444	$341,444	($24,000)
GA	$185,621	$185,621	$0
HI	$75,000	$75,000	$0
ID	$75,000	$75,666	$666
IL	$336,228	$343,882	$7,654
IN	$161,308	$161,308	$0
IA	$81,908	$81,908	$0
KS	$75,000	$75,000	$0
KY	$107,490	$109,936	$2,446
LA	$126,381	$129,181	$2,800
ME	$75,000	$75,803	$803
MD	$135,379	$138,461	$3,082
MA	$170,537	$174,419	$3,882
MI	$267,443	$273,531	$6,088
MN	$125,545	$125,545	$0
MS	$75,593	$77,314	$1,721
MO	$148,791	$152,178	$3,387
MT	$75,000	$75,530	$530
NE	$75,000	$70,000	($5,000)
NV	$75,000	$75,730	$730
NH	$75,000	$75,000	$0
NJ	$223,113	$228,191	$5,078
NM	$75,000	$76,003	$1,003
NY	$517,695	$529,479	$11,784
NC	$189,514	$167,514	($22,000)
ND	$75,000	$75,000	$0

FY 1991 Client Assistance Program - Continued

STATE	INITIAL GRANT 1/ (A)	FINAL GRANT 3/ (B)	DIFFERENCE (B-A)
OH	$314,569	$314,569	$0
OK	$92,984	$94,984	$2,000
OR	$81,331	$83,182	$1,851
PA	$347,245	$355,150	$7,905
RI	$75,000	$75,000	$0
SC	$101,290	$103,290	$2,000
SD	$75,000	$75,000	$0
TN	$142,474	$144,474	$2,000
TX	$490,038	$500,038	$10,000
UT	$75,000	$76,120	$1,120
VT	$75,000	$75,000	$0
VA	$175,872	$179,875	$4,003
WA	$137,312	$140,437	$3,125
WV	$75,000	$76,219	$1,219
WI	$140,369	$140,369	$0
WY	$75,000	$75,000	$0
AS	$45,000	$45,000	$0
GU	$45,000	$45,000	$0
MP	$45,000	$45,000	$0
PW	$45,000 2/	$4,950	($40,050)
PR	$94,915	$94,915	$0
VI	$45,000	$45,070	$70

1/ The initial grant is based on the population for 1989, as published by the U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

2/ Under the Compact of Free Association Act of 1985, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia are not eligible to receive Federal funds from the Department of Education under this program. The amount shown for Palau is its proportional share, based on population, of the amount allotted to the Trust Territories. The remaining amount was redistributed to other State Agencies during the reallotment process.

3/ Reallocation funds are distributed to States and Territories based on population and the States' and Territories' requests for additional funds.

C

Caseload Statistics:

Historical National Trends and Detailed Current Activity, by State Agency

CASELOAD STATISTICS,
STATE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AGENCIES,
FISCAL YEAR 1991

Table of Contents

Page

Caseload Highlights Through Fiscal Year 1991.................

Section I. Total Caseload Activity

Table 1. Number of persons served and rehabilitated, Fiscal Years 1921 - 1991............................ 158

Table 2. Number of cases in caseloads of State vocational rehabilitation agencies and percent change from prior year, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991............... 159

Table 3. Number of persons rehabilitated and not rehabilitated, and rehabilitation rates, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991... 160

Table 4. Number of applicant, and extended evaluation cases accepted and not accepted for VR services, and acceptance rates, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991......... 161

Table 5. Number of new Applicants, new extended evaluation cases and new actives cases, and percent change from prior year, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991............... 162

Table 6. Number of applicant, extended evaluation and active cases remaining at the end of the fiscal year, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991............................ 163

Table 7. Number and percent change in key rehabilitation caseload indicators, Fiscal Years 1990 - 1991...... 164

Table 8. Number of applicants, extended and active cases, percent change and percent distribution, Fiscal Years 1990 - 1991... 165

Page

Section II. Caseloads of Severely Disabled Persons

Table 9. Severely and non-severely disabled clients in the active caseload, percent of total and percent change and from prior year, Fiscal Year 1991.............. 166

Table 10. Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons rehabilitated, percent change from prior year and percent severely disabled, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991.. 167

Table 11. Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons rehabilitated and not rehabilitated, and rehabilitation rates, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991..... 168

Table 12. Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons served, percent change from prior year and percent severely disabled, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991........ 169

Table 13. Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons accepted for services, percent change from prior year and percent severely disabled, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991.. 170

Table 1 - Number of persons served and rehabilitated by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, Fiscal Years 1921-1991

Fiscal Year	Persons served	Persons rehabilitated	Fiscal Year	Persons served	Persons rehabilitated
1991	941,771	202,831	1956	221,128	65,640
1990	937,971	216,112	1955	209,039	57,981
1989	928,998	220,408	1954	211,219	55,825
1988	918,942	218,241	1953	221,849	61,308
1987	917,482	219,616	1952	228,490	63,632
1986	923,774	223,354	1951	231,544	66,193
1985	931,779	227,652	1950	255,724	59,597
1984	936,180	225,772	1949	216,997	58,020
1983	938,923	216,231	1948	191,063	53,131
1982	958,537	226,924	1947	170,143	43,880
1981	1,038,232	255,881	1946	169,796	36,106
1980	1,095,139	277,136	1945	161,050	41,925
1979	1,127,551	288,325	1944	145,059	43,997
1978	1,167,991	294,396	1943	129,207	42,618
1977	1,204,487	291,202	1942	91,572	21,757
1976	1,238,446	303,328	1941	78,320	14,576
1975	1,244,338	324,039	1940	65,624	11,890
1974	1,201,661	361,138	1939	63,575	10,747
1973	1,176,445	360,726	1938	63,666	9,844
1972	1,111,045	326,138	1937	1/	11,091
1971	1,001,660	291,272	1936		10,338
1970	875,911	266,975	1935		9,422
1969	781,614	241,390	1934		8,062
1968	680,415	207,918	1933		5,613
1967	569,907	173,594	1932		5,592
1966	499,464	154,279	1931		5,184
1965	441,332	134,859	1930		4,605
1964	399,852	119,708	1929		4,645
1963	368,696	110,136	1928		5,012
1962	345,635	102,377	1927		5,092
1961	320,963	92,501	1926		5,604
1960	297,950	88,275	1925		5,825
1959	280,384	80,739	1924		5,654
1958	258,444	74,317	1923		4,530
1957	238,582	70,940	1922		1,898
			1921		523

1/ Counts of persons served prior to Fiscal Year 1938 are not available.

Table 2 - Number of cases in caseloads of State vocational rehabilitation agencies and percent change from prior year, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

	Applicants and active cases 1/		Active caseload only 2/	
Fiscal Year	Number of cases (Statuses 02-30)	Percent change from prior year	Number of cases (Statuses 10-30)	Percent change from prior year
1991	1,500,692	+ 0.4	941,771	+ 0.4
1990	1,494,781	+ 0.9	937,971	+ 1.0
1989	1,480,880	+ 1.7	928,998	+ 1.1
1988	1,456,566	+ 0.7	918,942	+ 0.2
1987	1,446,117	+ 0.3	917,482	- 0.7
1986	1,442,316	+ 0.1	923,774	- 0.9
1985	1,440,239	+ 0.4	931,779	- 0.5
1984	1,434,453	- 0.7	936,180	- 0.3
1983	1,444,712	- 1.9	938,923	- 2.0
1982	1,473,313	- 9.7	958,537	- 7.7
1981	1,631,167	- 5.7	1,038,232	- 5.2
1980	1,728,987	- 1.3	1,095,139	- 2.9
1979	1,751,862	- 3.5	1,127,551	- 3.5
1978	1,815,564	- 2.7	1,167,991	- 3.0
1977	1,866,707	- 3.0	1,204,487	- 2.7
1976	1,925,049	- 0.7	1,238,446	- 0.5

1/ Total State agency workload of cases.

2/ Number of persons served.

Table 3 - Number of persons rehabilitated and not rehabilitated by State vocational rehabilitation agencies and rehabilitation rates, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

	Persons rehabilitated		Persons not rehabilitated		
Fiscal Year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Rehabilitation rate 1/
1991	202,831	- 6.1	136,047	+ 3.6	59.9
1990	216,112	- 2.0	131,371	+ 1.4	62.2
1989	220,408	+ 1.0	129,615	- 1.1	63.0
1988	218,241	- 0.6	131,027	+ 1.2	62.5
1987	219,616	- 1.7	129,529	+ 1.6	62.9
1986	223,354	- 1.9	127,497	+ 0.4	63.7
1985	227,652	+ 0.8	126,927	- 3.5	64.2
1984	225,772	+ 4.4	131,572	- 1.9	63.2
1983	216,231	- 4.7	134,118	- 5.9	61.7
1982	226,924	-11.3	142,575	- 9.6	61.4
1981	255,881	- 7.7	157,682	+ 3.3	61.9
1980	277,136	- 3.8	152,672	- 2.3	64.5
1979	288,325	- 2.1	156,258	- 2.2	64.9
1978	294,396	+ 1.1	159,846	- 2.4	64.8
1977	291,202	- 4.0	163,706	- 8.6	64.0
1976	303,328	- 6.4	179,139	+26.3	62.9

1/ Rehabilitation rates show the number of persons rehabilitated as a percent of all closures from the active statuses, whether rehabilitated or not. Also referred to as the "success" rate.

Table 4 - Number of applicant and extended evaluation cases accepted and not accepted for VR services by State vocational rehabilitation agencies and acceptance rates, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

Fiscal Year	Applicants accepted		Applicants not accepted		Acceptance rate 1/
	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	
1991	351,916	- 1.6	266,948	0.0	56.9
1990	357,560	- 0.5	267,036	+ 1.2	57.2
1989	359,431	+ 2.7	263,981	+ 3.2	57.7
1988	350,146	+ 1.6	255,841	+ 1.4	57.8
1987	344,553	- 0.5	252,187	+ 1.7	57.7
1986	346,173	- 2.0	247,923	+ 3.0	58.3
1985	353,095	+ 1.4	240,695	- 1.9	59.5
1984	348,233	- 0.5	245,435	- 2.7	58.7
1983	349,932	+ 4.9	252,208	- 3.2	58.1
1982	333,439	-10.7	260,518	-15.5	56.1
1981	373,310	- 9.5	308,173	+ 1.2	54.8
1980	412,356	+ 0.2	304,525	+ 1.1	57.5
1979	411,560	- 1.9	301,077	- 2.8	57.8
1978	419,590	- 3.6	309,624	- 0.9	57.5
1977	435,144	- 5.3	312,515	- 7.9	58.2
1976	459,620	- 14.0	339,494	+ 12.1	57.5

1/ Acceptance rates show the number of applicants accepted for rehabilitation services as a percent of all applicants accepted and not accepted for rehabilitation services. (Case closures from extended evaluation are included.)

Table 5 - Number of new applicants, new extended evaluation cases and new active cases in the caseloads of State vocational rehabilitation agencies, and percent change from prior year, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

	New applicants (Status 02)		New extended evaluation cases (Status 06)		New active cases 1/ (Status 10)	
Fiscal Year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year
1991	620,943	- 0.6	21,090	-11.4	351,916	- 1.6
1990	624,902	- 1.0	23,812	- 4.6	357,560	- 0.5
1989	630,950	+ 3.2	24,960	- 0.1	359,431	+ 2.7
1988	611,482	+ 1.9	24,980	- 3.4	350,146	+ 1.6
1987	600,354	+ 0.3	25,868	- 8.7	344,553	- 0.5
1986	598,340	- 1.3	28,344	- 1.2	346,173	- 2.0
1985	606,526	+ 2.4	28,683	+ 4.1	353,095	+ 1.4
1984	592,075	- 1.5	27,560	- 2.1	348,233	- 0.5
1983	601,108	+ 6.5	28,142	- 2.2	349,932	+ 4.9
1982	564,443	-11.6	28,778	-18.3	333,439	- 0.7
1981	638,542	-11.7	35,224	-15.0	373,310	- 9.5
1980	722,847	+ 3.6	41,426	+ 1.5	412,356	+ 0.2
1979	697,873	- 2.4	40,843	- 1.0	411,560	- 1.9
1978	715,367	- 4.2	41,240	- 1.7	419,590	- 3.6
1977	746,377	- 2.3	41,948	+ 8.1	435,144	- 5.3
1976	763,714	-13.8	38,792	- 7.3	459,620	-14.0

1/ Applicants accepted for rehabilitation services.

Table 6 - Number of applicant, extended evaluation and active cases remaining at the end of the fiscal year in caseloads of State vocational rehabilitation agencies, and percent change from prior year, Fiscal Years 1976 -1991

	Total cases remaining (Statuses 02-24)		In applicant status (Status 02)		In extended evaluation (Status 06)		In active statuses (Statuses 10-24)	
Fiscal Year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year
1991	894,866	+ 1.7	275,548	+ 1.8	16,425	-13.9	602,893	+ 2.1
1990	880,262	+ 1.5	270,695	+ 0.9	19,079	- 3.2	590,488	+ 2.0
1989	866,876	+ 1.8	268,182	+ 2.3	19,719	+ 0.3	578,975	+ 1.6
1988	851,457	+ 0.8	262,125	+ 2.0	19,658	+ 0.8	569,674	+ 0.2
1987	844,785	+ 0.1	256,951	+ 3.5	19,497	-12.8	568,337	- 0.8
1986	843,542	- 0.2	248,252	+ 1.0	22,367	+ 1.7	572,923	- 0.3
1985	844,965	+ 1.6	245,776	+ 6.0	21,989	+ 5.0	577,200	- 0.3
1984	831,674	- 1.2	231,905	- 0.4	20,933	+ 0.5	578,836	- 1.7
1983	842,155	- 0.1	232,672	+ 0.2	20,819	+ 5.4	588,574	- 0.1
1982	843,301	- 7.3	232,245	- 9.8	22,013	-18.9	589,038	- 5.7
1981	909,431	- 8.6	257,610	-13.3	27,152	-15.6	624,669	- 6.1
1980	994,654	- 1.1	297,148	+ 1.9	32,175	+ 2.2	665,331	- 2.6
1979	1,006,202	- 4.3	291,730	- 4.5	31,504	- 2.9	682,968	- 4.3
1978	1,051,698	- 4.3	305,514	- 3.5	32,435	- 1.8	713,749	- 4.8
1977	1,099,284	- 0.3	316,662	+ 0.4	33,043	+ 4.7	749,579	- 0.8
1976	1,103,088	- 5.6	315,549	-11.8	31,560	- 4.2	755,979	- 2.9

Table 7 - Number and percent change in key rehabilitation caseload indicators, Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991

Key indicators	Fiscal Year		Percent change
	1990	1991	
A. ALL PERSONS			
1. New applicants	624,902	620,943	- 0.6
2. Applicants, September 30	270,695	275,548	+ 1.8
3. New acceptances	357,560	351,916	- 1.6
4. Acceptance rate 1/	57.2%	56.9%	- 0.5
5. Active cases, September 30	590,488	602,893	+ 2.1
6. Rehabilitations	216,112	202,831	- 6.1
7. Rehabilitation rate 2/	62.2%	59.9%	- 3.7
8. Served (Statuses 10-30)	937,971	941,771	+ 0.4
9. Total workload (Statuses 02-30)	1,494,781	1,500,692	+ 0.4
B. SEVERELY DISABLED PERSONS			
1a. New acceptances	247,126	245,505	- 0.7
1b. New acceptances - % of total 3/	69.1%	69.8%	+ 1.0
2a. Active cases, September 30	402,620	417,807	+ 3.8
2b. Active cases, September 30 - % of total 3/	68.2%	69.3%	+ 1.6
3a. Rehabilitations	146,241	139,794	- 4.4
3b. Rehabilitations - % of total 3/	67.7%	68.9%	+ 1.8
4. Rehabilitation rate 2/	61.6%	59.2%	- 3.9
5a. Served (Statuses 10-30)	640,163	654,038	+ 2.2
5b. Served - % of total 3/	68.3%	69.4%	+ 1.6

1/ Applicants accepted for rehabilitation services as a percent of all applicants accepted and not accepted for rehabilitation services.

2/ Rehabilitated persons as a percent of all closures from the active statuses, whether rehabilitated or not.

3/ Severely disabled persons as a percent of all persons.

Table 8 - Number of applicant, extended evaluation and active cases in caseloads of State vocational rehabilitation agencies, percent change and percent distribution, Fiscal Years 1990 - 1991

	Fiscal Year		Percent	Percent distribution Fiscal Year	
Caseload items	1990	1991	change	1990	1991
Applicants (02)					
Number available	895,036	891,795	- 0.4	100.0	100.0
On hand, October 1	270,134	270,852	+ 0.3	30.2	30.4
New since October 1	624,902	620,943	- 0.6	69.8	69.6
Number processed	624,341	616,247	- 1.3	69.8	69.1
Accepted for VR (10)	345,459	340,764	- 1.4	38.6	38.2
Accepted for EE (06)	23,812	21,090	-11.4	2.7	2.4
Not accepted for VR or EE (08)	255,063	254,393	- 0.3	28.5	28.5
Total on hand, September 30	270,695	275,548	+ 1.8	30.2	30.9
Extended evaluation cases (06)					
Number available	43,146	40,132	- 7.0	100.0	100.0
On hand, October 1	19,334	19,042	- 1.5	44.8	47.4
New since October 1	23,812	21,090	-11.4	55.2	52.6
Number processed	24,067	23,707	- 1.5	55.7	59.1
Accepted for VR (10)	12,101	11,152	- 7.8	28.0	27.8
Not accepted for VR (08)	11,966	12,555	+ 4.9	27.7	31.3
Total on hand, September 30	19,079	16,425	-13.9	44.3	40.9
Active cases (10-30)					
Number available 1/	937,971	941,771	+ 0.4	100.0	100.0
On hand, October 1	580,411	589,855	+ 1.6	61.9	62.6
New since October 1	357,560	351,916	- 1.6	38.1	37.4
Number closed	347,483	338,878	- 2.5	37.0	36.0
Rehabilitated (26)	216,112	202,831	- 6.1	23.0	21.5
Not rehabilitated (28)	104,227	107,607	+ 3.2	11.1	11.4
Not rehabilitated (30)	27,144	28,440	+ 4.8	2.9	3.0
Total on hand, September 30	590,488	602,893	+ 2.1	63.0	64.0

1/ Number of persons served.

Table 9 - Severely and non-severely disabled persons in the active caseloads of State vocational rehabilitation agencies, percent of total and percent change from prior year, Fiscal Year 1991

	Severely Disabled			Not Severely Disabled		
Caseload Items	Number	Percent of total 1/	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent of total 1/	Percent change from prior year
Cases on hand, October 1 (Statuses 10 - 24)	408,533	69.3	+ 3.9	181,322	30.7	- 3.2
New since October 1	245,505	69.8	- 0.7	106,411	30.2	- 3.6
Total available 2/	654,038	69.4	+ 2.2	287,733	30.6	- 3.4
Number closed	236,231	69.7	- 0.6	102,647	30.3	- 6.6
Rehabilitated(26)	139,794	68.9	- 4.4	63,037	31.1	- 9.8
Not rehabilitated(28)	77,368	71.9	+ 5.1	30,239	28.1	- 1.3
Not Rehabilitated(30)	19,069	67.0	+ 7.7	9,371	33.0	- 0.8
Cases on hand, September 30 (Statuses 10 - 24)	417,807	69.3	+ 3.8	185,086	31.7	- 1.5

1/ Total refers to the sum of all clients, regardless of the severity of disability.

2/ Number of persons served.

Table 10 - Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons rehabilitated by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, percent change from prior year and percent severely disabled, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

	SD rehabilitated		NSD rehabilitated		
Fiscal Year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Percent SD 1/
1991	139,794	- 4.4	63,037	- 9.8	68.9
1990	146,241	- 0.2	69,871	- 5.5	67.7
1989	146,487	+ 3.9	73,921	- 4.3	66.5
1988	141,004	+ 3.3	77,237	- 7.1	64.6
1987	136,442	+ 0.8	83,174	- 5.5	62.1
1986	135,336	+ 0.1	88,018	- 4.8	60.6
1985	135,229	+ 1.9	92,423	- 0.7	59.4
1984	132,665	+ 6.8	93,107	+ 1.2	58.8
1983	124,195	- 4.4	92,036	- 5.2	57.4
1982	129,866	- 6.2	97,058	-17.4	57.2
1981	138,380	- 2.9	117,501	-12.7	54.1
1980	142,545	- 0.5	134,591	- 7.1	51.4
1979	143,375	+ 3.6	144,950	- 7.1	49.9
1978	138,402	+ 8.5	155,994	- 4.7	47.0
1977	127,522	+ 3.7	163,680	- 9.3	43.8
1976	122,938	+ 6.3	180,390	-13.4	40.5

1/ Percent of all persons rehabilitated who were severely disabled.

SD Severely disabled.

NSD Non-severely disabled.

Table 11 - Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons rehabilitated and not rehabilitated by State vocational rehabilitation agencies and rehabilitation rates, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

	Severely disabled			Not severely disabled		
Fiscal Year	Rehabilitated	Not Rehabilitated	Rehabilitation rate 1/	Rehabilitated	Not Rehabilitated	Rehabilitation rate 1/
1991	139,794	96,437	59.2	63,037	39,610	61.4
1990	146,241	91,302	61.6	69,871	40,069	63.6
1989	146,487	88,200	62.4	73,921	41,415	64.1
1988	141,004	86,382	62.0	77,237	44,645	63.4
1987	136,442	84,066	61.9	83,174	45,463	64.7
1986	135,336	83,031	62.0	88,018	44,466	66.4
1985	135,229	82,137	62.2	92,423	44,790	67.4
1984	132,665	84,803	61.0	93,107	46,769	66.6
1983	124,195	85,765	59.2	92,036	48,353	65.6
1982	129,866	90,567	58.9	97,058	52,008	65.1
1981	138,380	95,462	59.2	117,501	62,220	65.2
1980	142,545	91,346	60.9	134,591	61,326	68.7
1979	143,375	87,541	62.1	144,950	68,717	67.8
1978	138,402	83,051	62.5	155,994	70,795	67.0
1977	127,522	79,954	61.4	163,680	83,752	66.3
1976	122,938	82,037	60.0	180,390	97,102	65.0

1/ Rehabilitation rates show the number of persons rehabilitated as a percent of all closures from the active statuses, whether rehabilitated or not. Also referred to as the "success" rate.

Table 12 - Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons served by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, percent change from prior year and percent severely disabled, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

	SD served		NSD served		
Fiscal Year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Percent SD 1/
1991	654,038	+ 2.2	287,733	- 3.4	69.4
1990	640,163	+ 2.5	297,808	- 2.2	68.3
1989	624,552	+ 3.3	304,446	- 3.1	67.2
1988	604,800	+ 3.6	314,142	- 5.9	65.8
1987	583,688	+ 0.6	333,794	- 2.8	63.6
1986	580,342	- 0.1	343,432	- 2.1	62.8
1985	580,863	+ 2.7	350,916	- 5.4	62.3
1984	565,425	+ 0.6	370,755	- 1.6	60.4
1983	562,052	- 1.7	376,871	- 2.6	59.9
1982	571,541	- 4.9	386,996	-11.5	59.6
1981	600,727	- 0.9	437,505	-10.5	57.9
1980	606,049	- 1.0	489,090	- 5.1	55.3
1979	611,994	+ 2.0	515,557	- 9.2	54.3
1978	600,063	+ 5.5	567,928	-10.7	51.4
1977	568,826	+ 2.3	635,661	- 6.9	47.2
1976	555,533	2/	683,078	2/	44.8

1/ Percent of all persons served who were severely disabled.

2/ Data prior to Fiscal Year 1976 are not available.

SD Severely disabled.

NSD Non-severely disabled.

Table 13 - Number of severely and non-severely disabled persons accepted for services by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, percent change from prior year and percent severely disabled, Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991

	SD accepted for services		NSD accepted for services		
Fiscal Year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Percent SD 1/
1991	245,505	- 0.7	106,411	- 3.6	69.8
1990	247,126	+ 0.9	110,434	- 3.5	69.1
1989	244,990	+ 2.8	114,441	+ 2.4	68.2
1988	238,380	+ 6.7	111,766	- 7.7	68.1
1987	223,448	+ 2.1	121,105	- 4.9	64.9
1986	218,857	- 0.1	127,316	- 5.0	63.2
1985	219,120	+ 3.7	133,975	- 1.3	62.1
1984	212,550	+ 0.7	135,683	- 2.3	61.0
1983	210,989	+ 5.2	138,943	+ 4.6	60.3
1982	200,600	-10.6	132,839	-10.8	60.2
1981	224,309	- 0.2	149,001	-20.6	60.1
1980	224,729	- 0.7	187,627	+ 1.3	54.5
1979	226,287	+ 0.3	185,273	- 4.5	55.0
1978	225,630	+ 5.0	193,960	-12.0	53.8
1977	214,803	- 4.4	220,341	- 6.2	49.4
1976	224,720	2/	234,900	2/	48.9

1/ Percent of all persons accepted for services who were severely disabled.

2/ Data prior to Fiscal Year 1976 are not available.

SD Severely disabled.

NSD Non-severely disabled.

Section III. Caseload Activity, by State Agency

Report Number — Page

01 Caseload distribution of applicants and percent change from a year ago .... 173 - 182

03 Caseload distribution of active cases and percent change from a year ago ....183 - 192

03A Sequential agency rehabilitation rates among active cases closed, by type of agency ....193 - 195

04 Caseload distribution of active cases of severely disabled persons and percent change from a year ago.... 196 - 205

04A Sequential agency rehabilitation rates among severe active cases closed, by type of agency ....206 - 208

06 Total and severe cases and severe as a percent of total active caseload ....209 - 218

06A Sequential agency percents of cases served that are severe cases, by type of agency ....219 - 221

06B Sequential agency percents of cases rehabilitated that are severe cases, by type of agency ....222 - 224

07 Cases processed for eligibility during period, acceptance rates and percent change from a year ago ....225 - 234

07A Sequential agency acceptance rates among applicant cases processed for eligibility, by type of agency .....235 - 237

Section IV. Caseload Activity, Regional Summaries

13 Caseload distribution of applicants and percent change from a year ago .... 238 - 239

14 Caseload distribution of extended evaluation cases and percent change from a year ago .... 240 - 241

15 Caseload distribution of active cases and percent change from a year ago .... 242 - 243

16 Caseload distribution of active cases of severely disabled persons and percent change from a year ago .... 244 - 245

Report Number Page

17 Caseload distribution of active cases of non-severely disabled persons and percent change from a year ago .... 246 - 247

18 Total and severe cases and severe as a percent of total active caseload .... 248 - 249

19 Cases processed for eligibility during period, acceptance rates and percent change from a year ago .... 250 - 251

REPORT NO. 01

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL	891,795	270,852	620,943	616,247	340,764	21,090	254,393	275,548
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	895,036	270,134	624,902	624,341	345,459	23,812	255,070	270,695
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.4	69.6	100.0	55.3	3.4	41.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.4	0.3	-0.6	-1.3	-1.4	-11.4	-0.3	1.8
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	859,701	261,737	597,964	593,149	327,725	20,460	244,964	266,552
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	864,497	261,552	602,945	602,903	333,107	23,077	246,719	261,594
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.4	69.6	100.0	55.3	3.4	41.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.6	0.1	-0.8	-1.6	-1.6	-11.3	-0.7	1.9
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	32,094	9,115	22,979	23,098	13,039	630	9,429	8,996
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	30,539	8,582	21,957	21,438	12,352	735	8,351	9,101
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.4	71.6	100.0	56.5	2.7	40.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.1	6.2	4.7	7.7	5.6	-14.3	12.9	-1.2
REGION 1	46,857	15,728	31,129	30,139	17,891	1,526	10,722	16,718
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	44,497	13,913	30,584	28,771	17,362	1,424	9,985	15,726
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	33.6	66.4	100.0	59.4	5.1	35.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.3	13.0	1.8	4.8	3.0	7.2	7.4	6.3
CONNECTICUT (G)	8,873	2,972	5,901	6,021	3,352	119	2,550	2,852
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,328	2,869	6,459	6,356	3,761	101	2,494	2,972
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	33.5	66.5	100.0	55.7	2.0	42.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.9	3.6	-8.6	-5.3	-10.9	17.8	2.2	-4.0
MAINE (G)	3,255	1,011	2,244	2,038	1,082	139	817	1,217
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,656	910	1,746	1,645	681	114	850	1,011
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.1	68.9	100.0	53.1	6.8	40.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	22.6	11.1	28.5	23.9	58.9	21.9	-3.9	20.4
MASSACHUSETTS (G)	21,464	6,156	15,308	15,322	9,421	1,115	4,786	6,142
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	20,414	5,178	15,236	14,258	8,832	1,045	4,381	6,156
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.7	71.3	100.0	61.5	7.3	31.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.1	18.9	0.5	7.5	6.7	6.7	9.2	-0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE (G)	6,164	2,916	3,248	2,670	1,441	36	1,193	3,494
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,123	2,538	2,585	2,207	1,295	32	880	2,916
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.3	52.7	100.0	54.0	1.3	44.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	20.3	14.9	25.6	21.0	11.3	12.5	35.6	19.8
RHODE ISLAND (G)	3,492	1,566	1,926	1,634	954	77	603	1,858
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,525	1,331	2,194	1,957	1,177	76	704	1,568
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	44.8	55.2	100.0	58.4	4.7	36.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.9	17.7	-12.2	-16.5	-18.9	1.3	-14.3	18.5
VERMONT (G)	2,212	607	1,605	1,605	1,023	34	548	607
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,148	607	1,541	1,541	991	40	510	607
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	27.4	72.6	100.0	63.7	2.1	34.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.0	0.0	4.2	4.2	3.2	-15.0	7.5	0.0

REPORT NO. 01

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
CONNECTICUT (B)	341	125	216	183	144	1	38	158
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	353	155	198	228	195	1	32	125
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	36.7	63.3	100.0	78.7	0.5	20.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.4	-19.4	9.1	-19.7	-26.2	0.0	18.8	26.4
MASSACHUSETTS (B)	610	272	338	337	250	2	85	273
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	572	243	329	304	231	2	71	268
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	44.6	55.4	100.0	74.2	0.6	25.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.6	11.9	2.7	10.9	8.2	0.0	19.7	1.9
RHODE ISLAND (B)	267	65	202	188	122	0	66	79
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	206	44	162	141	100	7	34	65
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	24.3	75.7	100.0	64.9	0.0	35.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	29.6	47.7	24.7	33.3	22.0	*	94.1	21.5
VERMONT (B)	179	38	141	141	102	3	36	38
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	172	38	134	134	99	6	29	38
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	21.2	78.8	100.0	72.3	2.1	25.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.1	0.0	5.2	5.2	3.0	-50.0	24.1	0.0
REGION 2	97,856	35,475	62,381	59,352	32,538	889	25,925	38,504
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	87,400	35,044	52,356	52,341	27,621	787	23,933	35,059
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	36.3	63.7	100.0	54.8	1.5	43.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.0	1.2	19.1	13.4	17.8	13.0	8.3	9.8
NEW JERSEY (G)	17,109	6,176	10,933	11,115	4,540	101	6,474	5,994
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	17,109	7,140	9,969	10,933	4,369	94	6,470	6,176
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	36.1	63.9	100.0	40.8	0.9	58.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	-13.5	9.7	1.7	3.9	7.4	0.1	-2.9
NEW YORK (G)	57,845	18,574	39,271	36,278	21,219	441	14,618	21,567
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	48,258	16,940	31,318	30,100	17,330	356	12,414	18,158
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	32.1	67.9	100.0	58.5	1.2	40.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	19.9	9.6	25.4	20.5	22.4	23.9	17.8	18.8
PUERTO RICO (G)	16,096	8,326	7,770	7,245	4,469	250	2,526	8,851
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	16,062	9,115	6,947	7,736	3,948	224	3,564	8,326
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	51.7	48.3	100.0	61.7	3.5	34.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.2	-8.7	11.8	-6.3	13.2	11.6	-29.1	6.3
VIRGINS ISLANDS (G)	350	128	222	165	56	9	100	185
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	202	115	87	74	20	0	54	128
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	36.6	63.4	100.0	33.9	5.5	60.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	73.3	11.3	155.2	123.0	180.0	*	85.2	44.5
NEW JERSEY (B)	1,544	620	924	1,184	666	33	485	360
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,572	548	1,024	952	612	77	263	620
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	40.2	59.8	100.0	56.3	2.8	41.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.8	13.1	-9.8	24.4	8.8	-57.1	84.4	-41.9

REPORT NO. 01

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
NEW YORK (B)	4,912	1,651	3,261	3,365	1,588	55	1,722	1,547
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,197	1,186	3,011	2,546	1,342	36	1,168	1,651
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	33.6	66.4	100.0	47.2	1.6	51.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	17.0	39.2	8.3	32.2	18.3	52.8	47.4	-6.3
REGION 3	83,727	27,395	56,332	53,460	29,452	1,305	22,703	30,267
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	90,988	27,888	63,100	63,700	36,425	1,528	25,747	27,288
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	32.7	67.3	100.0	55.1	2.4	42.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.0	-1.8	-10.7	-16.1	-19.1	-14.6	-11.8	10.9
DELAWARE (G)	2,624	687	1,937	1,967	1,058	78	831	657
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,770	654	2,116	2,083	1,165	104	814	687
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.2	73.8	100.0	53.8	4.0	42.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.3	5.0	-8.5	-5.6	-9.2	-25.0	2.1	-4.4
DISTRICT OF CO (G)	4,893	1,923	2,970	2,528	1,239	17	1,272	2,365
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,613	2,516	3,097	3,690	1,497	12	2,181	1,923
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	39.3	60.7	100.0	49.0	0.7	50.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.8	-23.6	-4.1	-31.5	-17.2	41.7	-41.7	23.0
MARYLAND (G)	12,341	3,809	8,532	8,818	4,824	381	3,613	3,523
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,177	3,667	9,510	9,368	5,513	519	3,336	3,809
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.9	69.1	100.0	54.7	4.3	41.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.3	3.9	-10.3	-5.9	-12.5	-26.6	8.3	-7.5
PENNSYLVANIA (G)	31,167	9,574	21,593	19,499	10,883	233	8,383	11,668
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	35,198	9,211	25,987	25,624	15,635	290	9,699	9,574
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.7	69.3	100.0	55.8	1.2	43.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-11.5	3.9	-16.9	-23.9	-30.4	-19.7	-13.6	21.9
VIRGINIA (G)	17,611	5,316	12,295	11,733	6,434	245	5,054	5,878
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,875	5,547	13,328	13,559	7,774	254	5,531	5,316
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.2	69.8	100.0	54.8	2.1	43.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.7	-4.2	-7.8	-13.5	-17.2	-3.5	-8.6	10.6
WEST VIRGINIA (G)	12,650	5,335	7,315	7,320	3,974	290	3,056	5,330
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	12,834	5,393	7,441	7,607	3,805	287	3,515	5,227
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	42.2	57.8	100.0	54.3	4.0	41.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.4	-1.1	-1.7	-3.8	4.4	1.0	-13.1	2.0
DELAWARE (B)	104	17	87	86	38	16	32	18
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	63	16	47	46	33	2	11	17
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	16.3	83.7	100.0	44.2	18.6	37.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	65.1	6.3	85.1	87.0	15.2	700.0	190.9	5.9
PENNSYLVANIA (B)	1,684	645	1,039	985	676	6	303	699
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,804	775	1,029	1,159	691	9	459	645
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	38.3	61.7	100.0	68.6	0.6	30.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.7	-16.8	1.0	-15.0	-2.2	-33.3	-34.0	8.4

REPORT NO. 01

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
VIRGINIA (B)	653	89	564	524	326	39	159	129
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	654	109	545	564	312	51	201	90
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	13.6	86.4	100.0	62.2	7.4	30.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.2	-18.3	3.5	-7.1	4.5	-23.5	-20.9	43.3
REGION 4	224,534	68,844	155,690	157,973	83,371	3,919	70,683	66,561
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	221,097	68,460	152,637	152,656	80,517	4,514	67,625	68,441
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.7	69.3	100.0	52.8	2.5	44.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.6	0.6	2.0	3.5	3.5	-13.2	4.5	-2.7
ALABAMA (G)	24,871	10,049	14,822	17,318	9,437	373	7,508	7,553
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	25,059	9,403	15,656	15,010	9,140	409	5,461	10,049
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	40.4	59.6	100.0	54.5	2.2	43.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.8	6.9	-5.3	15.4	3.2	-8.8	37.5	-24.8
FLORIDA (G)	40,094	13,201	26,893	27,198	11,127	818	15,253	12,896
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	40,412	13,329	27,083	27,211	11,100	880	15,231	13,201
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	32.9	67.1	100.0	40.9	3.0	56.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.8	-1.0	-0.7	0.0	0.2	-7.0	0.1	-2.3
GEORGIA (G)	27,828	10,772	17,056	17,175	9,961	82	7,132	10,653
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,572	9,916	17,656	16,800	10,332	115	6,353	10,772
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	38.7	61.3	100.0	58.0	0.5	41.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.9	8.6	-3.4	2.2	-3.6	-28.7	12.3	-1.1
KENTUCKY (G)	24,885	8,452	16,433	16,630	8,606	92	7,932	8,255
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	24,307	8,920	15,387	15,855	7,699	120	8,036	8,452
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	34.0	66.0	100.0	51.7	0.6	47.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.4	-5.2	6.8	4.9	11.8	-23.3	-1.3	-2.3
MISSISSIPPI (G)	14,833	4,670	10,163	10,144	4,904	115	5,125	4,689
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	15,783	5,607	10,176	11,523	4,854	182	6,487	4,260
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.5	68.5	100.0	48.3	1.1	50.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.0	-16.7	-0.1	-12.0	1.0	-36.8	-21.0	10.1
NORTH CAROLINA (G)	38,358	10,099	28,259	28,559	15,151	555	12,853	9,799
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	38,150	10,298	27,852	28,051	15,471	416	12,164	10,099
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.3	73.7	100.0	53.1	1.9	45.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.5	-1.9	1.5	1.8	-2.1	33.4	5.7	-3.0
SOUTH CAROLINA (G)	28,277	6,044	22,233	21,441	12,246	1,488	7,707	6,836
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	26,334	5,669	20,665	20,290	11,165	1,882	7,243	6,044
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	21.4	78.6	100.0	57.1	6.9	35.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.4	6.6	7.6	5.7	9.7	-20.9	6.4	13.1
TENNESSEE (G)	17,692	3,884	13,808	13,500	8,329	118	5,053	4,192
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	15,469	3,526	11,943	11,585	7,019	161	4,405	3,884
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	22.0	78.0	100.0	61.7	0.9	37.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	14.4	10.2	15.6	16.5	18.7	-26.7	14.7	7.9

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
FLORIDA (B)	2,085	390	1,695	1,666	1,237	119	310	419
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,235	561	1,674	1,838	1,307	140	391	397
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	18.7	81.3	100.0	74.2	7.1	18.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.7	-30.5	1.3	-9.4	-5.4	-15.0	-20.7	5.5
KENTUCKY (B)	1,143	329	814	831	462	8	361	312
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,173	314	859	844	472	11	361	329
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.8	71.2	100.0	55.6	1.0	43.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.6	4.8	-5.2	-1.5	-2.1	-27.3	0.0	-5.2
MISSISSIPPI (B)	1,713	485	1,228	1,296	492	49	755	417
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,971	415	1,556	1,486	611	119	756	485
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.3	71.7	100.0	38.0	3.8	58.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.1	16.9	-21.1	-12.8	-19.5	-58.8	-0.1	-14.0
NORTH CAROLINA (B)	1,968	288	1,680	1,661	1,046	44	571	307
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,039	356	1,683	1,751	1,063	35	653	288
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	14.6	85.4	100.0	63.0	2.6	34.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.5	-19.1	-0.2	-5.1	-1.6	25.7	-12.6	6.6
SOUTH CAROLINA (B)	787	181	606	554	373	58	123	233
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	593	146	447	412	284	44	84	181
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	23.0	77.0	100.0	67.3	10.5	22.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	32.7	24.0	35.6	34.5	31.3	31.8	46.4	28.7
REGION 5	117,822	39,649	78,173	82,741	46,465	3,693	32,583	35,081
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	135,093	43,683	91,410	95,432	53,892	4,413	37,127	39,661
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	33.7	66.3	100.0	56.2	4.5	39.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.8	-9.2	-14.5	-13.3	-13.8	-16.3	-12.2	-11.5
ILLINOIS (G)	26,477	8,468	18,009	18,399	10,331	1,132	6,936	8,078
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,201	8,229	18,972	18,733	10,730	1,117	6,886	8,468
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	32.0	68.0	100.0	56.1	6.2	37.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.7	2.9	-5.1	-1.8	-3.7	1.3	0.7	-4.6
INDIANA (G)	12,633	5,444	7,189	9,436	4,739	744	3,953	3,197
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	21,086	4,944	16,142	15,630	9,388	1,376	4,866	5,456
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	43.1	56.9	100.0	50.2	7.9	41.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-40.1	10.1	-55.5	-39.6	-49.5	-45.9	-18.8	-41.4
MICHIGAN (G)	17,748	4,336	13,412	14,271	10,156	490	3,625	3,477
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,935	5,034	13,901	14,599	10,102	484	4,013	4,336
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	24.4	75.6	100.0	71.2	3.4	25.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.3	-13.9	-3.5	-2.2	0.5	1.2	-9.7	-19.8
MINNESOTA (G)	18,524	7,577	10,947	11,408	5,558	117	5,733	7,116
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,306	6,832	11,474	10,725	5,849	133	4,743	7,581
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	40.9	59.1	100.0	48.7	1.0	50.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.2	10.9	-4.6	6.4	-5.0	-12.0	20.9	-6.1

DATE 03/18/92 TIME 08:08:10
REPORT NO. 01

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	
OHIO (G)	18,149	7,383	10,766	12,284	5,623	553	6,108	5,865
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,110	12,805	14,305	19,727	8,340	678	10,709	7,383
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	40.7	59.3	100.0	45.8	4.5	49.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-33.1	-42.3	-24.7	-37.7	-32.6	-18.4	-43.0	-20.6
WISCONSIN (G)	21,158	5,058	16,100	15,068	8,967	631	5,470	6,090
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	19,456	4,525	14,931	14,402	8,524	598	5,280	5,054
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	23.9	76.1	100.0	59.5	4.2	36.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	8.7	11.8	7.8	4.6	5.2	5.5	3.6	20.5
MICHIGAN (B)	1,230	447	783	835	607	14	214	395
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,209	455	754	762	561	17	184	447
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	36.3	63.7	100.0	72.7	1.7	25.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.7	-1.8	3.8	9.6	8.2	-17.6	16.3	-11.6
MINNESOTA (B)	1,903	936	967	1,040	484	12	544	863
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,790	859	931	854	398	10	446	936
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	49.2	50.8	100.0	46.5	1.2	52.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.3	9.0	3.9	21.8	21.6	20.0	22.0	-7.8
REGION 6	104,433	25,486	78,947	77,007	49,267	1,592	26,148	27,426
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	101,636	24,450	77,186	76,152	48,474	1,815	25,863	25,484
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	24.4	75.6	100.0	64.0	2.1	34.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.8	4.2	2.3	1.1	1.6	-12.3	1.1	7.6
ARKANSAS (G)	9,820	3,535	6,285	6,247	3,297	312	2,638	3,573
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,746	3,975	7,771	8,211	4,467	377	3,367	3,535
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	36.0	64.0	100.0	52.8	5.0	42.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-16.4	-11.1	-19.1	-23.9	-26.2	-17.2	-21.7	1.1
LOUISIANA (G)	12,382	3,406	8,976	8,773	5,825	446	2,502	3,609
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,482	2,600	8,882	8,060	5,740	405	1,915	3,422
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	27.5	72.5	100.0	66.4	5.1	28.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.8	31.0	1.1	8.8	1.5	10.1	30.7	5.5
NEW MEXICO (G)	6,741	1,624	5,117	4,646	1,741	128	2,777	2,095
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,055	1,364	4,691	4,431	1,668	383	2,380	1,624
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	24.1	75.9	100.0	37.5	2.8	59.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	11.3	19.1	9.1	4.9	4.4	-66.6	16.7	29.0
OKLAHOMA (G)	17,510	5,531	11,979	11,385	7,490	26	3,869	6,125
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	16,435	5,249	11,186	10,904	6,794	18	4,092	5,531
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.6	68.4	100.0	65.8	0.2	34.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.5	5.4	7.1	4.4	10.2	44.4	-5.4	10.7
TEXAS (G)	50,038	9,834	40,204	39,746	27,491	579	11,676	10,292
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	48,875	9,871	39,004	39,041	26,732	550	11,759	9,834
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	19.7	80.3	100.0	69.2	1.5	29.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.4	-0.4	3.1	1.8	2.8	5.3	-0.7	4.7

DATE 03/18/92 TIME 08:08:21
REPORT NO. 01

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARKANSAS (B)	670	180	490	455	284	11	160	215
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	510	150	360	348	188	3	157	162
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.9	73.1	100.0	62.4	2.4	35.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	31.4	20.0	36.1	30.7	51.1	266.7	1.9	32.7
NEW MEXICO (B)	197	56	141	126	69	12	45	71
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	224	70	154	168	98	5	65	56
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.4	71.6	100.0	54.8	9.5	35.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.1	-20.0	-8.4	-25.0	-29.6	140.0	-30.8	26.8
TEXAS (B)	7,075	1,320	5,755	5,629	3,070	78	2,481	1,446
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,309	1,171	5,138	4,989	2,787	74	2,128	1,320
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	18.7	81.3	100.0	54.5	1.4	44.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.1	12.7	12.0	12.8	10.2	5.4	16.6	9.5
REGION 7	51,036	14,420	36,616	35,395	16,677	2,398	16,320	15,641
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	50,321	13,834	36,487	35,263	16,483	2,727	16,053	15,058
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.3	71.7	100.0	47.1	6.8	46.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.4	4.2	0.4	0.4	1.2	-12.1	1.7	3.9
IOWA (G)	12,016	2,995	9,021	8,947	5,325	415	3,207	3,069
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,810	2,789	9,021	8,815	5,089	522	3,204	2,995
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	24.9	75.1	100.0	59.5	4.6	35.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.7	7.4	0.0	1.5	4.6	-20.5	0.1	2.5
KANSAS (G)	6,044	1,763	4,281	3,635	2,014	77	1,544	2,409
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	7,240	2,498	4,742	4,839	2,466	104	2,269	2,401
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	29.2	70.8	100.0	55.4	2.1	42.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-16.5	-29.4	-9.7	-24.9	-18.3	-26.0	-32.0	0.3
MISSOURI (G)	25,986	8,240	17,746	17,256	6,250	1,401	9,605	8,730
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	25,101	7,328	17,773	16,861	6,324	1,614	8,923	8,240
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.7	68.3	100.0	36.2	8.1	55.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.5	12.4	-0.2	2.3	-1.2	-13.2	7.6	5.9
NEBRASKA (G)	5,298	867	4,431	4,399	2,545	482	1,372	899
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,549	632	3,917	3,682	2,105	466	1,111	867
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	16.4	83.6	100.0	57.9	11.0	31.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	16.5	37.2	13.1	19.5	20.9	3.4	23.5	3.7
IOWA (B)	252	76	176	190	132	7	51	62
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	236	72	164	160	109	3	48	76
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.2	69.8	100.0	69.5	3.7	26.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.8	5.6	7.3	18.8	21.1	133.3	6.3	-18.4
MISSOURI (B)	1,167	418	749	758	296	13	449	409
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,114	446	668	696	287	7	402	418
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	35.8	64.2	100.0	39.1	1.7	59.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.8	-6.3	12.1	8.9	3.1	85.7	11.7	-2.2

REPORT NO. 01

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
NEBRASKA (B)	273	61	212	210	115	3	92	63
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	271	69	202	210	103	11	96	61
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	22.3	77.7	100.0	54.8	1.4	43.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.7	-11.6	5.0	0.0	11.7	-72.7	-4.2	3.3
REGION 8	32,228	9,076	23,152	22,474	11,872	1,389	9,213	9,754
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	32,820	9,335	23,485	23,722	12,628	1,416	9,678	9,098
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.2	71.8	100.0	52.8	6.2	41.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.8	-2.8	-1.4	-5.3	-6.0	-1.9	-4.8	7.2
COLORADO (G)	11,781	3,636	8,145	8,131	3,913	209	4,009	3,650
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,825	3,531	8,294	8,178	3,878	245	4,055	3,647
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.9	69.1	100.0	48.1	2.6	49.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.4	3.0	-1.8	-0.6	0.9	-14.7	-1.1	0.1
MONTANA (G)	3,553	700	2,853	2,811	1,300	667	844	742
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,620	800	2,820	2,920	1,352	632	936	700
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	19.7	80.3	100.0	46.2	23.7	30.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.9	-12.5	1.2	-3.7	-3.8	5.5	-9.8	6.0
NORTH DAKOTA (G)	2,975	844	2,131	1,930	1,224	38	668	1,045
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,803	941	1,862	1,953	1,179	33	741	850
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.4	71.6	100.0	63.4	2.0	34.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.1	-10.3	14.4	-1.2	3.8	15.2	-9.9	22.9
SOUTH DAKOTA (G)	2,496	731	1,765	1,680	701	167	812	816
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,432	789	1,643	1,701	791	120	790	731
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	29.3	70.7	100.0	41.7	9.9	48.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.6	-7.4	7.4	-1.2	-11.4	39.2	2.8	11.6
UTAH (G)	8,818	2,456	6,362	6,091	3,887	173	2,031	2,727
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,581	2,697	6,884	7,125	4,526	216	2,383	2,456
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	27.9	72.1	100.0	63.8	2.8	33.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.0	-8.9	-7.6	-14.5	-14.1	-19.9	-14.8	11.0
WYOMING (G)	2,290	627	1,663	1,608	749	130	729	682
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,204	489	1,715	1,572	765	164	643	632
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	27.4	72.6	100.0	46.6	8.1	45.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.9	28.2	-3.0	2.3	-2.1	-20.7	13.4	7.9
SOUTH DAKOTA (B)	315	82	233	223	98	5	120	92
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	355	88	267	273	137	6	130	82
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.0	74.0	100.0	43.9	2.2	53.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-11.3	-6.8	-12.7	-18.3	-28.5	-16.7	-7.7	12.2
REGION 9	103,770	26,842	76,928	77,108	42,598	2,839	31,671	26,662
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	102,607	25,440	77,167	75,762	41,908	3,239	30,615	26,845
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	25.9	74.1	100.0	55.2	3.7	41.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.1	5.5	-0.3	1.8	1.6	-12.3	3.4	-0.7

180

229

225

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARIZONA (G)	9,552	2,685	6,867	6,895	3,002	1,407	2,486	2,657
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,039	2,346	6,693	6,354	2,889	1,312	2,153	2,685
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.1	71.9	100.0	43.5	20.4	36.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.7	14.5	2.6	8.5	3.9	7.2	15.5	-1.0
CALIFORNIA (G)	86,464	22,024	64,440	64,607	36,451	1,052	27,104	21,857
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	85,766	20,709	65,057	63,742	36,355	1,688	25,699	22,024
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	25.5	74.5	100.0	56.4	1.6	42.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.8	6.3	-0.9	1.4	0.3	-37.7	5.5	-0.8
GUAM (G)	290	73	217	228	157	12	59	62
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	298	86	212	225	147	14	64	73
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	25.2	74.8	100.0	68.9	5.3	25.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.7	-15.1	2.4	1.3	6.8	-14.3	-7.8	-15.1
HAWAII (G)	3,366	990	2,376	2,244	1,526	17	701	1,122
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,981	804	2,177	1,991	1,368	20	603	990
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	29.4	70.6	100.0	68.0	0.8	31.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.9	23.1	9.1	12.7	11.5	-15.0	16.3	13.3
NEVADA (G)	3,757	957	2,800	2,899	1,320	300	1,279	858
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,188	1,357	2,831	3,232	1,026	155	2,051	956
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	25.5	74.5	100.0	45.5	10.3	44.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-10.3	-29.5	-1.1	-10.3	28.7	93.5	-37.6	-10.3
PALAU (G)	104	41	63	91	43	43	5	13
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	114	50	64	73	36	36	1	41
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	39.4	60.6	100.0	47.3	47.3	5.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.8	-18.0	-1.6	24.7	19.4	19.4	400.0	-68.3
NORTHERN MARIANAS (G)	111	31	80	63	38	4	21	48
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	95	47	48	64	26	10	28	31
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	27.9	72.1	100.0	60.3	6.3	33.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	16.8	-34.0	66.7	-1.6	46.2	-60.0	-25.0	54.8
AMERICAN SAMOA (G)	126	41	85	81	61	4	16	45
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	126	41	85	81	61	4	16	45
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	32.5	67.5	100.0	75.3	4.9	19.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
REGION 10	29,532	7,937	21,595	20,598	10,633	1,540	8,425	8,934
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	28,577	8,087	20,490	20,542	10,149	1,949	8,444	8,035
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.9	73.1	100.0	51.6	7.5	40.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.3	-1.9	5.4	0.3	4.8	-21.0	-0.2	11.2
ALASKA (G)	2,543	810	1,733	1,742	718	63	961	801
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,387	782	1,605	1,577	639	82	856	810
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.9	68.1	100.0	41.2	3.6	55.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.5	3.6	8.0	10.5	12.4	-23.2	12.3	-1.1

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
IDAHO (G)	5,306	1,657	3,649	3,388	1,644	138	1,606	1,918
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,104	1,707	3,397	3,447	1,577	128	1,742	1,657
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.2	68.8	100.0	48.5	4.1	47.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.0	-2.9	7.4	-1.7	4.2	7.8	-7.8	15.8
OREGON (G)	6,734	1,686	5,048	4,861	2,219	652	1,990	1,873
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,868	1,712	5,156	5,084	2,212	885	1,987	1,784
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	25.0	75.0	100.0	45.6	13.4	40.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.0	-1.5	-2.1	-4.4	0.3	-26.3	0.2	5.0
WASHINGTON (G)	13,927	3,440	10,487	9,976	5,690	645	3,641	3,951
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,301	3,590	9,711	9,861	5,389	795	3,677	3,440
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	24.7	75.3	100.0	57.0	6.5	36.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.7	-4.2	8.0	1.2	5.6	-18.9	-1.0	14.9
IDAHO (B)	125	33	92	87	55	3	29	38
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	115	26	89	82	48	4	30	33
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.4	73.6	100.0	63.2	3.4	33.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	8.7	26.9	3.4	6.1	14.6	-25.0	-3.3	15.2
OREGON (B)	322	149	173	197	116	14	67	125
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	333	146	187	184	123	13	48	149
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	46.3	53.7	100.0	58.9	7.1	34.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.3	2.1	-7.5	7.1	-5.7	7.7	39.6	-16.1
WASHINGTON (B)	575	162	413	347	191	25	131	228
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	469	124	345	307	161	42	104	162
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.2	71.8	100.0	55.0	7.2	37.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	22.6	30.6	19.7	13.0	18.6	-40.5	26.0	40.7

DATE 03/18/92 TIME 08:39:50

REPORT NO. 03

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL	941,771	589,855	351,916	338,878	202,831	107,607	28,440	602,893
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	937,971	580,411	357,560	347,483	216,112	104,227	27,144	590,488
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.6	37.4	100.0	59.9	31.8	8.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.4	1.6	-1.6	-2.5	-6.1	3.2	4.8	2.1
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	904,512	566,012	338,500	326,061	193,468	105,041	27,552	578,451
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	901,548	556,841	344,707	334,914	206,802	101,871	26,241	566,634
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.6	37.4	100.0	59.3	32.2	8.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.3	1.6	-1.8	-2.6	-6.4	3.1	5.0	2.1
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	37,259	23,843	13,416	12,817	9,363	2,566	888	24,442
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	36,423	23,570	12,853	12,569	9,310	2,356	903	23,854
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.0	36.0	100.0	73.1	20.0	6.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.3	1.2	4.4	2.0	0.6	8.9	-1.7	2.5
REGION 1	51,352	32,872	18,480	16,616	8,718	4,194	3,704	34,736
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	49,865	31,932	17,933	16,991	9,375	4,102	3,514	32,874
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.0	36.0	100.0	52.5	25.2	22.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.0	2.9	3.1	-2.2	-7.0	2.2	5.4	5.7
CONNECTICUT (G)	9,370	5,981	3,389	3,228	1,750	911	567	6,142
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,504	5,695	3,809	3,523	1,904	879	740	5,981
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.8	36.2	100.0	54.2	28.2	17.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.4	5.0	-11.0	-8.4	-8.1	3.6	-23.4	2.7
MAINE (G)	3,059	1,911	1,148	906	407	374	125	2,153
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,012	2,292	720	1,101	632	407	62	1,911
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.5	37.5	100.0	44.9	41.3	13.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.6	-16.6	59.4	-17.7	-35.6	-8.1	101.6	12.7
MASSACHUSETTS (G)	26,518	16,676	9,842	8,317	3,956	1,957	2,404	18,201
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	25,031	15,804	9,227	8,355	4,186	1,977	2,192	16,676
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.9	37.1	100.0	47.6	23.5	28.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.9	5.5	6.7	-0.5	-5.5	-1.0	9.7	9.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE (G)	3,874	2,424	1,450	1,571	1,092	252	227	2,303
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,890	2,585	1,305	1,466	1,024	243	199	2,424
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.6	37.4	100.0	69.5	16.0	14.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.4	-6.2	11.1	7.2	6.6	3.7	14.1	-5.0
RHODE ISLAND (G)	3,646	2,662	984	1,082	643	299	140	2,564
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,639	2,427	1,212	977	670	208	99	2,662
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.0	27.0	100.0	59.4	27.6	12.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.2	9.7	-18.8	10.7	-4.0	43.8	41.4	-3.7
VERMONT (G)	2,837	1,802	1,035	923	442	293	188	1,914
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,772	1,756	1,016	970	498	315	157	1,802
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.5	36.5	100.0	47.9	31.7	20.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.3	2.6	1.9	-4.8	-11.2	-7.0	19.7	6.2

REPORT NO. 03 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	
CONNECTICUT (B)	480	335	145	154	122	16	16	326
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	499	303	196	164	140	4	20	335
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.8	30.2	100.0	79.2	10.4	10.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.8	10.6	-26.0	-6.1	-12.9	300.0	-20.0	-2.7
MASSACHUSETTS (B)	963	710	253	222	147	51	24	741
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	959	724	235	247	179	36	32	712
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.7	26.3	100.0	66.2	23.0	10.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.4	-1.9	7.7	-10.1	-17.9	41.7	-25.0	4.1
RHODE ISLAND (B)	342	212	130	107	76	19	12	235
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	294	183	111	82	57	14	11	212
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.0	38.0	100.0	71.0	17.8	11.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	16.3	15.8	17.1	30.5	33.3	35.7	9.1	10.8
VERMONT (B)	263	159	104	106	83	22	1	157
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	265	163	102	106	85	19	2	159
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.5	39.5	100.0	78.3	20.8	0.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.8	-2.5	2.0	0.0	-2.4	15.8	-50.0	-1.3
REGION 2	87,502	54,566	32,936	26,976	15,852	6,992	4,132	60,526
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	81,866	53,813	28,053	27,355	17,416	6,521	3,418	54,511
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.4	37.6	100.0	58.8	25.9	15.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.9	1.4	17.4	-1.4	-9.0	7.2	20.9	11.0
NEW JERSEY (G)	11,790	7,205	4,585	3,962	2,263	1,399	300	7,828
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	12,862	8,432	4,430	5,657	3,752	1,762	143	7,205
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.1	38.9	100.0	57.1	35.3	7.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.3	-14.6	3.5	-30.0	-39.7	-20.6	109.8	8.6
NEW YORK (G)	51,837	30,458	21,379	16,461	8,995	4,545	2,921	35,376
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	46,034	28,544	17,490	15,631	9,285	3,805	2,541	30,403
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.8	41.2	100.0	54.6	27.6	17.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.6	6.7	22.2	5.3	-3.1	19.4	15.0	16.4
PUERTO RICO (G)	17,604	13,000	4,604	4,533	3,237	708	588	13,071
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	17,328	13,265	4,063	4,328	3,123	736	469	13,000
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.8	26.2	100.0	71.4	15.6	13.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.6	-2.0	13.3	4.7	3.7	-3.8	25.4	0.5
VIRGINS ISLANDS (G)	381	320	61	61	37	15	9	320
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	348	328	20	28	18	5	5	320
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	84.0	16.0	100.0	60.7	24.6	14.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	9.5	-2.4	205.0	117.9	105.6	200.0	80.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY (B)	1,780	1,080	700	666	435	150	81	1,114
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,696	997	699	616	481	73	62	1,080
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.7	39.3	100.0	65.3	22.5	12.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.0	8.3	0.1	8.1	-9.6	105.5	30.6	3.1

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
NEW YORK (B)	4,110	2,503	1,607	1,293	885	175	233	2,817
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,598	2,247	1,351	1,095	757	140	198	2,503
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.9	39.1	100.0	68.4	13.5	18.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	14.2	11.4	18.9	18.1	16.9	25.0	17.7	12.5
REGION 3	94,119	63,933	30,186	33,574	18,560	10,963	4,051	60,545
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	103,275	66,043	37,232	39,519	23,289	11,659	4,571	63,756
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.9	32.1	100.0	55.3	32.7	12.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.9	-3.2	-18.9	-15.0	-20.3	-6.0	-11.4	-5.0
DELAWARE (G)	2,249	1,144	1,105	1,153	627	379	147	1,096
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,337	1,107	1,230	1,193	732	322	139	1,144
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	50.9	49.1	100.0	54.4	32.9	12.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.8	3.3	-10.2	-3.4	-14.3	17.7	5.8	-4.2
DISTRICT OF CO (G)	2,623	1,384	1,239	1,135	762	217	156	1,488
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,784	1,285	1,499	1,400	912	318	170	1,384
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	52.8	47.2	100.0	67.1	19.1	13.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.8	7.7	-17.3	-18.9	-16.4	-31.8	-8.2	7.5
MARYLAND (G)	13,021	7,950	5,071	5,169	2,697	1,703	769	7,852
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,388	7,610	5,778	5,438	3,308	1,500	630	7,950
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.1	38.9	100.0	52.2	32.9	14.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.7	4.5	-12.2	-4.9	-18.5	13.5	22.1	-1.2
PENNSYLVANIA (G)	42,237	31,237	11,000	13,951	7,598	4,960	1,393	28,286
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	49,568	33,776	15,792	18,328	10,878	5,559	1,891	31,240
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	74.0	26.0	100.0	54.5	35.6	10.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-14.8	-7.5	-30.3	-23.9	-30.2	-10.8	-26.3	-9.5
VIRGINIA (G)	17,638	11,082	6,556	7,083	3,590	2,632	861	10,555
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,820	10,922	7,898	7,738	4,110	2,658	970	11,082
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.8	37.2	100.0	50.7	37.2	12.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.3	1.5	-17.0	-8.5	-12.7	-1.0	-11.2	-4.8
WEST VIRGINIA (G)	12,251	8,115	4,136	4,015	2,651	781	583	8,236
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	12,123	8,150	3,973	4,188	2,619	974	595	7,935
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.2	33.8	100.0	66.0	19.5	14.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.1	-0.4	4.1	-4.1	1.2	-19.8	-2.0	3.8
DELAWARE (B)	124	82	42	58	37	15	6	66
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	144	110	34	62	41	15	6	82
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.1	33.9	100.0	63.8	25.9	10.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.9	-25.5	23.5	-6.5	-9.8	0.0	0.0	-19.5
PENNSYLVANIA (B)	2,987	2,309	678	684	382	179	123	2,303
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,104	2,408	696	795	449	191	155	2,309
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	77.3	22.7	100.0	55.8	26.2	18.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.8	-4.1	-2.6	-14.0	-14.9	-6.3	-20.6	-0.3

REPORT NO. 03

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	
VIRGINIA (B)	989	630	359	326	216	97	13	663
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,007	675	332	377	240	122	15	630
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.7	36.3	100.0	66.3	29.8	4.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.8	-6.7	8.1	-13.5	-10.0	-20.5	-13.3	5.2
REGION 4	210,397	124,881	85,516	79,298	50,764	25,718	2,816	131,099
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	202,424	119,421	83,003	77,168	50,826	23,724	2,618	125,256
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.4	40.6	100.0	64.0	32.4	3.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.9	4.6	3.0	2.8	-0.1	8.4	7.6	4.7
ALABAMA (G)	27,400	17,788	9,612	8,855	6,433	2,085	337	18,545
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	26,251	16,938	9,313	8,463	6,188	1,969	306	17,788
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.9	35.1	100.0	72.6	23.5	3.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.4	5.0	3.2	4.6	4.0	5.9	10.1	4.3
FLORIDA (G)	26,233	14,669	11,564	11,058	7,132	3,789	137	15,175
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	25,464	13,923	11,541	10,795	7,124	3,557	114	14,669
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.9	44.1	100.0	64.5	34.3	1.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.0	5.4	0.2	2.4	0.1	6.5	20.2	3.4
GEORGIA (G)	28,095	18,082	10,013	10,057	6,912	3,080	65	18,038
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	28,134	17,752	10,382	10,052	6,966	2,953	133	18,082
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.4	35.6	100.0	68.7	30.6	0.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.1	1.9	-3.6	0.0	-0.8	4.3	-51.1	-0.2
KENTUCKY (G)	22,765	14,103	8,662	6,839	4,240	1,883	716	15,926
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	20,587	12,829	7,758	6,484	4,083	1,804	597	14,103
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.0	38.0	100.0	62.0	27.5	10.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	10.6	9.9	11.7	5.5	3.8	4.4	19.9	12.9
MISSISSIPPI (G)	11,159	6,208	4,951	3,988	2,416	1,502	70	7,171
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,449	6,490	4,959	4,871	2,679	2,095	97	6,578
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.6	44.4	100.0	60.6	37.7	1.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.5	-4.3	-0.2	-18.1	-9.8	-28.3	-27.8	9.0
NORTH CAROLINA (G)	36,142	20,786	15,356	14,888	8,398	6,179	311	21,254
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	34,917	19,230	15,687	14,131	8,580	5,255	296	20,786
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.5	42.5	100.0	56.4	41.5	2.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.5	8.1	-2.1	5.4	-2.1	17.6	5.1	2.3
SOUTH CAROLINA (G)	27,338	14,189	13,149	12,477	8,141	4,306	30	14,861
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	26,213	13,968	12,245	12,024	8,354	3,650	20	14,189
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	51.9	48.1	100.0	65.2	34.5	0.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.3	1.6	7.4	3.8	-2.5	18.0	50.0	4.7
TENNESSEE (G)	20,361	11,954	8,407	7,308	4,195	1,999	1,114	13,053
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,460	11,305	7,155	6,506	3,839	1,654	1,013	11,954
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.7	41.3	100.0	57.4	27.4	15.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	10.3	5.7	17.5	12.3	9.3	20.9	10.0	9.2

REPORT NO. 03

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
FLORIDA (B)	3,764	2,453	1,311	1,188	878	304	6	2,576
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,690	2,297	1,393	1,232	972	251	9	2,458
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.2	34.8	100.0	73.9	25.6	0.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.0	6.8	-5.9	-3.6	-9.7	21.1	-33.3	4.8
KENTUCKY (B)	1,049	582	467	427	370	53	4	622
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,033	552	481	451	396	53	2	582
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.5	44.5	100.0	86.7	12.4	0.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.5	5.4	-2.9	-5.3	-6.6	0.0	100.0	6.9
MISSISSIPPI (B)	1,759	1,196	563	741	541	190	10	1,018
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,918	1,228	690	722	552	159	11	1,196
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.0	32.0	100.0	73.0	25.6	1.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.3	-2.6	-18.4	2.6	-2.0	19.5	-9.1	-14.9
NORTH CAROLINA (B)	3,474	2,414	1,060	1,161	828	317	16	2,313
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,577	2,492	1,085	1,163	860	285	18	2,414
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.5	30.5	100.0	71.3	27.3	1.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.9	-3.1	-2.3	-0.2	-3.7	11.2	-11.1	-4.2
SOUTH CAROLINA (B)	858	457	401	311	280	31	0	547
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	731	417	314	274	233	39	2	457
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	53.3	46.7	100.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	17.4	9.6	27.7	13.5	20.2	-20.5	*	19.7
REGION 5	150,904	102,616	48,288	53,538	29,914	17,186	6,438	97,366
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	158,591	102,676	55,915	56,140	32,534	17,204	6,402	102,451
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.0	32.0	100.0	55.9	32.1	12.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.8	-0.1	-13.6	-4.6	-8.1	-0.1	0.6	-5.0
ILLINOIS (G)	32,024	21,156	10,868	9,961	5,967	3,502	492	22,063
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	31,060	19,786	11,274	9,904	6,487	3,061	356	21,156
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.1	33.9	100.0	59.9	35.2	4.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.1	6.9	-3.6	0.6	-8.0	14.4	38.2	4.3
INDIANA (G)	18,944	13,765	5,179	8,216	4,921	2,204	1,091	10,728
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	21,618	11,593	10,025	8,007	5,339	1,735	933	13,611
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	72.7	27.3	100.0	59.9	26.8	13.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.4	18.7	-48.3	2.6	-7.8	27.0	16.9	-21.2
MICHIGAN (G)	25,764	15,364	10,400	10,490	5,236	3,654	1,600	15,274
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	26,930	16,607	10,323	11,566	5,843	3,979	1,744	15,364
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.6	40.4	100.0	49.9	34.8	15.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.3	-7.5	0.7	-9.3	-10.4	-8.2	-8.3	-0.6
MINNESOTA (G)	19,507	13,895	5,612	5,758	3,192	1,569	997	13,749
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	19,219	13,283	5,936	5,325	3,160	1,308	857	13,894
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	71.2	28.8	100.0	55.4	27.2	17.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.5	4.6	-5.5	8.1	1.0	20.0	16.3	-1.0

REPORT NO. 03

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
OHIO (G)	23,604	17,708	5,896	9,218	4,697	3,748	773	14,386
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	28,806	20,088	8,718	11,098	5,863	4,308	927	17,708
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	75.0	25.0	100.0	51.0	40.7	8.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-18.1	-11.8	-32.4	-16.9	-19.9	-13.0	-16.6	-18.8
WISCONSIN (G)	27,564	18,330	9,234	8,718	5,059	2,253	1,406	18,846
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,349	18,679	8,670	9,028	4,988	2,563	1,477	18,321
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.5	33.5	100.0	58.0	25.8	16.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.8	-1.9	6.5	-3.4	1.4	-12.1	-4.8	2.9
MICHIGAN (B)	1,989	1,382	607	649	449	164	36	1,340
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,989	1,428	561	607	414	145	48	1,382
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.5	30.5	100.0	69.2	25.3	5.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	-3.2	8.2	6.9	8.5	13.1	-25.0	-3.0
MINNESOTA (B)	1,508	1,016	492	528	393	92	43	980
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,620	1,212	408	605	440	105	60	1,015
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.4	32.6	100.0	74.4	17.4	8.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.9	-16.2	20.6	-12.7	-10.7	-12.4	-28.3	-3.4
REGION 6	132,894	82,789	50,105	48,287	29,155	16,586	2,546	84,607
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	129,934	80,523	49,411	47,130	29,296	15,393	2,441	82,804
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.3	37.7	100.0	60.4	34.3	5.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.3	2.8	1.4	2.5	-0.5	7.8	4.3	2.2
ARKANSAS (G)	13,203	9,731	3,472	4,626	2,202	2,206	218	8,577
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,716	9,073	4,643	3,986	2,680	1,158	148	9,730
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.7	26.3	100.0	47.6	47.7	4.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.7	7.3	-25.2	16.1	-17.8	90.5	47.3	-11.8
LOUISIANA (G)	19,726	13,707	6,019	6,130	3,565	1,653	912	13,596
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	19,496	13,580	5,916	5,778	3,172	1,723	883	13,718
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.5	30.5	100.0	58.2	27.0	14.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.2	0.9	1.7	6.1	12.4	-4.1	3.3	-0.9
NEW MEXICO (G)	4,941	3,056	1,885	1,622	828	632	162	3,319
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,518	2,609	1,909	1,462	865	490	107	3,056
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.8	38.2	100.0	51.0	39.0	10.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	9.4	17.1	-1.3	10.9	-4.3	29.0	51.4	8.6
OKLAHOMA (G)	23,450	15,949	7,501	6,163	3,507	2,079	577	17,287
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	22,367	15,566	6,801	6,418	3,490	2,271	657	15,949
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.0	32.0	100.0	56.9	33.7	9.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.8	2.5	10.3	-4.0	0.5	-8.5	-12.2	8.4
TEXAS (G)	63,888	36,122	27,766	26,652	16,588	9,580	484	37,236
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	62,623	35,619	27,004	26,501	16,767	9,262	472	36,122
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.5	43.5	100.0	62.2	35.9	1.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.0	1.4	2.8	0.6	-1.1	3.4	2.5	3.1

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARKANSAS (B)	711	423	288	244	216	27	1	467
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	647	457	190	219	191	26	2	428
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.5	40.5	100.0	88.5	11.1	0.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	9.9	-7.4	51.6	11.4	13.1	3.8	-50.0	9.1
NEW MEXICO (B)	343	268	75	96	60	31	5	247
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	359	252	107	91	53	36	2	268
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	78.1	21.9	100.0	62.5	32.3	5.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.5	6.3	-29.9	5.5	13.2	-13.9	150.0	-7.8
TEXAS (B)	6,632	3,533	3,099	2,754	2,189	378	187	3,878
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,208	3,367	2,841	2,675	2,078	427	170	3,533
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	53.3	46.7	100.0	79.5	13.7	6.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.8	4.9	9.1	3.0	5.3	-11.5	10.0	9.8
REGION 7	46,760	28,733	18,027	16,346	10,861	4,456	1,029	30,414
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	46,724	28,659	18,065	17,376	11,626	4,785	965	29,348
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.4	38.6	100.0	66.4	27.3	6.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.1	0.3	-0.2	-5.9	-6.6	-6.9	6.6	3.6
IOWA (G)	16,056	10,529	5,527	4,722	2,952	1,010	760	11,334
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	15,118	9,758	5,360	4,589	2,994	903	692	10,529
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.6	34.4	100.0	62.5	21.4	16.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.2	7.9	3.1	2.9	-1.4	11.8	9.8	7.6
KANSAS (G)	4,638	2,581	2,057	1,719	1,226	463	30	2,919
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,650	3,112	2,538	2,454	1,606	801	47	3,196
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.6	44.4	100.0	71.3	26.9	1.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-17.9	-17.1	-19.0	-30.0	-23.7	-42.2	-36.2	-8.7
MISSOURI (G)	18,117	11,002	7,115	6,980	4,860	2,083	37	11,137
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,316	10,980	7,336	7,314	5,218	2,062	34	11,002
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.7	39.3	100.0	69.6	29.8	0.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.1	0.2	-3.0	-4.6	-6.9	1.0	8.8	1.2
NEBRASKA (G)	6,291	3,513	2,778	2,362	1,440	749	173	3,929
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,033	3,715	2,318	2,520	1,469	891	160	3,513
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.8	44.2	100.0	61.0	31.7	7.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.3	-5.4	19.8	-6.3	-2.0	-15.9	8.1	11.8
IOWA (B)	495	362	133	128	82	38	8	367
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	490	380	110	128	86	31	11	362
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.1	26.9	100.0	64.1	29.7	6.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.0	-4.7	20.9	0.0	-4.7	22.6	-27.3	1.4
MISSOURI (B)	845	544	301	307	203	91	13	538
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	807	515	292	263	188	65	10	544
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.4	35.6	100.0	66.1	29.6	4.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.7	5.6	3.1	16.7	8.0	40.0	30.0	-1.1

REPORT NO. 03

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
NEBRASKA (B)	318	202	116	128	98	22	8	190
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	310	199	111	108	65	32	11	202
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.5	36.5	100.0	76.6	17.2	6.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.6	1.5	4.5	18.5	50.8	-31.3	-27.3	-5.9
REGION 8	36,808	24,230	12,578	12,785	7,812	3,359	1,614	24,023
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	37,665	24,141	13,524	13,223	8,474	3,271	1,478	24,442
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.8	34.2	100.0	61.1	26.3	12.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.3	0.4	-7.0	-3.3	-7.8	2.7	9.2	-1.7
COLORADO (G)	10,081	6,042	4,039	4,014	2,377	1,201	436	6,067
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,663	5,639	4,024	3,616	2,361	967	288	6,047
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.9	40.1	100.0	59.2	29.9	10.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.3	7.1	0.4	11.0	0.7	24.2	51.4	0.3
MONTANA (G)	4,483	2,863	1,620	1,564	933	418	213	2,919
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,446	2,722	1,724	1,583	899	470	214	2,863
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.9	36.1	100.0	59.7	26.7	13.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.8	5.2	-6.0	-1.2	3.8	-11.1	-0.5	2.0
NORTH DAKOTA (G)	3,753	2,508	1,245	1,155	635	324	196	2,598
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,989	2,796	1,193	1,445	792	397	256	2,544
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.8	33.2	100.0	55.0	28.1	17.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.9	-10.3	4.4	-20.1	-19.8	-18.4	-23.4	2.1
SOUTH DAKOTA (G)	2,704	1,956	748	855	515	204	136	1,849
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,048	2,204	844	1,092	666	259	167	1,956
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	72.3	27.7	100.0	60.2	23.9	15.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-11.3	-11.3	-11.4	-21.7	-22.7	-21.2	-18.6	-5.5
UTAH (G)	13,428	9,426	4,002	4,253	2,801	916	536	9,175
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,868	9,231	4,637	4,443	3,099	889	455	9,425
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	70.2	29.8	100.0	65.9	21.5	12.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.2	2.1	-13.7	-4.3	-9.6	3.0	17.8	-2.7
WYOMING (G)	2,071	1,249	822	810	444	277	89	1,261
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,329	1,373	956	908	544	273	91	1,421
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.3	39.7	100.0	54.8	34.2	11.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-11.1	-9.0	-14.0	-10.8	-18.4	1.5	-2.2	-11.3
SOUTH DAKOTA (B)	288	186	102	134	107	19	8	154
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	322	176	146	136	113	16	7	186
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.6	35.4	100.0	79.9	14.2	6.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-10.6	5.7	-30.1	-1.5	-5.3	18.8	14.3	-17.2
REGION 9	102,776	58,505	44,271	40,439	24,602	14,940	897	62,337
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	99,651	56,346	43,305	41,136	26,258	14,329	549	58,515
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.9	43.1	100.0	60.8	36.9	2.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.1	3.8	2.2	-1.7	-6.3	4.3	63.4	6.5

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARIZONA (G)	9,040	5,287	3,753	3,477	2,311	1,066	100	5,563
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,680	4,980	3,700	3,393	2,385	936	72	5,287
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.5	41.5	100.0	66.5	30.7	2.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.1	6.2	1.4	2.5	-3.1	13.9	38.9	5.2
CALIFORNIA (G)	86,015	48,755	37,260	34,238	20,872	12,991	375	51,777
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	83,779	46,932	36,847	35,024	22,350	12,450	224	48,755
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.7	43.3	100.0	61.0	37.9	1.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.7	3.9	1.1	-2.2	-6.6	4.3	67.4	6.2
GUAM (G)	359	195	164	158	107	26	25	201
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	353	198	155	158	103	29	26	195
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	54.3	45.7	100.0	67.7	16.5	15.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.7	-1.5	5.8	0.0	3.9	-10.3	-3.8	3.1
HAWAII (G)	4,278	2,743	1,535	1,391	764	455	172	2,887
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,039	2,661	1,378	1,296	784	365	147	2,743
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.1	35.9	100.0	54.9	32.7	12.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.9	3.1	11.4	7.3	-2.6	24.7	17.0	5.2
NEVADA (G)	2,640	1,237	1,403	1,059	465	378	216	1,581
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,401	1,313	1,088	1,163	553	538	72	1,238
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	46.9	53.1	100.0	43.9	35.7	20.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	10.0	-5.8	29.0	-8.9	-15.9	-29.7	200.0	27.7
PALAU (G)	163	115	48	26	13	13	0	137
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	126	81	45	11	10	1	0	115
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	70.6	29.4	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	29.4	42.0	6.7	136.4	30.0	1200.0	*	19.1
NORTHERN MARIANAS (G)	111	66	45	36	24	9	3	75
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	103	74	29	37	27	8	2	66
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.5	40.5	100.0	66.7	25.0	8.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.8	-10.8	55.2	-2.7	-11.1	12.5	50.0	13.6
AMERICAN SAMOA (G)	170	107	63	54	46	2	6	116
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	170	107	63	54	46	2	6	116
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.9	37.1	100.0	85.2	3.7	11.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
REGION 10	28,259	16,730	11,529	11,019	6,593	3,213	1,213	17,240
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,976	16,857	11,119	11,445	7,018	3,239	1,188	16,531
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.2	40.8	100.0	59.8	29.2	11.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.0	-0.8	3.7	-3.7	-6.1	-0.8	2.1	4.3
ALASKA (G)	1,890	1,144	746	756	443	215	98	1,134
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,901	1,230	671	757	376	265	116	1,144
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.5	39.5	100.0	58.6	28.4	13.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.6	-7.0	11.2	-0.1	17.8	-18.9	-15.5	-0.9

REPORT NO. 03

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	
IDAHO (G)	4,398	2,684	1,714	1,458	937	337	184	2,940
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,397	2,746	1,651	1,713	1,129	396	188	2,684
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.0	39.0	100.0	64.3	23.1	12.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	-2.3	3.8	-14.9	-17.0	-14.9	-2.1	9.5
OREGON (G)	5,949	3,345	2,604	2,493	1,693	714	86	3,456
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,523	2,923	2,600	2,377	1,777	558	42	3,146
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.2	43.8	100.0	67.9	28.6	3.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.7	14.4	0.2	4.9	-4.7	28.0	104.8	9.9
WASHINGTON (G)	14,834	8,752	6,082	5,907	3,234	1,862	811	8,927
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	14,999	9,170	5,829	6,247	3,496	1,948	803	8,752
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.0	41.0	100.0	54.7	31.5	13.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.1	-4.6	4.3	-5.4	-7.5	-4.4	1.0	2.0
IDAHO (B)	191	134	57	54	19	24	11	137
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	188	135	53	54	25	19	10	134
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	70.2	29.8	100.0	35.2	44.4	20.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.6	-0.7	7.5	0.0	-24.0	26.3	10.0	2.2
OREGON (B)	353	232	121	131	107	22	2	222
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	320	188	132	88	66	18	4	232
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.7	34.3	100.0	81.7	16.8	1.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	10.3	23.4	-8.3	48.9	62.1	22.2	-50.0	-4.3
WASHINGTON (B)	644	439	205	220	160	39	21	424
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	648	465	183	209	149	35	25	439
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.2	31.8	100.0	72.7	17.7	9.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.6	-5.6	12.0	5.3	7.4	11.4	-16.0	-3.4

REPORT NO. 3A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY REHABILITATION RATES AMONG ACTIVE CASES CLOSED, BY TYPE OF AGENCY

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

RANK		TOTAL CASES CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	PERCENT REHABILITATED THIS YEAR	PERCENT REHABILITATED LAST YEAR
	U. S. TOTAL	338,878	202,831	59.9	62.2
	GENERAL AGENCIES TOTAL	326,061	193,468	59.3	61.7
1	AMERICAN SAMOA	54	46	85.2	85.2
2	ALABAMA	8,855	6,433	72.6	73.1
3	PUERTO RICO	4,533	3,237	71.4	72.2
4	KANSAS	1,719	1,226	71.3	65.4
5	MISSOURI	6,980	4,860	69.6	71.3
6	NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,571	1,092	69.5	69.8
7	GEORGIA	10,057	6,912	68.7	69.3
8	OREGON	2,493	1,693	67.9	74.8
9	GUAM	158	107	67.7	65.2
10	DISTRICT OF CO	1,135	762	67.1	65.1
11	NORTHERN MARIANAS	36	24	66.7	73.0
12	ARIZONA	3,477	2,311	66.5	70.3
13	WEST VIRGINIA	4,015	2,651	66.0	62.5
14	UTAH	4,253	2,801	65.9	69.8
15	SOUTH CAROLINA	12,477	8,141	65.2	69.5
16	FLORIDA	11,058	7,132	64.5	66.0
17	IDAHO	1,458	937	64.3	65.9
18	IOWA	4,722	2,952	62.5	65.2
19	TEXAS	26,652	16,588	62.2	63.3
20	KENTUCKY	6,839	4,240	62.0	63.0
21	NEBRASKA	2,362	1,440	61.0	58.3
21	CALIFORNIA	34,238	20,872	61.0	63.8
23	VIRGINS ISLANDS	61	37	60.7	64.3
24	MISSISSIPPI	3,988	2,416	60.6	55.0
25	SOUTH DAKOTA	855	515	60.2	61.0
26	ILLINOIS	9,961	5,967	59.9	65.5
26	INDIANA	8,216	4,921	59.9	66.7
28	MONTANA	1,564	933	59.7	56.8
29	RHODE ISLAND	1,082	643	59.4	68.6
30	COLORADO	4,014	2,377	59.2	65.3
31	ALASKA	756	443	58.6	49.7
32	LOUISIANA	6,130	3,565	58.2	54.9
33	WISCONSIN	8,718	5,059	58.0	55.3
34	TENNESSEE	7,308	4,195	57.4	59.0
35	NEW JERSEY	3,962	2,263	57.1	66.3

REPORT NO. 3A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY REHABILITATION RATES AMONG ACTIVE CASES CLOSED, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		TOTAL CASES CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	PERCENT REHABILITATED THIS YEAR	PERCENT REHABILITATED LAST YEAR
36	OKLAHOMA	6,163	3,507	56.9	54.4
37	NORTH CAROLINA	14,888	8,398	56.4	60.7
38	MINNESOTA	5,758	3,192	55.4	59.3
39	NORTH DAKOTA	1,155	635	55.0	54.8
40	HAWAII	1,391	764	54.9	60.5
41	WYOMING	810	444	54.8	59.9
42	WASHINGTON	5,907	3,234	54.7	56.0
43	NEW YORK	16,461	8,995	54.6	59.4
44	PENNSYLVANIA	13,951	7,598	54.5	59.4
45	DELAWARE	1,153	627	54.4	61.4
46	CONNECTICUT	3,228	1,750	54.2	54.0
47	MARYLAND	5,169	2,697	52.2	60.8
48	NEW MEXICO	1,622	828	51.0	59.2
48	OHIO	9,218	4,697	51.0	52.8
50	VIRGINIA	7,083	3,590	50.7	53.1
51	PALAU	26	13	50.0	90.9
52	MICHIGAN	10,490	5,236	49.9	50.5
53	VERMONT	923	442	47.9	51.3
54	ARKANSAS	4,626	2,202	47.6	67.2
54	MASSACHUSETTS	8,317	3,956	47.6	50.1
56	MAINE	906	407	44.9	57.4
57	NEVADA	1,059	465	43.9	47.5

REPORT NO. 3A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY REHABILITATION RATES AMONG ACTIVE CASES CLOSED, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

RANK		TOTAL CASES CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	PERCENT REHABILITATED THIS YEAR	PERCENT REHABILITATED LAST YEAR
	BLIND AGENCIES TOTAL	12,817	9,363	73.1	74.1
1	SOUTH CAROLINA	311	280	90.0	85.0
2	ARKANSAS	244	216	88.5	87.2
3	KENTUCKY	427	370	86.7	87.8
4	OREGON	131	107	81.7	75.0
5	SOUTH DAKOTA	134	107	79.9	83.1
6	TEXAS	2,754	2,189	79.5	77.7
7	CONNECTICUT	154	122	79.2	85.4
8	VERMONT	106	83	78.3	80.2
9	NEBRASKA	128	98	76.6	60.2
10	MINNESOTA	528	393	74.4	72.7
11	FLORIDA	1,188	878	73.9	78.9
12	MISSISSIPPI	741	541	73.0	76.5
13	WASHINGTON	220	160	72.7	71.3
14	NORTH CAROLINA	1,161	828	71.3	73.9
15	RHODE ISLAND	107	76	71.0	69.5
16	MICHIGAN	649	449	69.2	68.2
17	NEW YORK	1,293	885	68.4	69.1
18	VIRGINIA	326	216	66.3	63.7
19	MASSACHUSETTS	222	147	66.2	72.5
20	MISSOURI	307	203	66.1	71.5
21	NEW JERSEY	666	435	65.3	78.1
22	IOWA	128	82	64.1	67.2
23	DELAWARE	58	37	63.8	66.1
24	NEW MEXICO	96	60	62.5	58.2
25	PENNSYLVANIA	684	382	55.8	56.5
26	IDAHO	54	19	35.2	46.3

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL	654,038	408,533	245,505	236,231	139,794	77,368	19,069	417,807
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	640,163	393,037	247,126	237,543	146,241	73,602	17,700	402,620
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.5	37.5	100.0	59.2	32.8	8.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.2	3.9	-0.7	-0.6	-4.4	5.1	7.7	3.8
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	621,227	387,591	233,636	224,962	131,629	75,100	18,233	396,265
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	608,527	372,559	235,968	226,804	138,400	71,553	16,851	381,723
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.4	37.6	100.0	58.5	33.4	8.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.1	4.0	-1.0	-0.8	-4.9	5.0	8.2	3.8
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	32,811	20,942	11,869	11,269	8,165	2,268	836	21,542
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	31,636	20,478	11,158	10,739	7,841	2,049	849	20,897
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.8	36.2	100.0	72.5	20.1	7.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.7	2.3	6.4	4.9	4.1	10.7	-1.5	3.1
REGION 1	41,277	25,747	15,530	13,724	7,201	3,543	2,980	27,553
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	39,661	24,371	15,290	13,912	7,735	3,392	2,785	25,749
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.4	37.6	100.0	52.5	25.8	21.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.1	5.6	1.6	-1.4	-6.9	4.5	7.0	7.0
CONNECTICUT (G)	7,022	4,285	2,737	2,469	1,365	711	393	4,553
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,898	3,654	3,244	2,613	1,488	662	463	4,285
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.0	39.0	100.0	55.3	28.8	15.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.8	17.3	-15.6	-5.5	-8.3	7.4	-15.1	6.3
MAINE (G)	2,381	1,488	893	725	336	292	97	1,656
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,338	1,749	589	850	491	310	49	1,488
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.5	37.5	100.0	46.3	40.3	13.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.8	-14.9	51.6	-14.7	-31.6	-5.8	98.0	11.3
MASSACHUSETTS (G)	22,833	13,829	9,004	7,633	3,719	1,812	2,102	15,200
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	21,510	12,983	8,527	7,681	3,912	1,808	1,961	13,829
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.6	39.4	100.0	48.7	23.7	27.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.2	6.5	5.6	-0.6	-4.9	0.2	7.2	9.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE (G)	2,520	1,694	826	923	647	155	121	1,597
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,514	1,705	809	820	590	147	83	1,694
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.2	32.8	100.0	70.1	16.8	13.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.2	-0.6	2.1	12.6	9.7	5.4	45.8	-5.7
RHODE ISLAND (G)	1,905	1,413	492	565	304	209	52	1,340
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,915	1,356	559	502	356	105	41	1,413
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	74.2	25.8	100.0	53.8	37.0	9.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.5	4.2	-12.0	12.5	-14.6	99.0	26.8	-5.2
VERMONT (G)	2,568	1,622	946	820	402	256	162	1,748
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,469	1,551	918	847	437	287	123	1,622
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.2	36.8	100.0	49.0	31.2	19.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.0	4.6	3.1	-3.2	-8.0	-10.8	31.7	7.8

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
CONNECTICUT (B)	480	335	145	154	122	16	16	326
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	499	303	196	164	140	4	20	335
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.8	30.2	100.0	79.2	10.4	10.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.8	10.6	-26.0	-6.1	-12.9	300.0	-20.0	-2.7
MASSACHUSETTS (B)	963	710	253	222	147	51	24	741
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	959	724	235	247	179	36	32	712
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.7	26.3	100.0	66.2	23.0	10.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.4	-1.9	7.7	-10.1	-17.9	41.7	-25.0	4.1
RHODE ISLAND (B)	342	212	130	107	76	19	12	235
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	294	183	111	82	57	14	11	212
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.0	38.0	100.0	71.0	17.8	11.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	16.3	15.8	17.1	30.5	33.3	35.7	9.1	10.8
VERMONT (B)	263	159	104	106	83	22	1	157
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	265	163	102	106	85	19	2	159
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.5	39.5	100.0	78.3	20.8	0.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.8	-2.5	2.0	0.0	-2.4	15.8	-50.0	-1.3
REGION 2	53,738	33,254	20,484	17,267	10,314	4,558	2,395	36,471
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	49,554	31,887	17,667	16,519	10,567	4,134	1,818	33,035
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.9	38.1	100.0	59.7	26.4	13.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	8.4	4.3	15.9	4.5	-2.4	10.3	31.7	10.4
NEW JERSEY (G)	8,691	5,115	3,576	2,850	1,639	1,009	202	5,841
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,396	5,251	3,145	3,281	2,073	1,112	96	5,115
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.9	41.1	100.0	57.5	35.4	7.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.5	-2.6	13.7	-13.1	-20.9	-9.3	110.4	14.2
NEW YORK (G)	30,650	18,363	12,287	10,163	5,673	2,826	1,664	20,487
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,551	17,069	10,482	9,399	5,746	2,348	1,305	18,152
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.9	40.1	100.0	55.8	27.8	16.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	11.2	7.6	17.2	8.1	-1.3	20.4	27.5	12.9
PUERTO RICO (G)	8,314	5,984	2,330	2,309	1,697	397	215	6,005
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,157	6,076	2,081	2,173	1,553	462	158	5,984
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	72.0	28.0	100.0	73.5	17.2	9.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.9	-1.5	12.0	6.3	9.3	-14.1	36.1	0.4
VIRGINS ISLANDS (G)	284	250	34	35	18	11	6	249
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	264	248	16	14	8	3	3	250
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	88.0	12.0	100.0	51.4	31.4	17.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.6	0.8	112.5	150.0	125.0	266.7	100.0	-0.4
NEW JERSEY (B)	1,689	1,039	650	617	402	140	75	1,072
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,588	996	592	557	430	69	58	1,031
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.5	38.5	100.0	65.2	22.7	12.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.4	4.3	9.8	10.8	-6.5	102.9	29.3	4.0

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (30)	
NEW YORK (B)	4,110	2,503	1,607	1,293	885	175	233	2,817
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,598	2,247	1,351	1,095	757	140	198	2,503
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.9	39.1	100.0	68.4	13.5	18.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	14.2	11.4	18.9	18.1	16.9	25.0	17.7	12.5
REGION 3	65,967	44,317	21,650	23,505	12,773	8,021	2,711	42,462
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	71,845	46,035	25,810	27,713	16,221	8,429	3,063	44,132
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.2	32.8	100.0	54.3	34.1	11.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.2	-3.7	-16.1	-15.2	-21.3	-4.8	-11.5	-3.8
DELAWARE (G)	1,109	472	637	683	354	240	89	426
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,128	513	615	656	392	196	68	472
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	42.6	57.4	100.0	51.8	35.1	13.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.7	-8.0	3.6	4.1	-9.7	22.4	30.9	-9.7
DISTRICT OF CO (G)	1,130	354	776	492	327	115	50	638
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	838	373	465	484	333	95	56	354
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.3	68.7	100.0	66.5	23.4	10.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	34.8	-5.1	66.9	1.7	-1.8	21.1	-10.7	80.2
MARYLAND (G)	10,784	6,110	4,674	4,172	2,266	1,321	585	6,612
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	10,224	5,410	4,814	4,114	2,573	1,107	434	6,110
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.7	43.3	100.0	54.3	31.7	14.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.5	12.9	-2.9	1.4	-11.9	19.3	34.8	8.2
PENNSYLVANIA (G)	31,886	23,186	8,700	10,694	5,732	3,930	1,032	21,192
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	37,214	25,257	11,957	14,101	8,375	4,330	1,396	23,113
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	72.7	27.3	100.0	53.6	36.7	9.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-14.3	-8.2	-27.2	-24.2	-31.6	-9.2	-26.1	-8.3
VIRGINIA (G)	10,617	6,761	3,856	4,363	2,133	1,716	514	6,254
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,838	6,897	4,941	5,077	2,574	1,870	633	6,761
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.7	36.3	100.0	48.9	39.3	11.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-10.3	-2.0	-22.0	-14.1	-17.1	-8.2	-18.8	-7.5
WEST VIRGINIA (G)	6,532	4,523	2,009	2,082	1,361	418	303	4,450
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,523	4,526	1,997	2,106	1,278	520	308	4,417
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.2	30.8	100.0	65.4	20.1	14.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.1	-0.1	0.6	-1.1	6.5	-19.6	-1.6	0.7
DELAWARE (B)	124	82	42	58	37	15	6	66
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	144	110	34	62	41	15	6	82
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.1	33.9	100.0	63.8	25.9	10.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.9	-25.5	23.5	-6.5	-9.8	0.0	0.0	-19.5
PENNSYLVANIA (B)	2,888	2,222	666	663	371	173	119	2,225
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,983	2,304	679	761	435	179	147	2,222
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	76.9	23.1	100.0	56.0	26.1	17.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.2	-3.6	-1.9	-12.9	-14.7	-3.4	-19.0	0.1

DATE 03/18/92 TIME 08:47:21 PAGE 4

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
VIRGINIA (B)	897	607	290	298	192	93	13	599
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	953	645	308	352	220	117	15	601
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.7	32.3	100.0	64.4	31.2	4.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.9	-5.9	-5.8	-15.3	-12.7	-20.5	-13.3	-0.3
REGION 4	149,379	90,344	59,035	55,191	34,107	19,261	1,823	94,188
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	141,375	85,216	56,159	52,601	33,616	17,312	1,673	88,774
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.5	39.5	100.0	61.8	34.9	3.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.7	6.0	5.1	4.9	1.5	11.3	9.0	6.1
ALABAMA (G)	20,955	13,416	7,539	6,998	5,011	1,731	256	13,957
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	19,924	12,494	7,430	6,508	4,701	1,584	223	13,416
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.0	36.0	100.0	71.6	24.7	3.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.2	7.4	1.5	7.5	6.6	9.3	14.8	4.0
FLORIDA (G)	22,831	12,909	9,922	9,200	5,777	3,318	105	13,631
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	20,332	11,466	8,866	8,235	5,391	2,763	81	12,097
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.5	43.5	100.0	62.8	36.1	1.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.3	12.6	11.9	11.7	7.2	20.1	29.6	12.7
GEORGIA (G)	19,609	13,409	6,200	6,052	4,062	1,950	40	13,557
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	19,630	13,315	6,315	6,221	4,324	1,853	44	13,409
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.4	31.6	100.0	67.1	32.2	0.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.1	0.7	-1.8	-2.7	-6.1	5.2	-9.1	1.1
KENTUCKY (G)	14,747	9,353	5,394	4,696	2,921	1,365	410	10,051
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,583	7,736	5,847	4,317	2,628	1,334	355	9,266
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.4	36.6	100.0	62.2	29.1	8.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	8.6	20.9	-7.7	8.8	11.1	2.3	15.5	8.5
MISSISSIPPI (G)	8,794	4,910	3,884	3,011	1,734	1,228	49	5,783
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,548	5,281	3,267	3,638	1,918	1,657	63	4,910
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.8	44.2	100.0	57.6	40.8	1.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.9	-7.0	18.9	-17.2	-9.6	-25.9	-22.2	17.8
NORTH CAROLINA (G)	24,583	14,482	10,101	10,083	5,271	4,613	199	14,500
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	23,770	13,440	10,330	9,440	5,381	3,875	184	14,330
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.9	41.1	100.0	52.3	45.8	2.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.4	7.8	-2.2	6.8	-2.0	19.0	8.2	1.2
SOUTH CAROLINA (G)	16,940	8,811	8,129	7,919	4,928	2,973	18	9,021
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	15,740	8,706	7,034	7,429	4,956	2,456	17	8,311
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	52.0	48.0	100.0	62.2	37.5	0.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.6	1.2	15.6	6.6	-0.6	21.1	5.9	8.5
TENNESSEE (G)	13,217	8,051	5,166	4,522	2,383	1,415	724	8,695
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	12,193	7,802	4,391	4,142	2,262	1,206	674	8,051
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.9	39.1	100.0	52.7	31.3	16.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	8.4	3.2	17.6	9.2	5.3	17.3	7.4	8.0

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
FLORIDA (B)	2,610	1,823	787	825	605	218	2	1,785
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,662	1,744	918	858	661	189	8	1,804
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.8	30.2	100.0	73.3	26.4	0.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.0	4.5	-14.3	-3.8	-8.5	15.3	-75.0	-1.1
KENTUCKY (B)	823	466	357	318	267	47	4	505
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	811	453	358	345	300	44	1	466
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.6	43.4	100.0	84.0	14.8	1.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.5	2.9	-0.3	-7.8	-11.0	6.8	300.0	8.4
MISSISSIPPI (B)	861	516	345	357	237	115	5	504
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	875	597	278	359	238	113	8	516
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.9	40.1	100.0	66.4	32.2	1.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.6	-13.6	24.1	-0.6	-0.4	1.8	-37.5	-2.3
NORTH CAROLINA (B)	2,552	1,741	811	899	631	257	11	1,653
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,576	1,765	811	835	623	199	13	1,741
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.2	31.8	100.0	70.2	28.6	1.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.9	-1.4	0.0	7.7	1.3	29.1	-15.4	-5.1
SOUTH CAROLINA (B)	857	457	400	311	280	31	0	546
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	731	417	314	274	233	39	2	457
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	53.3	46.7	100.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	17.2	9.6	27.4	13.5	20.2	-20.5	*	19.5
REGION 5	118,495	80,039	38,456	41,362	23,281	13,486	4,595	77,133
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	118,682	75,515	43,167	41,978	24,755	12,828	4,395	76,704
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.5	32.5	100.0	56.3	32.6	11.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.2	6.0	-10.9	-1.5	-6.0	5.1	4.6	0.6
ILLINOIS (G)	31,093	20,694	10,399	9,607	5,756	3,382	469	21,486
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	30,265	19,176	11,089	9,571	6,307	2,932	332	20,694
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.6	33.4	100.0	59.9	35.2	4.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.7	7.9	-6.2	0.4	-8.7	15.3	41.3	3.8
INDIANA (G)	11,415	7,846	3,569	5,494	3,477	1,404	613	5,921
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,118	6,720	6,398	5,272	3,691	1,113	468	7,846
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.7	31.3	100.0	63.3	25.6	11.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.0	16.8	-44.2	4.2	-5.8	26.1	31.0	-24.5
MICHIGAN (G)	17,586	10,400	7,186	6,973	3,404	2,496	1,073	10,613
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	17,806	10,903	6,903	7,488	3,668	2,632	1,188	10,318
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.1	40.9	100.0	48.8	35.8	15.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.2	-4.6	4.1	-6.9	-7.2	-5.2	-9.7	2.9
MINNESOTA (G)	14,035	9,726	4,309	4,173	2,307	1,142	724	9,862
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,446	9,008	4,438	3,756	2,269	904	583	9,690
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.3	30.7	100.0	55.3	27.4	17.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.4	8.0	-2.9	11.1	1.7	26.3	24.2	1.8

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
OHIO (G)	21,963	16,294	5,669	7,659	3,947	3,048	664	14,304
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	21,620	14,039	7,581	8,089	4,363	3,065	661	13,531
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	74.2	25.8	100.0	51.5	39.8	8.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.6	16.1	-25.2	-5.3	-9.5	-0.6	0.5	5.7
WISCONSIN (G)	19,002	12,762	6,240	6,315	3,572	1,768	975	12,687
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,943	13,128	5,815	6,635	3,630	1,941	1,064	12,308
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.2	32.8	100.0	56.6	28.0	15.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.3	-2.8	7.3	-4.8	-1.6	-8.9	-8.4	3.1
MICHIGAN (B)	1,989	1,382	607	649	449	164	36	1,340
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,989	1,428	561	607	414	145	48	1,382
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.5	30.5	100.0	69.2	25.3	5.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	-3.2	8.2	6.9	8.5	13.1	-25.0	-3.0
MINNESOTA (B)	1,412	935	477	492	369	82	41	920
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,495	1,113	382	560	413	96	51	935
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.2	33.8	100.0	75.0	16.7	8.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.6	-16.0	24.9	-12.1	-10.7	-14.6	-19.6	-1.6
REGION 6	94,411	57,679	36,732	35,589	21,571	12,384	1,634	58,822
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	91,772	54,874	36,898	34,175	21,003	11,603	1,569	57,597
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.1	38.9	100.0	60.6	34.8	4.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.9	5.1	-0.4	4.1	2.7	6.7	4.1	2.1
ARKANSAS (G)	7,921	5,950	1,971	2,401	1,123	1,265	13	5,520
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	7,699	5,269	2,430	1,749	1,173	572	4	5,950
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	75.1	24.9	100.0	46.8	52.7	0.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.9	12.9	-18.9	37.3	-4.3	121.2	225.0	-7.2
LOUISIANA (G)	15,927	10,702	5,225	4,966	2,849	1,402	715	10,961
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	14,945	9,703	5,242	4,330	2,270	1,356	704	10,615
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.2	32.8	100.0	57.4	28.2	14.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.6	10.3	-0.3	14.7	25.5	3.4	1.6	3.3
NEW MEXICO (G)	2,633	1,532	1,101	877	443	345	89	1,756
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,218	1,168	1,050	686	421	228	37	1,532
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.2	41.8	100.0	50.5	39.3	10.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	18.7	31.2	4.9	27.8	5.2	51.3	140.5	14.6
OKLAHOMA (G)	10,996	7,330	3,666	3,018	1,791	948	279	7,978
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	10,405	7,021	3,384	3,075	1,715	1,048	312	7,330
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.7	33.3	100.0	59.3	31.4	9.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.7	4.4	8.3	-1.9	4.4	-9.5	-10.6	8.8
TEXAS (G)	49,864	28,303	21,561	21,482	13,091	8,022	369	28,382
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	50,113	28,291	21,822	21,810	13,472	7,979	359	28,303
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.8	43.2	100.0	60.9	37.3	1.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.5	0.0	-1.2	-1.5	-2.8	0.5	2.8	0.3

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARKANSAS (B)	711	423	288	244	216	27	1	467
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	647	457	190	219	191	26	2	428
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.5	40.5	100.0	88.5	11.1	0.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	9.9	-7.4	51.6	11.4	13.1	3.8	-50.0	9.1
NEW MEXICO (B)	288	224	64	78	51	24	3	210
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	297	202	95	73	38	33	2	224
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	77.8	22.2	100.0	65.4	30.8	3.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.0	10.9	-32.6	6.8	34.2	-27.3	50.0	-6.3
TEXAS (B)	6,071	3,215	2,856	2,523	2,007	351	165	3,548
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,448	2,763	2,685	2,233	1,723	361	149	3,215
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	53.0	47.0	100.0	79.5	13.9	6.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	11.4	16.4	6.4	13.0	16.5	-2.8	10.7	10.4
REGION 7	27,444	15,654	11,790	10,282	6,852	2,772	658	17,162
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	26,297	15,272	11,025	10,685	7,167	2,931	587	15,612
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.0	43.0	100.0	66.6	27.0	6.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.4	2.5	6.9	-3.8	-4.4	-5.4	12.1	9.9
IOWA (G)	8,803	5,227	3,576	2,816	1,729	628	459	5,987
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	7,806	4,551	3,255	2,579	1,669	524	386	5,227
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.4	40.6	100.0	61.4	22.3	16.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.8	14.9	9.9	9.2	3.6	19.8	18.9	14.5
KANSAS (G)	3,049	1,709	1,340	1,076	779	280	17	1,973
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,323	1,942	1,381	1,655	1,128	504	23	1,668
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.1	43.9	100.0	72.4	26.0	1.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.2	-12.0	-3.0	-35.0	-30.9	-44.4	-26.1	18.3
MISSOURI (G)	8,897	4,889	4,008	3,924	2,787	1,121	16	4,973
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,837	4,810	4,027	3,948	2,836	1,093	19	4,889
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.0	45.0	100.0	71.0	28.6	0.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.7	1.6	-0.5	-0.6	-1.7	2.6	-15.8	1.7
NEBRASKA (G)	5,174	2,855	2,319	1,908	1,176	595	137	3,266
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,869	3,014	1,855	2,014	1,201	685	128	2,855
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.2	44.8	100.0	61.6	31.2	7.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.3	-5.3	25.0	-5.3	-2.1	-13.1	7.0	14.4
IOWA (B)	494	361	133	128	82	38	8	366
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	488	379	109	128	86	31	11	360
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.1	26.9	100.0	64.1	29.7	6.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.2	-4.7	22.0	0.0	-4.7	22.6	-27.3	1.7
MISSOURI (B)	709	411	298	302	201	88	13	407
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	664	377	287	253	182	62	9	411
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.0	42.0	100.0	66.6	29.1	4.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.8	9.0	3.8	19.4	10.4	41.9	44.4	-1.0

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
NEBRASKA (B)	318	202	116	128	98	22	8	190
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	310	199	111	108	65	32	11	202
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.5	36.5	100.0	76.6	17.2	6.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.6	1.5	4.5	18.5	50.8	-31.3	-27.3	-5.9
REGION 8	24,318	16,138	8,180	8,582	5,302	2,300	980	15,736
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	24,631	15,922	8,709	8,696	5,604	2,265	827	15,935
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.4	33.6	100.0	61.8	26.8	11.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.3	1.4	-6.1	-1.3	-5.4	1.5	18.5	-1.2
COLORADO (G)	6,734	4,115	2,619	2,691	1,607	801	283	4,043
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,515	3,824	2,691	2,402	1,563	653	186	4,113
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.1	38.9	100.0	59.7	29.8	10.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.4	7.6	-2.7	12.0	2.8	22.7	52.2	-1.7
MONTANA (G)	3,428	2,268	1,160	1,227	771	324	132	2,201
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,235	2,001	1,234	1,196	722	339	135	2,039
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.2	33.8	100.0	62.8	26.4	10.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.0	13.3	-6.0	2.6	6.8	-4.4	-2.2	7.9
NORTH DAKOTA (G)	2,077	1,425	652	642	343	192	107	1,435
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,162	1,575	587	770	409	237	124	1,392
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.6	31.4	100.0	53.4	29.9	16.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.9	-9.5	11.1	-16.6	-16.1	-19.0	-13.7	3.1
SOUTH DAKOTA (G)	1,484	1,097	387	476	286	124	66	1,008
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,611	1,088	523	536	322	150	64	1,075
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.9	26.1	100.0	60.1	26.1	13.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-7.9	0.8	-26.0	-11.2	-11.2	-17.3	3.1	-6.2
UTAH (G)	9,174	6,326	2,848	2,960	1,932	686	342	6,214
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,471	6,284	3,187	3,148	2,176	703	269	6,323
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.0	31.0	100.0	65.3	23.2	11.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.1	0.7	-10.6	-6.0	-11.2	-2.4	27.1	-1.7
WYOMING (G)	1,184	751	433	475	277	155	43	709
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,373	1,006	367	534	322	169	43	839
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.4	36.6	100.0	58.3	32.6	9.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.8	-25.3	18.0	-11.0	-14.0	-8.3	0.0	-15.5
SOUTH DAKOTA (B)	237	156	81	111	86	18	7	126
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	264	144	120	110	90	14	6	154
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.8	34.2	100.0	77.5	16.2	6.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-10.2	8.3	-32.5	0.9	-4.4	28.6	16.7	-18.2
REGION 9	60,160	34,516	25,644	23,345	13,948	8,868	529	36,815
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	58,251	33,161	25,090	23,790	14,942	8,521	327	34,461
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.4	42.6	100.0	59.7	38.0	2.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.3	4.1	2.2	-1.9	-6.7	4.1	61.8	6.8

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARIZONA (G)	4,881	2,828	2,053	1,985	1,336	613	36	2,896
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,813	2,677	2,136	1,985	1,419	534	32	2,828
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.9	42.1	100.0	67.3	30.9	1.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.4	5.6	-3.9	0.0	-5.8	14.8	12.5	2.4
CALIFORNIA (G)	51,502	29,610	21,892	19,760	11,771	7,738	251	31,742
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	49,815	28,289	21,526	20,205	12,643	7,418	144	29,610
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.5	42.5	100.0	59.6	39.2	1.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.4	4.7	1.7	-2.2	-6.9	4.3	74.3	7.2
GUAM (G)	272	149	123	121	79	23	19	151
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	269	158	111	120	76	25	19	149
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	54.8	45.2	100.0	65.3	19.0	15.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.1	-5.7	10.8	0.8	3.9	-8.0	0.0	1.3
HAWAII (G)	1,659	993	666	723	397	246	80	936
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,666	1,041	625	673	397	189	87	993
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.9	40.1	100.0	54.9	34.0	11.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.4	-4.6	6.6	7.4	0.0	30.2	-8.0	-5.7
NEVADA (G)	1,679	812	867	693	324	233	136	986
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,504	865	639	740	356	345	39	764
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	48.4	51.6	100.0	46.8	33.6	19.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	11.6	-6.1	35.7	-6.4	-9.0	-32.5	248.7	29.1
PALAU (G)	71	63	8	17	10	7	0	54
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	71	55	16	8	7	1	0	63
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	88.7	11.3	100.0	58.8	41.2	0.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	14.5	-50.0	112.5	42.9	600.0	*	-14.3
NORTHERN MARIANAS (G)	14	5	9	13	4	6	3	1
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	31	20	11	26	17	7	2	5
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	35.7	64.3	100.0	30.8	46.2	23.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-54.8	-75.0	-18.2	-50.0	-76.5	-14.3	50.0	-80.0
AMERICAN SAMOA (G)	82	56	26	33	27	2	4	49
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	82	56	26	33	27	2	4	49
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.3	31.7	100.0	81.8	6.1	12.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
REGION 10	18,849	10,845	8,004	7,384	4,445	2,175	764	11,465
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,095	10,784	7,311	7,474	4,631	2,187	656	10,621
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.5	42.5	100.0	60.2	29.5	10.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.2	0.6	9.5	-1.2	-4.0	-0.5	16.5	7.9
ALASKA (G)	873	490	383	345	221	94	30	528
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	860	597	263	370	207	125	38	490
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.1	43.9	100.0	64.1	27.2	8.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.5	-17.9	45.6	-6.8	6.8	-24.8	-21.1	7.8

REPORT NO. 04 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
IDAHO (G)	2,580	1,512	1,068	919	595	220	104	1,661
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,525	1,490	1,035	1,013	688	234	91	1,512
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.6	41.4	100.0	64.7	23.9	11.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.2	1.5	3.2	-9.3	-13.5	-6.0	14.3	9.9
OREGON (G)	4,701	2,528	2,173	1,897	1,307	515	75	2,804
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,993	2,091	1,902	1,673	1,246	402	25	2,320
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	53.8	46.2	100.0	68.9	27.1	4.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	17.7	20.9	14.2	13.4	4.9	28.1	200.0	20.9
WASHINGTON (G)	9,572	5,554	4,018	3,837	2,051	1,264	522	5.735
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,621	5,841	3,780	4,067	2,250	1,354	463	5,554
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.0	42.0	100.0	53.5	32.9	13.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.5	-4.9	6.3	-5.7	-8.8	-6.6	12.7	3.3
IDAHO (B)	191	134	57	54	19	24	11	137
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	188	135	53	54	25	19	10	134
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	70.2	29.8	100.0	35.2	44.4	20.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.6	-0.7	7.5	0.0	-24.0	26.3	10.0	2.2
OREGON (B)	353	232	121	131	107	22	2	222
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	320	188	132	88	66	18	4	232
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.7	34.3	100.0	81.7	16.8	1.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	10.3	23.4	-8.3	48.9	62.1	22.2	-50.0	-4.3
WASHINGTON (B)	579	395	184	201	145	36	20	378
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	588	442	146	209	149	35	25	379
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.2	31.8	100.0	72.1	17.9	10.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.5	-10.6	26.0	-3.8	-2.7	2.9	-20.0	-0.3

DATE 09/17/92   TIME 12:43:39   PAGE 1
REPORT NO. 4A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY REHABILITATION RATES AMONG SEVERE ACTIVE CASES CLOSED, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		SEVERE CASES CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	PERCENT REHABILITATED	
				THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
U. S. TOTAL		236,231	139,794	59.2	61.6
GENERAL AGENCIES TOTAL		224,962	131,629	58.5	61.0
RANK					
1	AMERICAN SAMOA	33	27	81.8	81.8
2	PUERTO RICO	2,309	1,697	73.5	71.5
3	KANSAS	1,076	779	72.4	68.2
4	ALABAMA	6,998	5,011	71.6	72.2
5	MISSOURI	3,924	2,787	71.0	71.8
6	NEW HAMPSHIRE	923	647	70.1	72.0
7	OREGON	1,897	1,307	68.9	74.5
8	ARIZONA	1,985	1,336	67.3	71.5
9	GEORGIA	6,052	4,062	67.1	69.5
10	DISTRICT OF CO	492	327	66.5	68.8
11	WEST VIRGINIA	2,082	1,361	65.4	60.7
12	GUAM	121	79	65.3	63.3
12	UTAH	2,960	1,932	65.3	69.1
14	IDAHO	919	595	64.7	67.9
15	ALASKA	345	221	64.1	55.9
16	INDIANA	5,494	3,477	63.3	70.0
17	MONTANA	1,227	771	62.8	60.4
17	FLORIDA	9,200	5,777	62.8	65.5
19	SOUTH CAROLINA	7,919	4,928	62.2	66.7
19	KENTUCKY	4,696	2,921	62.2	60.9
21	NEBRASKA	1,908	1,176	61.6	59.6
22	IOWA	2,816	1,729	61.4	64.7
23	TEXAS	21,482	13,091	60.9	61.8
24	SOUTH DAKOTA	476	286	60.1	60.1
25	ILLINOIS	9,607	5,756	59.9	65.9
26	COLORADO	2,691	1,607	59.7	65.1
27	CALIFORNIA	19,760	11,771	59.6	62.6
28	OKLAHOMA	3,018	1,791	59.3	55.8
29	PALAU	17	10	58.8	87.5
30	WYOMING	475	277	58.3	60.3
31	MISSISSIPPI	3,011	1,734	57.6	52.7
32	NEW JERSEY	2,850	1,639	57.5	63.2
33	LOUISIANA	4,966	2,849	57.4	52.4
34	WISCONSIN	6,315	3,572	56.6	54.7
35	NEW YORK	10,163	5,673	55.8	61.1

REPORT NO. 4A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY REHABILITATION RATES AMONG SEVERE ACTIVE CASES CLOSED, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		SEVERE CASES CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	PERCENT REHABILITATED	
				THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
36	CONNECTICUT	2,469	1,365	55.3	56.9
36	MINNESOTA	4,173	2,307	55.3	60.4
38	HAWAII	723	397	54.9	59.0
39	MARYLAND	4,172	2,266	54.3	62.5
40	RHODE ISLAND	565	304	53.8	70.9
41	PENNSYLVANIA	10,694	5,732	53.6	59.4
42	WASHINGTON	3,837	2,051	53.5	55.3
43	NORTH DAKOTA	642	343	53.4	53.1
44	TENNESSEE	4,522	2,383	52.7	54.6
45	NORTH CAROLINA	10,083	5,271	52.3	57.0
46	DELAWARE	683	354	51.8	59.8
47	OHIO	7,659	3,947	51.5	53.9
48	VIRGIN ISLANDS	35	18	51.4	57.1
49	NEW MEXICO	877	443	50.5	61.4
50	VERMONT	820	402	49.0	51.6
51	VIRGINIA	4,363	2,133	48.9	50.7
52	MICHIGAN	6,973	3,404	48.8	49.0
53	MASSACHUSETTS	7,633	3,719	48.7	50.9
54	ARKANSAS	2,401	1,123	46.8	67.1
54	NEVADA	693	324	46.8	48.1
56	MAINE	725	336	46.3	57.8
57	NORTHERN MARIANAS	13	4	30.8	65.4

REPORT NO. 4A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY REHABILITATION RATES AMONG SEVERE ACTIVE CASES CLOSED, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

RANK		SEVERE CASES CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	PERCENT REHABILITATED	
				THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
	BLIND AGENCIES TOTAL	11,269	8,165	72.5	73.0
1	SOUTH CAROLINA	311	280	90.0	85.0
2	ARKANSAS	244	216	88.5	87.2
3	KENTUCKY	318	267	84.0	87.0
4	OREGON	131	107	81.7	75.0
5	TEXAS	2,523	2,007	79.5	77.2
6	CONNECTICUT	154	122	79.2	85.4
7	VERMONT	106	83	78.3	80.2
8	SOUTH DAKOTA	111	86	77.5	81.8
9	NEBRASKA	128	98	76.6	60.2
10	MINNESOTA	492	369	75.0	73.8
11	FLORIDA	825	605	73.3	77.0
12	WASHINGTON	201	145	72.1	71.3
13	RHODE ISLAND	107	76	71.0	69.5
14	NORTH CAROLINA	899	631	70.2	74.6
15	MICHIGAN	649	449	69.2	68.2
16	NEW YORK	1,293	885	68.4	69.1
17	MISSOURI	302	201	66.6	71.9
18	MISSISSIPPI	357	237	66.4	66.3
19	MASSACHUSETTS	222	147	66.2	72.5
20	NEW MEXICO	78	51	65.4	52.1
21	NEW JERSEY	617	402	65.2	77.2
22	VIRGINIA	298	192	64.4	62.5
23	IOWA	128	82	64.1	67.2
24	DELAWARE	58	37	63.8	66.1
25	PENNSYLVANIA	663	371	56.0	57.2
26	IDAHO	54	19	35.2	46.3

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:46:28 PAGE 1
REPORT NO. 06

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL CASELOAD	941,771	589,855	351,916	338,878	202,831	136,047	602,893
SEVERE CASELOAD	654,038	408,533	245,505	236,231	139,794	96,437	417,807
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	69.4	69.3	69.8	69.7	68.9	70.9	69.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	68.2	67.7	69.1	68.4	67.7	69.5	68.2
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL CASELOAD	904,512	566,012	338,500	326,061	193,468	132,593	578,451
SEVERE CASELOAD	621,227	387,591	233,636	224,962	131,629	93,333	396,265
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	68.7	68.5	69.0	69.0	68.0	70.4	68.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	67.5	66.9	68.5	67.7	66.9	69.0	67.4
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL CASELOAD	37,259	23,843	13,416	12,817	9,363	3,454	24,442
SEVERE CASELOAD	32,811	20,942	11,869	11,269	8,165	3,104	21,542
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	88.1	87.8	88.5	87.9	87.2	89.9	88.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	86.9	86.9	86.8	85.4	84.2	88.9	87.6
REGION 1 TOTAL CASELOAD	51,352	32,872	18,480	16,616	8,718	7,898	34,736
SEVERE CASELOAD	41,277	25,747	15,530	13,724	7,201	6,523	27,553
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	80.4	78.3	84.0	82.6	82.6	82.6	79.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	79.5	76.3	85.3	81.9	82.5	81.1	78.3
CONNECTICUT (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	9,370	5,981	3,389	3,228	1,750	1,478	6,142
SEVERE CASELOAD	7,022	4,285	2,737	2,469	1,365	1,104	4,553
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	74.9	71.6	80.8	76.5	78.0	74.7	74.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	72.6	64.2	85.2	74.2	78.2	69.5	71.6
MAINE (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	3,059	1,911	1,148	906	407	499	2,153
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,381	1,488	893	725	336	389	1,656
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	77.8	77.9	77.8	80.0	82.6	78.0	76.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	77.6	76.3	81.8	77.2	77.7	76.5	77.9
MASSACHUSETTS (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	26,518	16,676	9,842	8,317	3,956	4,361	18,201
SEVERE CASELOAD	22,833	13,829	9,004	7,633	3,719	3,914	15,200
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	86.1	82.9	91.5	91.8	94.0	89.8	83.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	85.9	82.2	92.4	91.9	93.5	90.4	82.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	3,874	2,424	1,450	1,571	1,092	479	2,303
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,520	1,694	826	923	647	276	1,597
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	65.0	69.9	57.0	58.8	59.2	57.6	69.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	64.6	66.0	62.0	55.9	57.6	52.0	69.9
RHODE ISLAND (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	3,646	2,662	984	1,082	643	439	2,564
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,905	1,413	492	565	304	261	1,340
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	52.2	53.1	50.0	52.2	47.3	59.5	52.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	52.6	55.9	46.1	51.4	53.1	47.6	53.1
VERMONT (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	2,837	1,802	1,035	923	442	481	1,914
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,568	1,622	946	820	402	418	1,748
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	90.5	90.0	91.4	88.8	91.0	86.9	91.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	89.1	88.3	90.4	87.3	87.8	86.9	90.0

AIIM

Association for Information and Image Management

1100 Wayne Avenue, Suite 1100
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
301/587-8202











MANUFACTURED TO AIIM STANDARDS
BY APPLIED IMAGE, INC.

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:46:38
REPORT NO. 06

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
CONNECTICUT (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	480	335	145	154	122	32	326
SEVERE CASELOAD	480	335	145	154	122	32	326
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MASSACHUSETTS (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	963	710	253	222	147	75	741
SEVERE CASELOAD	963	710	253	222	147	75	741
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RHODE ISLAND (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	342	212	130	107	76	31	235
SEVERE CASELOAD	342	212	130	107	76	31	235
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
VERMONT (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	263	159	104	106	83	23	157
SEVERE CASELOAD	263	159	104	106	83	23	157
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
REGION 2 TOTAL CASELOAD	87,502	54,566	32,936	26,976	15,852	11,124	60,526
SEVERE CASELOAD	53,738	33,254	20,484	17,267	10,314	6,953	36,471
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	61.4	60.9	62.2	64.0	65.1	62.5	60.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	60.5	59.3	63.0	60.4	60.7	59.9	60.6
NEW JERSEY (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	11,790	7,205	4,585	3,962	2,263	1,699	7,828
SEVERE CASELOAD	8,691	5,115	3,576	2,850	1,639	1,211	5,841
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	73.7	71.0	78.0	71.9	72.4	71.3	74.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	65.3	62.3	71.0	58.0	55.3	63.4	71.0
NEW YORK (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	51,837	30,458	21,379	16,461	8,995	7,466	35,376
SEVERE CASELOAD	30,650	18,363	12,287	10,163	5,673	4,490	20,487
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	59.1	60.3	57.5	61.7	63.1	60.1	57.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	59.8	59.8	59.9	60.1	61.9	57.6	59.7
PUERTO RICO (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	17,604	13,000	4,604	4,533	3,237	1,296	13,071
SEVERE CASELOAD	8,314	5,984	2,330	2,309	1,697	612	6,005
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	47.2	46.0	50.6	50.9	52.4	47.2	45.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	47.1	45.8	51.2	50.2	49.7	51.5	46.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	381	320	61	61	37	24	320
SEVERE CASELOAD	284	250	34	35	18	17	249
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	74.5	78.1	55.7	57.4	48.6	70.8	77.8
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	75.9	75.6	80.0	50.0	44.4	60.0	78.1
NEW JERSEY (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	1,780	1,080	700	666	435	231	1,114
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,689	1,039	650	617	402	215	1,072
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	94.9	96.2	92.9	92.6	92.4	93.1	96.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	93.6	99.9	84.7	90.4	89.4	94.1	95.5

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
NEW YORK (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	4,110	2,503	1,607	1,293	885	408	2,817
SEVERE CASELOAD	4,110	2,503	1,607	1,293	885	408	2,817
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
REGION 3 TOTAL CASELOAD	94,119	63,933	30,186	33,574	18,560	15,014	60,545
SEVERE CASELOAD	65,967	44,317	21,650	23,505	12,773	10,732	42,462
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	70.1	69.3	71.7	70.0	68.8	71.5	70.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	69.6	69.7	69.3	70.1	69.7	70.8	69.2
DELAWARE (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	2,249	1,144	1,105	1,153	627	526	1,096
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,109	472	637	683	354	329	426
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	49.3	41.3	57.6	59.2	56.5	62.5	38.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	48.3	46.3	50.0	55.0	53.6	57.3	41.3
DISTRICT OF CO (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	2,623	1,384	1,239	1,135	762	373	1,488
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,130	354	776	492	327	165	638
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	43.1	25.6	62.6	43.3	42.9	44.2	42.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	30.1	29.0	31.0	34.6	36.5	30.9	25.6
MARYLAND (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	13,021	7,950	5,071	5,169	2,697	2,472	7,852
SEVERE CASELOAD	10,784	6,110	4,674	4,172	2,266	1,906	6,612
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	82.8	76.9	92.2	80.7	84.0	77.1	84.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	76.4	71.1	83.3	75.7	77.8	72.3	76.9
PENNSYLVANIA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	42,237	31,237	11,000	13,951	7,598	6,353	28,286
SEVERE CASELOAD	31,886	23,186	8,700	10,694	5,732	4,962	21,192
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	75.5	74.2	79.1	76.7	75.4	78.1	74.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	75.1	74.8	75.7	76.9	77.0	76.9	74.0
VIRGINIA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	17,638	11,082	6,556	7,083	3,590	3,493	10,555
SEVERE CASELOAD	10,617	6,761	3,856	4,363	2,133	2,230	6,254
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	60.2	61.0	58.8	61.6	59.4	63.8	59.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	62.9	63.1	62.6	65.6	62.6	69.0	61.0
WEST VIRGINIA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	12,251	8,115	4,136	4,015	2,651	1,364	8,236
SEVERE CASELOAD	6,532	4,523	2,009	2,082	1,361	721	4,450
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	53.3	55.7	48.6	51.9	51.3	52.9	54.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	53.8	55.5	50.3	50.3	48.8	52.8	55.7
DELAWARE (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	124	82	42	58	37	21	66
SEVERE CASELOAD	124	82	42	58	37	21	66
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PENNSYLVANIA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	2,987	2,309	678	684	382	302	2,303
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,888	2,222	666	663	371	292	2,225
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	96.7	96.2	98.2	96.9	97.1	96.7	96.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	96.1	95.7	97.6	95.7	96.9	94.2	96.2

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
VIRGINIA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	989	630	359	326	216	110	663
SEVERE CASELOAD	897	607	290	298	192	106	599
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	90.7	96.3	80.8	91.4	88.9	96.4	90.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	94.6	95.6	92.8	93.4	91.7	96.4	95.4
REGION 4 TOTAL CASELOAD	210,397	124,881	85,516	79,298	50,764	28,534	131,099
SEVERE CASELOAD	149,379	90,344	59,035	55,191	34,107	21,084	94,188
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	71.0	72.3	69.0	69.6	67.2	73.9	71.8
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	69.8	71.4	67.7	68.2	66.1	72.1	70.9
ALABAMA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	27,400	17,788	9,612	8,855	6,433	2,422	18,545
SEVERE CASELOAD	20,955	13,416	7,539	6,998	5,011	1,987	13,957
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	76.5	75.4	78.4	79.0	77.9	82.0	75.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	75.9	73.8	79.8	76.9	76.0	79.4	75.4
FLORIDA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	26,233	14,669	11,564	11,058	7,132	3,926	15,175
SEVERE CASELOAD	22,831	12,909	9,922	9,200	5,777	3,423	13,631
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	87.0	88.0	85.8	83.2	81.0	87.2	89.8
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	79.8	82.4	76.8	76.3	75.7	77.5	82.5
GEORGIA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	28,095	18,082	10,013	10,057	6,912	3,145	18,038
SEVERE CASELOAD	19,609	13,409	6,200	6,052	4,062	1,990	13,557
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	69.8	74.2	61.9	60.2	58.8	63.3	75.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	69.8	75.0	60.8	61.9	62.1	61.5	74.2
KENTUCKY (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	22,765	14,103	8,662	6,839	4,240	2,599	15,926
SEVERE CASELOAD	14,747	9,353	5,394	4,696	2,921	1,775	10,051
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	64.8	66.3	62.3	68.7	68.9	68.3	63.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	66.0	60.3	75.4	66.6	64.4	70.3	65.7
MISSISSIPPI (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	11,159	6,208	4,951	3,988	2,416	1,572	7,171
SEVERE CASELOAD	8,794	4,910	3,884	3,011	1,734	1,277	5,783
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	78.8	79.1	78.4	75.5	71.8	81.2	80.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	74.7	81.4	65.9	74.7	71.6	78.5	74.6
NORTH CAROLINA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	36,142	20,786	15,356	14,888	8,398	6,490	21,254
SEVERE CASELOAD	24,583	14,482	10,101	10,083	5,271	4,812	14,500
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	68.0	69.7	65.8	67.7	62.8	74.1	68.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	68.1	69.9	65.9	66.8	62.7	73.1	68.9
SOUTH CAROLINA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	27,338	14,189	13,149	12,477	8,141	4,336	14,861
SEVERE CASELOAD	16,940	8,811	8,129	7,919	4,928	2,991	9,021
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	62.0	62.1	61.8	63.5	60.5	69.0	60.7
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	60.0	62.3	57.4	61.8	59.3	67.4	58.6
TENNESSEE (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	20,361	11,954	8,407	7,308	4,195	3,113	13,053
SEVERE CASELOAD	13,217	8,051	5,166	4,522	2,383	2,139	8,695
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	64.9	67.3	61.4	61.9	56.8	68.7	66.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	66.1	69.0	61.4	63.7	58.9	70.5	67.3

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
FLORIDA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	3,764	2,453	1,311	1,188	878	310	2,576
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,610	1,823	787	825	605	220	1,785
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	69.3	74.3	60.0	69.4	68.9	71.0	69.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	72.1	75.9	65.9	69.6	68.0	75.8	73.4
KENTUCKY (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	1,049	582	467	427	370	57	622
SEVERE CASELOAD	823	466	357	318	267	51	505
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	78.5	80.1	76.4	74.5	72.2	89.5	81.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	78.5	82.1	74.4	76.5	75.8	81.8	80.1
MISSISSIPPI (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	1,759	1,196	563	741	541	200	1,018
SEVERE CASELOAD	861	516	345	357	237	120	504
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	48.9	43.1	61.3	48.2	43.8	60.0	49.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	45.6	48.6	40.3	49.7	43.1	71.2	43.1
NORTH CAROLINA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	3,474	2,414	1,060	1,161	828	333	2,313
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,552	1,741	811	899	631	268	1,653
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	73.5	72.1	76.5	77.4	76.2	80.5	71.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	72.0	70.8	74.7	71.8	72.4	70.0	72.1
SOUTH CAROLINA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	858	457	401	311	280	31	547
SEVERE CASELOAD	857	457	400	311	280	31	546
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	99.9	100.0	99.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.8
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
REGION 5 TOTAL CASELOAD	150,904	102,616	48,288	53,538	29,914	23,624	97,366
SEVERE CASELOAD	118,495	80,039	38,456	41,362	23,281	18,081	77,133
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	78.5	78.0	79.6	77.3	77.8	76.5	79.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	74.8	73.5	77.2	74.8	76.1	73.0	74.9
ILLINOIS (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	32,024	21,156	10,868	9,961	5,967	3,994	22,063
SEVERE CASELOAD	31,093	20,694	10,399	9,607	5,756	3,851	21,486
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	97.1	97.8	95.7	96.4	96.5	96.4	97.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	97.4	96.9	98.4	96.6	97.2	95.5	97.8
INDIANA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	18,944	13,765	5,179	8,216	4,921	3,295	10,728
SEVERE CASELOAD	11,415	7,846	3,569	5,494	3,477	2,017	5,921
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	60.3	57.0	68.9	66.9	70.7	61.2	55.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	60.7	58.0	63.8	65.8	69.1	59.3	57.6
MICHIGAN (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	25,764	15,364	10,400	10,490	5,236	5,254	15,274
SEVERE CASELOAD	17,586	10,400	7,186	6,973	3,404	3,569	10,613
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	68.3	67.7	69.1	66.5	65.0	67.9	69.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	66.1	65.7	66.9	64.7	62.8	66.7	67.2
MINNESOTA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	19,507	13,895	5,612	5,758	3,192	2,566	13,749
SEVERE CASELOAD	14,035	9,726	4,309	4,173	2,307	1,866	9,862
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	71.9	70.0	76.8	72.5	72.3	72.7	71.7
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	70.0	67.8	74.8	70.5	71.8	68.7	69.7

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
OHIO (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	23,604	17,708	5,896	9,218	4,697	4,521	14,386
SEVERE CASELOAD	21,963	16,294	5,669	7,659	3,947	3,712	14,304
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	93.0	92.0	96.1	83.1	84.0	82.1	99.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	75.1	69.9	87.0	72.9	74.4	71.2	76.4
WISCONSIN (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	27,564	18,330	9,234	8,718	5,059	3,659	18,846
SEVERE CASELOAD	19,002	12,762	6,240	6,315	3,572	2,743	12,687
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	68.9	69.6	67.6	72.4	70.6	75.0	67.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	69.3	70.3	67.1	73.5	72.8	74.4	67.2
MICHIGAN (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	1,989	1,382	607	649	449	200	1,340
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,989	1,382	607	649	449	200	1,340
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MINNESOTA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	1,508	1,016	492	528	393	135	980
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,412	935	477	492	369	123	920
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	93.6	92.0	97.0	93.2	93.9	91.1	93.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	92.3	91.8	93.6	92.6	93.9	89.1	92.1
REGION 6 TOTAL CASELOAD	132,894	82,789	50,105	48,287	29,155	19,132	84,607
SEVERE CASELOAD	94,411	57,679	36,732	35,589	21,571	14,018	58,822
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	71.0	69.7	73.3	73.7	74.0	73.3	69.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	70.6	68.1	74.7	72.5	71.7	73.9	69.6
ARKANSAS (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	13,203	9,731	3,472	4,626	2,202	2,424	8,577
SEVERE CASELOAD	7,921	5,950	1,971	2,401	1,123	1,278	5,520
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	60.0	61.1	56.8	51.9	51.0	52.7	64.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	56.1	58.1	52.3	43.9	43.8	44.1	61.2
LOUISIANA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	19,726	13,707	6,019	6,130	3,565	2,565	13,596
SEVERE CASELOAD	15,927	10,702	5,225	4,966	2,849	2,117	10,961
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	80.7	78.1	86.8	81.0	79.9	82.5	80.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	76.7	71.5	88.6	74.9	71.6	79.0	77.4
NEW MEXICO (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	4,941	3,056	1,885	1,622	828	794	3,319
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,633	1,532	1,101	877	443	434	1,756
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	53.3	50.1	58.4	54.1	53.5	54.7	52.9
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	49.1	44.8	55.0	46.9	48.7	44.4	50.1
OKLAHOMA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	23,450	15,949	7,501	6,163	3,507	2,656	17,287
SEVERE CASELOAD	10,996	7,330	3,666	3,018	1,791	1,227	7,978
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	46.9	46.0	48.9	49.0	51.1	46.2	46.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	46.5	45.1	49.8	47.9	49.1	46.4	46.0
TEXAS (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	63,888	36,122	27,766	26,652	16,588	10,064	37,236
SEVERE CASELOAD	49,864	28,303	21,561	21,482	13,091	8,391	28,382
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	78.0	78.4	77.7	80.6	78.9	83.4	76.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	80.0	79.4	80.8	82.3	80.3	85.7	78.4

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARKANSAS (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	711	423	288	244	216	28	467
SEVERE CASELOAD	711	423	288	244	216	28	467
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NEW MEXICO (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	343	268	75	96	60	36	247
SEVERE CASELOAD	288	224	64	78	51	27	210
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	84.0	83.6	85.3	81.3	85.0	75.0	85.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	82.7	80.2	88.8	80.2	71.7	92.1	83.6
TEXAS (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	6,632	3,533	3,099	2,754	2,189	565	3,878
SEVERE CASELOAD	6,071	3,215	2,856	2,523	2,007	516	3,548
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	91.5	91.0	92.2	91.6	91.7	91.3	91.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	87.8	82.1	94.5	83.5	82.9	85.4	91.0
REGION 7 TOTAL CASELOAD	46,760	28,733	18,027	16,346	10,861	5,485	30,414
SEVERE CASELOAD	27,444	15,654	11,790	10,282	6,852	3,430	17,162
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	58.7	54.5	65.4	62.9	63.1	62.5	56.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	56.3	53.3	61.0	61.5	61.6	61.2	53.2
IOWA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	16,056	10,529	5,527	4,722	2,952	1,770	11,334
SEVERE CASELOAD	8,803	5,227	3,576	2,816	1,729	1,087	5,987
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	54.8	49.6	64.7	59.6	58.6	61.4	52.8
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	51.6	46.6	60.7	56.2	55.7	57.1	49.6
KANSAS (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	4,638	2,581	2,057	1,719	1,226	493	2,919
SEVERE CASELOAD	3,049	1,709	1,340	1,076	779	297	1,973
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	65.7	66.2	65.1	62.6	63.5	60.2	67.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	58.8	62.4	54.4	67.4	70.2	62.1	52.2
MISSOURI (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	18,117	11,002	7,115	6,980	4,860	2,120	11,137
SEVERE CASELOAD	8,897	4,889	4,008	3,924	2,787	1,137	4,973
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	49.1	44.4	56.3	56.2	57.3	53.6	44.7
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	48.2	43.8	54.9	54.0	54.4	53.1	44.4
NEBRASKA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	6,291	3,513	2,778	2,362	1,440	922	3,929
SEVERE CASELOAD	5,174	2,855	2,319	1,908	1,176	732	3,266
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	82.2	81.3	83.5	80.8	81.7	79.4	83.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	80.7	81.1	80.0	79.9	81.8	77.4	81.3
IOWA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	495	362	133	128	82	46	367
SEVERE CASELOAD	494	361	133	128	82	46	366
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	99.8	99.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.7
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	99.6	99.7	99.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.4
MISSOURI (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	845	544	301	307	203	104	538
SEVERE CASELOAD	709	411	298	302	201	101	407
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	83.9	75.6	99.0	98.4	99.0	97.1	75.7
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	82.3	73.2	98.3	96.2	96.8	94.7	75.6

REPORT NO. 06

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
NEBRASKA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	318	202	116	128	98	30	190
SEVERE CASELOAD	318	202	116	128	98	30	190
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
REGION 8 TOTAL CASELOAD	36,808	24,230	12,578	12,785	7,812	4,973	24,023
SEVERE CASELOAD	24,318	16,138	8,180	8,582	5,302	3,280	15,736
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	66.1	66.6	65.0	67.1	67.9	66.0	65.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	65.4	66.0	64.4	65.8	66.1	65.1	65.2
COLORADO (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	10,081	6,042	4,039	4,014	2,377	1,637	6,067
SEVERE CASELOAD	6,734	4,115	2,619	2,691	1,607	1,084	4,043
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	66.8	68.1	64.8	67.0	67.6	66.2	66.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	67.4	67.8	66.9	66.4	66.2	66.9	68.0
MONTANA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	4,483	2,863	1,620	1,564	933	631	2,919
SEVERE CASELOAD	3,428	2,268	1,160	1,227	771	456	2,201
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	76.5	79.2	71.6	78.5	82.6	72.3	75.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	72.8	73.5	71.6	75.6	80.3	69.3	71.2
NORTH DAKOTA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	3,753	2,508	1,245	1,155	635	520	2,598
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,077	1,425	652	642	343	299	1,435
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	55.3	56.8	52.4	55.6	54.0	57.5	55.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	54.2	56.3	49.2	53.3	51.6	55.3	54.7
SOUTH DAKOTA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	2,704	1,956	748	855	515	340	1,849
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,484	1,097	387	476	286	190	1,008
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	54.9	56.1	51.7	55.7	55.5	55.9	54.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	52.9	49.4	62.0	49.1	48.3	50.2	55.0
UTAH (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	13,428	9,426	4,002	4,253	2,801	1,452	9,175
SEVERE CASELOAD	9,174	6,326	2,848	2,960	1,932	1,028	6,214
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	68.3	67.1	71.2	69.6	69.0	70.8	67.7
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	68.3	68.1	68.7	70.9	70.2	72.3	67.1
WYOMING (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	2,071	1,249	822	810	444	366	1,261
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,184	751	433	475	277	198	709
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	57.2	60.1	52.7	58.6	62.4	54.1	56.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	59.0	73.3	38.4	58.8	59.2	58.2	59.0
SOUTH DAKOTA (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	288	186	102	134	107	27	154
SEVERE CASELOAD	237	156	81	111	86	25	126
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	82.3	83.9	79.4	82.8	80.4	92.6	81.8
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	82.0	81.8	82.2	80.9	79.6	87.0	82.8
REGION 9 TOTAL CASELOAD	102,776	58,505	44,271	40,439	24,602	15,837	62,337
SEVERE CASELOAD	60,160	34,516	25,644	23,345	13,948	9,397	36,815
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	58.5	59.0	57.9	57.7	56.7	59.3	59.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	58.5	58.9	57.9	57.8	56.9	59.5	58.9

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
ARIZONA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	9,040	5,287	3,753	3,477	2,311	1,166	5,563
SEVERE CASELOAD	4,881	2,828	2,053	1,985	1,336	649	2,896
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	54.0	53.5	54.7	57.1	57.8	55.7	52.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	55.4	53.8	57.7	58.5	59.5	56.2	53.5
CALIFORNIA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	86,015	48,755	37,260	34,238	20,872	13,366	51,777
SEVERE CASELOAD	51,502	29,610	21,892	19,760	11,771	7,989	31,742
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	59.9	60.7	58.8	57.7	56.4	59.8	61.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	59.5	60.3	58.4	57.7	56.6	59.7	60.7
GUAM (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	359	195	164	158	107	51	201
SEVERE CASELOAD	272	149	123	121	79	42	151
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	75.8	76.4	75.0	76.6	73.8	82.4	75.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	76.2	79.8	71.6	75.9	73.8	80.0	76.4
HAWAII (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	4,278	2,743	1,535	1,391	764	627	2,887
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,659	993	666	723	397	326	936
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	38.8	36.2	43.4	52.0	52.0	52.0	32.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	41.2	39.1	45.4	51.9	50.6	53.9	36.2
NEVADA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	2,640	1,237	1,403	1,059	465	594	1,581
SEVERE CASELOAD	1,679	812	867	693	324	369	986
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	63.6	65.6	61.8	65.4	69.7	62.1	62.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	62.6	65.9	58.7	63.6	64.4	63.0	61.7
PALAU (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	163	115	48	26	13	13	137
SEVERE CASELOAD	71	63	8	17	10	7	54
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	43.6	54.8	16.7	65.4	76.9	53.8	39.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	56.3	67.9	35.6	72.7	70.0	100.0	54.8
NORTHERN MARIANAS (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	111	66	45	36	24	12	75
SEVERE CASELOAD	14	5	9	13	4	9	1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	12.6	7.6	20.0	36.1	16.7	75.0	1.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	30.1	27.0	37.9	70.3	63.0	90.0	7.6
AMERICAN SAMOA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	170	107	63	54	46	8	116
SEVERE CASELOAD	82	56	26	33	27	6	49
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	48.2	52.3	41.3	61.1	58.7	75.0	42.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	48.2	52.3	41.3	61.1	58.7	75.0	42.2
REGION 10 TOTAL CASELOAD	28,259	16,730	11,529	11,019	6,593	4,426	17,240
SEVERE CASELOAD	18,849	10,845	8,004	7,384	4,445	2,939	11,465
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	66.7	64.8	69.4	67.0	67.4	66.4	66.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	64.7	64.0	65.8	65.3	66.0	64.2	64.2
ALASKA (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	1,890	1,144	746	756	443	313	1,134
SEVERE CASELOAD	873	490	383	345	221	124	528
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	46.2	42.8	51.3	45.6	49.9	39.6	46.6
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	45.2	48.5	39.2	48.9	55.1	42.8	42.8

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL- ITATED (26)	NOT REHA- BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
IDAHO (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	4,398	2,684	1,714	1,458	937	521	2,940
SEVERE CASELOAD	2,580	1,512	1,068	919	595	324	1,661
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	58.7	56.3	62.3	63.0	63.5	62.2	56.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	57.4	54.3	62.7	59.1	60.9	55.7	56.3
OREGON (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	5,949	3,345	2,604	2,493	1,693	800	3,456
SEVERE CASELOAD	4,701	2,528	2,173	1,897	1,307	590	2,804
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	79.0	75.6	83.4	76.1	77.2	73.8	81.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	72.3	71.5	73.2	70.4	70.1	71.2	73.7
WASHINGTON (G) TOTAL CASELOAD	14,834	8,752	6,082	5,907	3,234	2,673	8,927
SEVERE CASELOAD	9,572	5,554	4,018	3,837	2,051	1,786	5,735
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	64.5	63.5	66.1	65.0	63.4	66.8	64.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	64.1	63.7	64.8	65.1	64.4	66.0	63.5
IDAHO (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	191	134	57	54	19	35	137
SEVERE CASELOAD	191	134	57	54	19	35	137
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
OREGON (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	353	232	121	131	107	24	222
SEVERE CASELOAD	353	232	121	131	107	24	222
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WASHINGTON (B) TOTAL CASELOAD	644	439	205	220	160	60	424
SEVERE CASELOAD	579	395	184	201	145	56	378
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	89.9	90.0	89.8	91.4	90.6	93.3	89.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	90.7	95.1	79.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	86.3

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:48:06

REPORT NO. 6A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY PERCENTS OF CASES SERVED THAT ARE SEVERE CASES, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		CASES SERVED		PERCENT SEVERE	
		TOTAL	SEVERE	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
U. S. TOTAL		941,771	654,038	69.4	68.2
GENERAL AGENCIES TOTAL		904,512	621,227	68.7	67.5
RANK					
1	ILLINOIS	32,024	31,093	97.1	97.4
2	OHIO	23,604	21,963	93.0	75.1
3	VERMONT	2,837	2,568	90.5	89.1
4	FLORIDA	26,233	22,831	87.0	79.8
5	MASSACHUSETTS	26,518	22,833	86.1	85.9
6	MARYLAND	13,021	10,784	82.8	76.4
7	NEBRASKA	6,291	5,174	82.2	80.7
8	LOUISIANA	19,726	15,927	80.7	76.7
9	OREGON	5,949	4,701	79.0	72.3
10	MISSISSIPPI	11,159	8,794	78.8	74.7
11	TEXAS	63,888	49,864	78.0	80.0
12	MAINE	3,059	2,381	77.8	77.6
13	ALABAMA	27,400	20,955	76.5	75.9
13	MONTANA	4,483	3,428	76.5	72.8
15	GUAM	359	272	75.8	76.2
16	PENNSYLVANIA	42,237	31,886	75.5	75.1
17	CONNECTICUT	9,370	7,022	74.9	72.6
18	VIRGIN ISLANDS	381	284	74.5	75.9
19	NEW JERSEY	11,790	8,691	73.7	65.3
20	MINNESOTA	19,507	14,035	71.9	70.0
21	GEORGIA	28,095	19,609	69.8	69.8
22	WISCONSIN	27,564	19,002	68.9	69.3
23	UTAH	13,428	9,174	68.3	68.3
23	MICHIGAN	25,764	17,586	68.3	66.1
25	NORTH CAROLINA	36,142	24,583	68.0	68.1
26	COLORADO	10,081	6,734	66.8	67.4
27	KANSAS	4,638	3,049	65.7	58.8
28	NEW HAMPSHIRE	3,874	2,520	65.0	64.6
29	TENNESSEE	20,361	13,217	64.9	66.1
30	KENTUCKY	22,765	14,747	64.8	66.0
31	WASHINGTON	14,834	9,572	64.5	64.1
32	NEVADA	2,640	1,679	63.6	62.6
33	SOUTH CAROLINA	27,338	16,940	62.0	60.0
34	INDIANA	18,944	11,415	60.3	60.7
35	VIRGINIA	17,638	10,617	60.2	62.9

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY PERCENTS OF CASES SERVED THAT ARE SEVERE CASES, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		CASES SERVED		PERCENT SEVERE	
		TOTAL	SEVERE	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
36	ARKANSAS	13,203	7,921	60.0	56.1
37	CALIFORNIA	86,015	51,502	59.9	59.5
38	NEW YORK	51,837	30,650	59.1	59.8
39	IDAHO	4,398	2,580	58.7	57.4
40	WYOMING	2,071	1,184	57.2	59.0
41	NORTH DAKOTA	3,753	2,077	55.3	54.2
42	SOUTH DAKOTA	2,704	1,484	54.9	52.9
43	IOWA	16,056	8,803	54.8	51.6
44	ARIZONA	9,040	4,881	54.0	55.4
45	WEST VIRGINIA	12,251	6,532	53.3	53.8
45	NEW MEXICO	4,941	2,633	53.3	49.1
47	RHODE ISLAND	3,646	1,905	52.2	52.6
48	DELAWARE	2,249	1,109	49.3	48.3
49	MISSOURI	18,117	8,897	49.1	48.2
50	AMERICAN SAMOA	170	82	48.2	48.2
51	PUERTO RICO	17,604	8,314	47.2	47.1
52	OKLAHOMA	23,450	10,996	46.9	46.5
53	ALASKA	1,890	873	46.2	45.2
54	PALAU	163	71	43.6	56.3
55	DISTRICT OF CO	2,623	1,130	43.1	30.1
56	HAWAII	4,278	1,659	38.8	41.2
57	NORTHERN MARIANAS	111	14	12.6	30.1

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:48:20
REPORT NO. 6A

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY PERCENTS OF CASES SERVED THAT ARE SEVERE CASES, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

RANK		CASES SERVED		PERCENT SEVERE	
		TOTAL	SEVERE	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
BLIND AGENCIES TOTAL		37,259	32,811	88.1	86.9
1	ARKANSAS	711	711	100.0	100.0
1	CONNECTICUT	480	480	100.0	100.0
1	DELAWARE	124	124	100.0	100.0
1	IDAHO	191	191	100.0	100.0
1	MASSACHUSETTS	963	963	100.0	100.0
1	MICHIGAN	1,989	1,989	100.0	100.0
1	NEBRASKA	318	318	100.0	100.0
1	NEW YORK	4,110	4,110	100.0	100.0
1	OREGON	353	353	100.0	100.0
1	RHODE ISLAND	342	342	100.0	100.0
1	VERMONT	263	263	100.0	100.0
12	SOUTH CAROLINA	858	857	99.9	100.0
13	IOWA	495	494	99.8	99.6
14	PENNSYLVANIA	2,987	2,888	96.7	96.1
15	NEW JERSEY	1,780	1,689	94.9	93.6
16	MINNESOTA	1,508	1,412	93.6	92.3
17	TEXAS	6,632	6,071	91.5	87.8
18	VIRGINIA	989	897	90.7	94.6
19	WASHINGTON	644	579	89.9	90.7
20	NEW MEXICO	343	288	84.0	82.7
21	MISSOURI	845	709	83.9	82.3
22	SOUTH DAKOTA	288	237	82.3	82.0
23	KENTUCKY	1,049	823	78.5	78.5
24	NORTH CAROLINA	3,474	2,552	73.5	72.0
25	FLORIDA	3,764	2,610	69.3	72.1
26	MISSISSIPPI	1,759	861	48.9	45.6

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:48:33

REPORT NO. 68

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY PERCENTS OF CASES REHABILITATED THAT ARE SEVERE CASES, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		CASES REHABILITATED		PERCENT SEVERE	
		TOTAL	SEVERE	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
U. S. TOTAL		202,831	139,794	68.9	67.7
GENERAL AGENCIES TOTAL		193,468	131,629	68.0	66.9
RANK					
1	ILLINOIS	5,967	5,756	96.5	97.2
2	MASSACHUSETTS	3,956	3,719	94.0	93.5
3	VERMONT	442	402	91.0	87.8
4	OHIO	4,697	3,947	84.0	74.4
4	MARYLAND	2,697	2,266	84.0	77.8
6	MONTANA	933	771	82.6	80.3
6	MAINE	407	336	82.6	77.7
8	NEBRASKA	1,440	1,176	81.7	81.8
9	FLORIDA	7,132	5,777	81.0	75.7
10	LOUISIANA	3,565	2,849	79.9	71.6
11	TEXAS	16,588	13,091	78.9	80.3
12	CONNECTICUT	1,750	1,365	78.0	78.2
13	ALABAMA	6,433	5,011	77.9	76.0
14	OREGON	1,693	1,307	77.2	70.1
15	PALAU	13	10	76.9	70.0
16	PENNSYLVANIA	7,598	5,732	75.4	77.0
17	GUAM	107	79	73.8	73.8
18	NEW JERSEY	2,263	1,639	72.4	55.3
19	MINNESOTA	3,192	2,307	72.3	71.8
20	MISSISSIPPI	2,416	1,734	71.8	71.6
21	INDIANA	4,921	3,477	70.7	69.1
22	WISCONSIN	5,059	3,572	70.6	72.8
23	NEVADA	465	324	69.7	64.4
24	UTAH	2,801	1,932	69.0	70.2
25	KENTUCKY	4,240	2,921	68.9	64.4
26	COLORADO	2,377	1,607	67.6	66.2
27	MICHIGAN	5,236	3,404	65.0	62.8
28	KANSAS	1,226	779	63.5	70.2
28	IDAHO	937	595	63.5	60.9
30	WASHINGTON	3,234	2,051	63.4	64.4
31	NEW YORK	8,995	5,673	63.1	61.9
32	NORTH CAROLINA	8,398	5,271	62.8	62.7
33	WYOMING	444	277	62.4	59.2
34	SOUTH CAROLINA	8,141	4,928	60.5	59.3
35	VIRGINIA	3,590	2,133	59.4	62.6

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY PERCENTS OF CASES REHABILITATED THAT ARE SEVERE CASES, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		CASES REHABILITATED		PERCENT SEVERE	
		TOTAL	SEVERE	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
36	NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,092	647	59.2	57.6
37	GEORGIA	6,912	4,062	58.8	62.1
38	AMERICAN SAMOA	46	27	58.7	58.7
39	IOWA	2,952	1,729	58.6	55.7
40	ARIZONA	2,311	1,336	57.8	59.5
41	MISSOURI	4,860	2,787	57.3	54.4
42	TENNESSEE	4,195	2,383	56.8	58.9
43	DELAWARE	627	354	56.5	53.6
44	CALIFORNIA	20,872	11,771	56.4	56.6
45	SOUTH DAKOTA	515	286	55.5	48.3
46	NORTH DAKOTA	635	343	54.0	51.6
47	NEW MEXICO	828	443	53.5	48.7
48	PUERTO RICO	3,237	1,697	52.4	49.7
49	HAWAII	764	397	52.0	50.6
50	WEST VIRGINIA	2,651	1,361	51.3	48.8
51	OKLAHOMA	3,507	1,791	51.1	49.1
52	ARKANSAS	2,202	1,123	51.0	43.8
53	ALASKA	443	221	49.9	55.1
54	VIRGIN ISLANDS	37	18	48.6	44.4
55	RHODE ISLAND	643	304	47.3	53.1
56	DISTRICT OF CO	762	327	42.9	36.5
57	NORTHERN MARIANAS	24	4	16.7	63.0

REPORT NO. 6B

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY PERCENTS OF CASES REHABILITATED THAT ARE SEVERE CASES, BY TYPE OF AGENCY
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		CASES REHABILITATED		PERCENT SEVERE	
		TOTAL	SEVERE	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
BLIND AGENCIES TOTAL		9,363	8,165	87.2	84.2
RANK					
1	ARKANSAS	216	216	100.0	100.0
1	CONNECTICUT	122	122	100.0	100.0
1	DELAWARE	37	37	100.0	100.0
1	IDAHO	19	19	100.0	100.0
1	IOWA	82	82	100.0	100.0
1	MASSACHUSETTS	147	147	100.0	100.0
1	MICHIGAN	449	449	100.0	100.0
1	NEBRASKA	98	98	100.0	100.0
1	NEW YORK	885	885	100.0	100.0
1	OREGON	107	107	100.0	100.0
1	RHODE ISLAND	76	76	100.0	100.0
1	SOUTH CAROLINA	280	280	100.0	100.0
1	VERMONT	83	83	100.0	100.0
14	MISSOURI	203	201	99.0	96.8
15	PENNSYLVANIA	382	371	97.1	96.9
16	MINNESOTA	393	369	93.9	93.9
17	NEW JERSEY	435	402	92.4	89.4
18	TEXAS	2,189	2,007	91.7	82.9
19	WASHINGTON	160	145	90.6	100.0
20	VIRGINIA	216	192	88.9	91.7
21	NEW MEXICO	60	51	85.0	71.7
22	SOUTH DAKOTA	107	86	80.4	79.6
23	NORTH CAROLINA	828	631	76.2	72.4
24	KENTUCKY	370	267	72.2	75.8
25	FLORIDA	878	605	68.9	68.0
26	MISSISSIPPI	541	237	43.8	43.1

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:48:59 PAGE 1
REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
U. S. TOTAL	618,864	351,916	266,948
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	624,596	357,560	267,036
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.9	43.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.9	-1.6	0.0
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	595,695	338,500	257,195
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	603,058	344,707	258,351
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.8	43.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.2	-1.8	-0.4
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	23,169	13,416	9,753
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	21,538	12,853	8,685
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.9	42.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.6	4.4	12.3
REGION 1	30,071	18,480	11,591
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	28,593	17,933	10,660
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.5	38.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.2	3.1	8.7
CONNECTICUT (G)	5,998	3,389	2,609
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,345	3,809	2,536
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.5	43.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.5	-11.0	2.9
MAINE (G)	2,019	1,148	871
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,629	720	909
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.9	43.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	23.9	59.4	-4.2
MASSACHUSETTS (G)	15,319	9,842	5,477
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	14,118	9,227	4,891
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.2	35.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	8.5	6.7	12.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE (G)	2,654	1,450	1,204
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,197	1,305	892
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	54.6	45.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	20.8	11.1	35.0
RHODE ISLAND (G)	1,615	984	631
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,943	1,212	731
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.9	39.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-16.9	-18.8	-13.7
VERMONT (G)	1,605	1,035	570
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,541	1,016	525
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.5	35.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.2	1.9	8.6

REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
CONNECTICUT (B)	183	145	38
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	228	196	32
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	79.2	20.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-19.7	-26.0	18.8
MASSACHUSETTS (B)	341	253	88
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	309	235	74
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	74.2	25.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	10.4	7.7	18.9
RHODE ISLAND (B)	196	130	66
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	149	111	38
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.3	33.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	31.5	17.1	73.7
VERMONT (B)	141	104	37
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	134	102	32
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.8	26.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.2	2.0	15.6
REGION 2	59,231	32,936	26,295
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	52,364	28,053	24,311
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.6	44.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	13.1	17.4	8.2
NEW JERSEY (G)	11,122	4,585	6,537
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	10,968	4,430	6,538
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	41.2	58.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.4	3.5	0.0
NEW YORK (G)	36,155	21,379	14,776
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	30,019	17,490	12,529
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.1	40.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	20.4	22.2	17.9
PUERTO RICO (G)	7,239	4,604	2,635
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	7,790	4,063	3,727
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.6	36.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-7.1	13.3	-29.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS (G)	161	61	100
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	74	20	54
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	37.9	62.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	117.6	205.0	85.2
NEW JERSEY (B)	1,201	700	501
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	977	699	278
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.3	41.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	22.9	0.1	80.2

REPORT NO. 07

CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
NEW YORK (B)	3,353	1,607	1,746
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,536	1,351	1,185
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.9	52.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	32.2	18.9	47.3
REGION 3	53,617	30,186	23,431
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	63,739	37,232	26,507
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.3	43.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-15.9	-18.9	-11.6
DELAWARE (G)	1,985	1,105	880
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,116	1,230	886
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.7	44.3
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.2	-10.2	-0.7
DISTRICT OF CO (G)	2,539	1,239	1,300
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,680	1,499	2,181
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	48.8	51.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-31.0	-17.3	-40.4
MARYLAND (G)	8,913	5,071	3,842
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,341	5,778	3,563
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.9	43.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.6	-12.2	7.8
PENNSYLVANIA (G)	19,512	11,000	8,512
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	25,630	15,792	9,838
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.4	43.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-23.9	-30.3	-13.5
VIRGINIA (G)	11,741	6,556	5,185
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,547	7,898	5,649
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.8	44.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.3	-17.0	-8.2
WEST VIRGINIA (G)	7,325	4,136	3,189
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	7,663	3,973	3,690
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.5	43.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.4	4.1	-13.6
DELAWARE (B)	78	42	36
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	50	34	16
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	53.8	46.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	56.0	23.5	125.0
PENNSYLVANIA (B)	987	678	309
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,162	696	466
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.7	31.3
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-15.1	-2.6	-33.7

REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
VIRGINIA (B)	537	359	178
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	550	332	218
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.9	33.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.4	8.1	-18.3
REGION 4	158,496	85,516	72,980
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	153,184	83,003	70,181
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	54.0	46.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.5	3.0	4.0
ALABAMA (G)	17,317	9,612	7,705
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	14,954	9,313	5,641
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.5	44.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	15.8	3.2	36.6
FLORIDA (G)	27,265	11,564	15,701
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,241	11,541	15,700
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	42.4	57.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.1	0.2	0.0
GEORGIA (G)	17,199	10,013	7,186
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	16,811	10,382	6,429
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.2	41.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.3	-3.6	11.8
KENTUCKY (G)	16,639	8,662	7,977
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	15,851	7,758	8,093
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	52.1	47.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.0	11.7	-1.4
MISSISSIPPI (G)	10,184	4,951	5,233
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,657	4,959	6,698
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	48.6	51.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.6	-0.2	-21.9
NORTH CAROLINA (G)	28,494	15,356	13,138
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	28,089	15,687	12,402
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	53.9	46.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.4	-2.1	5.9
SOUTH CAROLINA (G)	21,774	13,149	8,625
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	20,570	12,245	8,325
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.4	39.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.9	7.4	3.6
TENNESSEE (G)	13,549	8,407	5,142
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	11,656	7,155	4,501
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.0	38.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	16.2	17.5	14.2

REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
FLORIDA (B)	1,691	1,311	380
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,850	1,393	457
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	77.5	22.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.6	-5.9	-16.8
KENTUCKY (B)	832	467	365
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	846	481	365
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.1	43.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.7	-2.9	0.0
MISSISSIPPI (B)	1,364	563	801
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,496	690	806
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	41.3	58.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.8	-18.4	-0.6
NORTH CAROLINA (B)	1,656	1,060	596
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,756	1,085	671
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.0	36.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.7	-2.3	-11.2
SOUTH CAROLINA (B)	532	401	131
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	407	314	93
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	75.4	24.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	30.7	27.7	40.9
REGION 5	83,207	48,288	34,919
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	95,435	55,915	39,520
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.0	42.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.8	-13.6	-11.6
ILLINOIS (G)	18,345	10,868	7,477
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,630	11,274	7,356
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.2	40.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.5	-3.6	1.6
INDIANA (G)	9,892	5,179	4,713
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	15,650	10,025	5,625
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	52.4	47.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-36.8	-48.3	-16.2
MICHIGAN (G)	14,262	10,400	3,862
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	14,627	10,323	4,304
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	72.9	27.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.5	0.7	-10.3
MINNESOTA (G)	11,407	5,612	5,795
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	10,789	5,936	4,853
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	49.2	50.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.7	-5.5	19.4

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:49:35

REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
OHIO (G)	12,388	5,896	6,492
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	19,881	8,718	11,163
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.6	52.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-37.7	-32.4	-41.8
WISCONSIN (G)	15,050	9,234	5,816
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	14,242	8,670	5,572
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.4	38.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.7	6.5	4.4
MICHIGAN (B)	825	607	218
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	755	561	194
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	73.6	26.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	9.3	8.2	12.4
MINNESOTA (B)	1,038	492	546
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	861	408	453
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.4	52.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	20.6	20.6	20.5
REGION 6	77,200	50,105	27,095
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	76,196	49,411	26,785
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.9	35.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.3	1.4	1.2
ARKANSAS (G)	6,335	3,472	2,863
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,234	4,643	3,591
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	54.8	45.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-23.1	-25.2	-20.3
LOUISIANA (G)	8,740	6,019	2,721
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,018	5,916	2,102
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.9	31.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	9.0	1.7	29.4
NEW MEXICO (G)	4,817	1,885	2,932
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,511	1,909	2,602
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	39.1	60.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.8	-1.3	12.7
OKLAHOMA (G)	11,379	7,501	3,878
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	10,899	6,801	4,098
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.9	34.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.4	10.3	-5.4
TEXAS (G)	39,727	27,766	11,961
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	38,994	27,004	11,990
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	69.9	30.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.9	2.8	-0.2

REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
ARKANSAS (B)	450	288	162
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	350	190	160
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.0	36.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	28.6	51.6	1.3
NEW MEXICO (B)	122	75	47
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	176	107	69
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.5	38.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-30.7	-29.9	-31.9
TEXAS (B)	5,630	3,099	2,531
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,014	2,841	2,173
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.0	45.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.3	9.1	16.5
REGION 7	35,482	18,027	17,455
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	35,284	18,065	17,219
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	50.8	49.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.6	-0.2	1.4
IOWA (G)	8,984	5,527	3,457
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,825	5,360	3,465
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.5	38.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.8	3.1	-0.2
KANSAS (G)	3,633	2,057	1,576
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,854	2,538	2,316
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.6	43.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-25.2	-19.0	-32.0
MISSOURI (G)	17,326	7,115	10,211
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	16,901	7,336	9,565
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	41.1	58.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.5	-3.0	6.8
NEBRASKA (G)	4,389	2,778	1,611
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,630	2,318	1,312
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.3	36.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	20.9	19.8	22.8
IOWA (B)	187	133	54
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	162	110	52
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	71.1	28.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	15.4	20.9	3.8
MISSOURI (B)	753	301	452
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	698	292	406
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	40.0	60.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.9	3.1	11.3

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:49:50

REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
NEBRASKA (B)	210	116	94
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	214	111	103
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.2	44.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.9	4.5	-8.7
REGION 8	22,502	12,578	9,924
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	24,034	13,524	10,510
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.9	44.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.4	-7.0	-5.6
COLORADO (G)	8,172	4,039	4,133
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,255	4,024	4,231
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	49.4	50.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.0	0.4	-2.3
MONTANA (G)	2,786	1,620	1,166
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,043	1,724	1,319
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.1	41.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.4	-6.0	-11.6
NORTH DAKOTA (G)	1,922	1,245	677
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,952	1,193	759
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.8	35.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.5	4.4	-10.8
SOUTH DAKOTA (G)	1,620	748	872
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,684	844	840
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	46.2	53.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.8	-11.4	3.8
UTAH (G)	6,131	4,002	2,129
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	7,088	4,637	2,451
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.3	34.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.5	-13.7	-13.1
WYOMING (G)	1,647	822	825
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,731	956	775
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	49.9	50.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.9	-14.0	6.5
SOUTH DAKOTA (B)	224	102	122
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	281	146	135
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	45.5	54.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-20.3	-30.1	-9.6
REGION 9	78,228	44,271	33,957
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	75,153	43,305	31,848
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.6	43.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.1	2.2	6.6

REPORT NO. 07 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
ARIZONA (G)	6,715	3,753	2,962
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,314	3,700	2,614
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.9	44.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.4	1.4	13.3
CALIFORNIA (G)	66,006	37,260	28,746
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	63,186	36,847	26,339
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.4	43.6
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.5	1.1	9.1
GUAM (G)	229	164	65
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	226	155	71
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	71.6	28.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.3	5.8	-8.5
HAWAII (G)	2,247	1,535	712
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,995	1,378	617
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.3	31.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.6	11.4	15.4
NEVADA (G)	2,827	1,403	1,424
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,243	1,088	2,155
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	49.6	50.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.8	29.0	-33.9
PALAU (G)	57	48	9
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	52	45	7
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	84.2	15.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	9.6	6.7	28.6
NORTHERN MARIANAS (G)	68	45	23
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	58	29	29
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.2	33.8
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	17.2	55.2	-20.7
AMERICAN SAMOA (G)	79	63	16
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	79	63	16
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	79.7	20.3
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.0	0.0	0.0
REGION 10	20,830	11,529	9,301
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	20,614	11,119	9,495
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.3	44.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.0	3.7	-2.0
ALASKA (G)	1,757	746	1,011
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,580	671	909
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	42.5	57.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	11.2	11.2	11.2

REPORT NO. 07

CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
IDAHO (G)	3,373	1,714	1,659
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,475	1,651	1,824
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	50.8	49.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.9	3.8	-9.0
OREGON (G)	5,013	2,604	2,409
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	5,002	2,600	2,402
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	51.9	48.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.2	0.2	0.3
WASHINGTON (G)	10,049	6,082	3,967
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,980	5,829	4,151
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.5	39.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.7	4.3	-4.4
IDAHO (B)	87	57	30
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	85	53	32
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.5	34.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.4	7.5	-6.3
OREGON (B)	198	121	77
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	189	132	57
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.1	38.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.8	-8.3	35.1
WASHINGTON (B)	353	205	148
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	303	183	120
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.1	41.9
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	16.5	12.0	23.3

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:50:17

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY ACCEPTANCE RATES AMONG APPLICANT CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY, BY TYPE OF AGENCY

REPORT NO. 7A

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

RANK		TOTAL CASES PROCESSED	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	PERCENT ACCEPTED	
				THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
	U. S. TOTAL	618,864	351,916	56.9	57.2
	GENERAL AGENCIES TOTAL	595,695	338,500	56.8	57.2
1	PALAU	57	48	84.2	86.5
2	AMERICAN SAMOA	79	63	79.7	79.7
3	MICHIGAN	14,262	10,400	72.9	70.6
4	GUAM	229	164	71.6	68.6
5	TEXAS	39,727	27,766	69.9	69.3
6	LOUISIANA	8,740	6,019	68.9	73.8
7	HAWAII	2,247	1,535	68.3	69.1
8	NORTHERN MARIANAS	68	45	66.2	50.0
9	OKLAHOMA	11,379	7,501	65.9	62.4
10	UTAH	6,131	4,002	65.3	65.4
11	NORTH DAKOTA	1,922	1,245	64.8	61.1
12	VERMONT	1,605	1,035	64.5	65.9
13	MASSACHUSETTS	15,319	9,842	64.2	65.4
14	PUERTO RICO	7,239	4,604	63.6	52.2
15	NEBRASKA	4,389	2,778	63.3	63.9
16	TENNESSEE	13,549	8,407	62.0	61.4
17	IOWA	8,984	5,527	61.5	60.7
18	WISCONSIN	15,050	9,234	61.4	60.9
19	RHODE ISLAND	1,615	984	60.9	62.4
20	WASHINGTON	10,049	6,082	60.5	58.4
21	SOUTH CAROLINA	21,774	13,149	60.4	59.5
22	ILLINOIS	18,345	10,868	59.2	60.5
23	NEW YORK	36,155	21,379	59.1	58.3
24	GEORGIA	17,199	10,013	58.2	61.8
25	MONTANA	2,786	1,620	58.1	56.7
26	MARYLAND	8,913	5,071	56.9	61.9
26	MAINE	2,019	1,148	56.9	44.2
28	KANSAS	3,633	2,057	56.6	52.3
29	CONNECTICUT	5,998	3,389	56.5	60.0
29	WEST VIRGINIA	7,325	4,136	56.5	51.8
31	CALIFORNIA	66,006	37,260	56.4	58.3
31	PENNSYLVANIA	19,512	11,000	56.4	61.6
33	ARIZONA	6,715	3,753	55.9	58.6
34	VIRGINIA	11,741	6,556	55.8	58.3
35	DELAWARE	1,985	1,105	55.7	58.1

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:50:35 PAGE 2

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY ACCEPTANCE RATES AMONG APPLICANT CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY, BY TYPE OF AGENCY

REPORT NO. 7A

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		TOTAL CASES PROCESSED	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	PERCENT ACCEPTED	
				THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
36	ALABAMA	17,317	9,612	55.5	62.3
37	ARKANSAS	6,335	3,472	54.8	56.4
38	NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,654	1,450	54.6	59.4
39	NORTH CAROLINA	28,494	15,356	53.9	55.8
40	INDIANA	9,892	5,179	52.4	64.1
41	KENTUCKY	16,639	8,662	52.1	48.9
42	OREGON	5,013	2,604	51.9	52.0
43	IDAHO	3,373	1,714	50.8	47.5
44	WYOMING	1,647	822	49.9	55.2
45	NEVADA	2,827	1,403	49.6	33.5
46	COLORADO	8,172	4,039	49.4	48.7
47	MINNESOTA	11,407	5,612	49.2	55.0
48	DISTRICT OF CO	2,539	1,239	48.8	40.7
49	MISSISSIPPI	10,184	4,951	48.6	42.5
50	OHIO	12,388	5,896	47.6	43.9
51	SOUTH DAKOTA	1,620	748	46.2	50.1
52	ALASKA	1,757	746	42.5	42.5
53	FLORIDA	27,265	11,564	42.4	42.4
54	NEW JERSEY	11,122	4,585	41.2	40.4
55	MISSOURI	17,326	7,115	41.1	43.4
56	NEW MEXICO	4,817	1,885	39.1	42.3
57	VIRGIN ISLANDS	161	61	37.9	27.0

SEQUENTIAL AGENCY ACCEPTANCE RATES AMONG APPLICANT CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY, BY TYPE OF AGENCY

REPORT NO. 7A

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

		TOTAL CASES PROCESSED	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	PERCENT ACCEPTED	
				THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR
BLIND AGENCIES TOTAL		23,169	13,416	57.9	59.7
RANK					
1	CONNECTICUT	183	145	79.2	86.0
2	FLORIDA	1,691	1,311	77.5	75.3
3	SOUTH CAROLINA	532	401	75.4	77.1
4	MASSACHUSETTS	341	253	74.2	76.1
5	VERMONT	141	104	73.8	76.1
6	MICHIGAN	825	607	73.6	74.3
7	IOWA	187	133	71.1	67.9
8	PENNSYLVANIA	987	678	68.7	59.9
9	VIRGINIA	537	359	66.9	60.4
10	RHODE ISLAND	196	130	66.3	74.5
11	IDAHO	87	57	65.5	62.4
12	NORTH CAROLINA	1,656	1,060	64.0	61.8
12	ARKANSAS	450	288	64.0	54.3
14	NEW MEXICO	122	75	61.5	60.8
15	OREGON	198	121	61.1	69.8
16	NEW JERSEY	1,201	700	58.3	71.5
17	WASHINGTON	353	205	58.1	60.4
18	KENTUCKY	832	467	56.1	56.9
19	NEBRASKA	210	116	55.2	51.9
20	TEXAS	5,630	3,099	55.0	56.7
21	DELAWARE	78	42	53.8	68.0
22	NEW YORK	3,353	1,607	47.9	53.3
23	MINNESOTA	1,038	492	47.4	47.4
24	SOUTH DAKOTA	224	102	45.5	52.0
25	MISSISSIPPI	1,364	563	41.3	46.1
26	MISSOURI	753	301	40.0	41.8

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:54:25

REPORT NO. 13

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL	891,795	270,852	620,943	616,247	340,764	21,090	254,393	275,548
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	895,036	270,134	624,902	624,341	345,459	23,812	255,070	270,695
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.4	69.6	100.0	55.3	3.4	41.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.4	0.3	-0.6	-1.3	-1.4	-11.4	-0.3	1.8
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	859,701	261,737	597,964	593,149	327,725	20,460	244,964	266,552
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	864,497	261,552	602,945	602,903	333,107	23,077	246,719	261,594
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.4	69.6	100.0	55.3	3.4	41.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.6	0.1	-0.8	-1.6	-1.6	-11.3	-0.7	1.9
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	32,094	9,115	22,979	23,098	13,039	630	9,429	8,996
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	30,539	8,582	21,957	21,438	12,352	735	8,351	9,101
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.4	71.6	100.0	56.5	2.7	40.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.1	6.2	4.7	7.7	5.6	-14.3	12.9	-1.2
REGION 1	46,857	15,728	31,129	30,139	17,891	1,526	10,722	16,718
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	44,497	13,913	30,584	28,771	17,362	1,424	9,985	15,726
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	33.6	66.4	100.0	59.4	5.1	35.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.3	13.0	1.8	4.8	3.0	7.2	7.4	6.3
REGION 2	97,856	35,475	62,381	59,352	32,538	889	25,925	38,504
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	87,400	35,044	52,356	52,341	27,621	787	23,933	35,059
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	36.3	63.7	100.0	54.8	1.5	43.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	12.0	1.2	19.1	13.4	17.8	13.0	8.3	9.8
REGION 3	83,727	27,395	56,332	53,460	29,452	1,305	22,703	30,267
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	90,988	27,888	63,100	63,700	36,425	1,528	25,747	27,288
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	32.7	67.3	100.0	55.1	2.4	42.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.0	-1.8	-10.7	-16.1	-19.1	-14.6	-11.8	10.9
REGION 4	224,534	68,844	155,690	157,973	83,371	3,919	70,683	66,561
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	221,097	68,460	152,637	152,656	80,517	4,514	67,625	68,441
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	30.7	69.3	100.0	52.8	2.5	44.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.6	0.6	2.0	3.5	3.5	-13.2	4.5	-2.7
REGION 5	117,822	39,649	78,173	82,741	46,465	3,693	32,583	35,081
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	135,093	43,683	91,410	95,432	53,892	4,413	37,127	39,661
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	33.7	66.3	100.0	56.2	4.5	39.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.8	-9.2	-14.5	-13.3	-13.8	-16.3	-12.2	-11.5
REGION 6	104,433	25,486	78,947	77,007	49,267	1,592	26,148	27,426
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	101,636	24,450	77,186	76,152	48,474	1,815	25,863	25,484
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	24.4	75.6	100.0	64.0	2.1	34.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.8	4.2	2.3	1.1	1.6	-12.3	1.1	7.6
REGION 7	51,036	14,420	36,616	35,395	16,677	2,398	16,320	15,641
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	50,321	13,834	36,487	35,263	16,483	2,727	16,053	15,058
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.3	71.7	100.0	47.1	6.8	46.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.4	4.2	0.4	0.4	1.2	-12.1	1.7	3.9

REPORT NO. 13

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICANTS (STATUS 02) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	APPLICANTS AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			APPLICANTS PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	ACCEPTED FOR EE (06)	NOT ACCPTD FOR VR OR EE (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
REGION 8	32,228	9,076	23,152	22,474	11,872	1,389	9,213	9,754
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	32,820	9,335	23,485	23,722	12,628	1,416	9,678	9,098
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.2	71.8	100.0	52.8	6.2	41.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.8	-2.8	-1.4	-5.3	-6.0	-1.9	-4.8	7.2
REGION 9	103,770	26,842	76,928	77,108	42,598	2,839	31,671	26,662
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	102,607	25,440	77,167	75,762	41,908	3,239	30,615	26,845
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	25.9	74.1	100.0	55.2	3.7	41.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.1	5.5	-0.3	1.8	1.6	-12.3	3.4	-0.7
REGION 10	29,532	7,937	21,595	20,598	10,633	1,540	8,425	8,934
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	28,577	8,087	20,490	20,542	10,149	1,949	8,444	8,035
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.9	73.1	100.0	51.6	7.5	40.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.3	-1.9	5.4	0.3	4.8	-21.0	-0.2	11.2

REPORT NO. 14

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF EXTENDED EVALUATION CASES (STATUS 06) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	EXT EVAL AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			EXTENDED EVALUATION PROCESSED			CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)	
U. S. TOTAL	40,132	19,042	21,090	23,707	11,152	12,555	16,425
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	43,146	19,334	23,812	24,067	12,101	11,966	19,079
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.4	52.6	100.0	47.0	53.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-7.0	-1.5	-11.4	-1.5	-7.8	4.9	-13.9
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	38,828	18,368	20,460	23,006	10,775	12,231	15,822
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	41,631	18,554	23,077	23,232	11,600	11,632	18,399
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.3	52.7	100.0	46.8	53.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.7	-1.0	-11.3	-1.0	-7.1	5.1	-14.0
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	1,304	674	630	701	377	324	603
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,515	780	735	835	501	334	680
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	51.7	48.3	100.0	53.8	46.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.9	-13.6	-14.3	-16.0	-24.8	-3.0	-11.3
REGION 1	2,851	1,325	1,526	1,458	589	869	1,393
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,570	1,146	1,424	1,246	571	675	1,324
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	46.5	53.5	100.0	40.4	59.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	10.9	15.6	7.2	17.0	3.2	28.7	5.2
REGION 2	1,696	807	889	768	398	370	928
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	1,615	828	787	810	432	378	805
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.6	52.4	100.0	51.8	48.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.0	-2.5	13.0	-5.2	-7.9	-2.1	15.3
REGION 3	2,629	1,324	1,305	1,462	734	728	1,167
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,867	1,339	1,528	1,567	807	760	1,300
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	50.4	49.6	100.0	50.2	49.8	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.3	-1.1	-14.6	-6.7	-9.0	-4.2	-10.2
REGION 4	7,237	3,318	3,919	4,442	2,145	2,297	2,795
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,366	3,852	4,514	5,042	2,486	2,556	3,324
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	45.8	54.2	100.0	48.3	51.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-13.5	-13.9	-13.2	-11.9	-13.7	-10.1	-15.9
REGION 5	7,512	3,819	3,693	4,159	1,823	2,336	3,353
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	8,231	3,818	4,413	4,416	2,023	2,393	3,815
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	50.8	49.2	100.0	43.8	56.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.7	0.0	-16.3	-5.8	-9.9	-2.4	-12.1
REGION 6	2,902	1,310	1,592	1,785	838	947	1,117
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,174	1,359	1,815	1,859	937	922	1,315
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	45.1	54.9	100.0	46.9	53.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.6	-3.6	-12.3	-4.0	-10.6	2.7	-15.1
REGION 7	3,740	1,342	2,398	2,485	1,350	1,135	1,255
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,092	1,365	2,727	2,748	1,582	1,166	1,344
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	35.9	64.1	100.0	54.3	45.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.6	-1.7	-12.1	-9.6	-14.7	-2.7	-6.6

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF EXTENDED EVALUATION CASES (STATUS 06) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	EXT EVAL AVAILABLE DURING PERIOD			EXTENDED EVALUATION PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL PROCESSED	ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	NOT ACCEPT-ED FOR VR (08)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
REGION 8	2,519	1,130	1,389	1,417	706	711	1,102
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	2,862	1,446	1,416	1,728	896	832	1,134
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	44.9	55.1	100.0	49.8	50.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.0	-21.9	-1.9	-18.0	-21.2	-14.5	-2.8
REGION 9	6,266	3,427	2,839	3,959	1,673	2,286	2,307
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	6,059	2,820	3,239	2,630	1,397	1,233	3,429
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	54.7	45.3	100.0	42.3	57.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.4	21.5	-12.3	50.5	19.8	85.4	-32.7
REGION 10	2,780	1,240	1,540	1,772	896	876	1,008
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	3,310	1,361	1,949	2,021	970	1,051	1,289
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	44.6	55.4	100.0	50.6	49.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-16.0	-8.9	-21.0	-12.3	-7.6	-16.7	-21.8

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:55:00

REPORT NO. 15

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL	941,771	589,855	351,916	338,878	202,831	107,607	28,440	602,893
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	937,971	580,411	357,560	347,483	216,112	104,227	27,144	590,488
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.6	37.4	100.0	59.9	31.8	8.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.4	1.6	-1.6	-2.5	-6.1	3.2	4.8	2.1
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	904,512	566,012	338,500	326,061	193,468	105,041	27,552	578,451
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	901,548	556,841	344,707	334,914	206,802	101,871	26,241	566,634
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.6	37.4	100.0	59.3	32.2	8.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.3	1.6	-1.8	-2.6	-6.4	3.1	5.0	2.1
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	37,259	23,843	13,416	12,817	9,363	2,566	888	24,442
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	36,423	23,570	12,853	12,569	9,310	2,356	903	23,854
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.0	36.0	100.0	73.1	20.0	6.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.3	1.2	4.4	2.0	0.6	8.9	-1.7	2.5
REGION 1	51,352	32,872	18,480	16,616	8,718	4,194	3,704	34,736
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	49,865	31,932	17,933	16,991	9,375	4,102	3,514	32,874
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.0	36.0	100.0	52.5	25.2	22.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.0	2.9	3.1	-2.2	-7.0	2.2	5.4	5.7
REGION 2	87,502	54,566	32,936	26,976	15,852	6,992	4,132	60,526
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	81,866	53,813	28,053	27,355	17,416	6,521	3,418	54,511
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.4	37.6	100.0	58.8	25.9	15.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	6.9	1.4	17.4	-1.4	-9.0	7.2	20.9	11.0
REGION 3	94,119	63,933	30,186	33,574	18,560	10,963	4,051	60,545
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	103,275	66,043	37,232	39,519	23,289	11,659	4,571	63,756
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.9	32.1	100.0	55.3	32.7	12.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.9	-3.2	-18.9	-15.0	-20.3	-6.0	-11.4	-5.0
REGION 4	210,397	124,881	85,516	79,298	50,764	25,718	2,816	131,099
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	202,424	119,421	83,003	77,168	50,826	23,724	2,618	125,256
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.4	40.6	100.0	64.0	32.4	3.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.9	4.6	3.0	2.8	-0.1	8.4	7.6	4.7
REGION 5	150,904	102,616	48,288	53,538	29,914	17,186	6,438	97,366
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	158,591	102,676	55,915	56,140	32,534	17,204	6,402	102,451
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	68.0	32.0	100.0	55.9	32.1	12.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.8	-0.1	-13.6	-4.6	-8.1	-0.1	0.6	-5.0
REGION 6	132,894	82,789	50,105	48,287	29,155	16,586	2,546	84,607
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	129,934	80,523	49,411	47,130	29,296	15,393	2,441	82,804
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.3	37.7	100.0	60.4	34.3	5.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.3	2.8	1.4	2.5	-0.5	7.8	4.3	2.2
REGION 7	46,760	28,733	18,027	16,346	10,861	4,456	1,029	30,414
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	46,724	28,659	18,065	17,376	11,626	4,785	965	29,348
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.4	38.6	100.0	66.4	27.3	6.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.1	0.3	-0.2	-5.9	-6.6	-6.9	6.6	3.6

REPORT NO. 15

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ALL ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ALL ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
REGION 8	36,808	24,230	12,578	12,785	7,812	3,359	1,614	24,023
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	37,665	24,141	13,524	13,223	8,474	3,271	1,478	24,442
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	65.8	34.2	100.0	61.1	26.3	12.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-2.3	0.4	-7.0	-3.3	-7.8	2.7	9.2	-1.7
REGION 9	102,776	58,505	44,271	40,439	24,602	14,940	897	62,337
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	99,651	56,346	43,305	41,136	26,258	14,329	549	58,515
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.9	43.1	100.0	60.8	36.9	2.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.1	3.8	2.2	-1.7	-6.3	4.3	63.4	6.5
REGION 10	28,259	16,730	11,529	11,019	6,593	3,213	1,213	17,240
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	27,976	16,857	11,119	11,445	7,018	3,239	1,188	16,531
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	59.2	40.8	100.0	59.8	29.2	11.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.0	-0.8	3.7	-3.7	-6.1	-0.8	2.1	4.3

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:55:23 PAGE 1

REPORT NO. 16 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL	654,038	408,533	245,505	236,231	139,794	77,368	19,069	417,807
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	640,163	393,037	247,126	237,543	146,241	73,602	17,700	402,620
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.5	37.5	100.0	59.2	32.8	8.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.2	3.9	-0.7	-0.6	-4.4	5.1	7.7	3.8
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	621,227	387,591	233,636	224,962	131,629	75,100	18,233	396,265
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	608,527	372,559	235,968	226,804	138,400	71,553	16,851	381,723
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.4	37.6	100.0	58.5	33.4	8.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.1	4.0	-1.0	-0.8	-4.9	5.0	8.2	3.8
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	32,811	20,942	11,869	11,269	8,165	2,268	836	21,542
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	31,636	20,478	11,158	10,739	7,841	2,049	849	20,897
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	63.8	36.2	100.0	72.5	20.1	7.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.7	2.3	6.4	4.9	4.1	10.7	-1.5	3.1
REGION 1	41,277	25,747	15,530	13,724	7,201	3,543	2,980	27,553
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	39,661	24,371	15,290	13,912	7,735	3,392	2,785	25,749
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	62.4	37.6	100.0	52.5	25.8	21.7	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.1	5.6	1.6	-1.4	-6.9	4.5	7.0	7.0
REGION 2	53,738	33,254	20,484	17,267	10,314	4,558	2,395	36,471
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	49,554	31,887	17,667	16,519	10,567	4,134	1,818	33,035
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.9	38.1	100.0	59.7	26.4	13.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	8.4	4.3	15.9	4.5	-2.4	10.3	31.7	10.4
REGION 3	65,967	44,317	21,650	23,505	12,773	8,021	2,711	42,462
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	71,845	46,035	25,810	27,713	16,221	8,429	3,063	44,132
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.2	32.8	100.0	54.3	34.1	11.5	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-8.2	-3.7	-16.1	-15.2	-21.3	-4.8	-11.5	-3.8
REGION 4	149,379	90,344	59,035	55,191	34,107	19,261	1,823	94,188
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	141,375	85,216	56,159	52,601	33,616	17,312	1,673	88,774
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	60.5	39.5	100.0	61.8	34.9	3.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.7	6.0	5.1	4.9	1.5	11.3	9.0	6.1
REGION 5	118,495	80,039	38,456	41,362	23,281	13,486	4,595	77,133
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	118,682	75,515	43,167	41,978	24,755	12,828	4,395	76,704
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	67.5	32.5	100.0	56.3	32.6	11.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.2	6.0	-10.9	-1.5	-6.0	5.1	4.6	0.6
REGION 6	94,411	57,679	36,732	35,589	21,571	12,384	1,634	58,822
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	91,772	54,874	36,898	34,175	21,003	11,603	1,569	57,597
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.1	38.9	100.0	60.6	34.8	4.6	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.9	5.1	-0.4	4.1	2.7	6.7	4.1	2.1
REGION 7	27,444	15,654	11,790	10,282	6,852	2,772	658	17,162
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	26,297	15,272	11,025	10,685	7,167	2,931	587	15,612
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.0	43.0	100.0	66.6	27.0	6.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.4	2.5	6.9	-3.8	-4.4	-5.4	12.1	9.9

REPORT NO. 16 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
REGION 8	24,318	16,138	8,180	8,582	5,302	2,300	980	15,736
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	24,631	15,922	8,709	8,696	5,604	2,265	827	15,935
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	66.4	33.6	100.0	61.8	26.8	11.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.3	1.4	-6.1	-1.3	-5.4	1.5	18.5	-1.2
REGION 9	60,160	34,516	25,644	23,345	13,948	8,868	529	36,815
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	58,251	33,161	25,090	23,790	14,942	8,521	327	34,461
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.4	42.6	100.0	59.7	38.0	2.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.3	4.1	2.2	-1.9	-6.7	4.1	61.8	6.8
REGION 10	18,849	10,845	8,004	7,384	4,445	2,175	764	11,465
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	18,095	10,784	7,311	7,474	4,631	2,187	656	10,621
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.5	42.5	100.0	60.2	29.5	10.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.2	0.6	9.5	-1.2	-4.0	-0.5	16.5	7.9

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:55:45

REPORT NO. 17 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF NON-SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	NON-SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			NON-SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL	287,733	181,322	106,411	102,647	63,037	30,239	9,371	185,086
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	297,808	187,374	110,434	109,940	69,871	30,625	9,444	187,868
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	27.7	16.3	100.0	61.4	29.5	9.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.4	-3.2	-3.6	-6.6	-9.8	-1.3	-0.8	-1.5
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	283,285	178,421	104,864	101,099	61,839	29,941	9,319	182,186
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	293,021	184,282	108,739	108,110	68,402	30,318	9,390	184,911
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	28.7	16.9	100.0	61.2	29.6	9.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-3.3	-3.2	-3.6	-6.5	-9.6	-1.2	-0.8	-1.5
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	4,448	2,901	1,547	1,548	1,198	298	52	2,900
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	4,787	3,092	1,695	1,830	1,469	307	54	2,957
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	8.8	4.7	100.0	77.4	19.3	3.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-7.1	-6.2	-8.7	-15.4	-18.4	-2.9	-3.7	-1.9
REGION 1	10,075	7,125	2,950	2,892	1,517	651	724	7,183
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	10,204	7,561	2,643	3,079	1,640	710	729	7,125
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	17.3	7.1	100.0	52.5	22.5	25.0	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.3	-5.8	11.6	-6.1	-7.5	-8.3	-0.7	0.8
REGION 2	33,764	21,312	12,452	9,709	5,538	2,434	1,737	24,055
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	32,312	21,926	10,386	10,836	6,849	2,387	1,600	21,476
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	39.7	23.2	100.0	57.0	25.1	17.9	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.5	-2.8	19.9	-10.4	-19.1	2.0	8.6	12.0
REGION 3	28,152	19,616	8,536	10,069	5,787	2,942	1,340	18,083
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	31,430	20,008	11,422	11,806	7,068	3,230	1,508	19,624
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	29.7	12.9	100.0	57.5	29.2	13.3	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-10.4	-2.0	-25.3	-14.7	-18.1	-8.9	-11.1	-7.9
REGION 4	61,018	34,537	26,481	24,107	16,657	6,457	993	36,911
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	61,049	34,205	26,844	24,567	17,210	6,412	945	36,482
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	23.1	17.7	100.0	69.1	26.8	4.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.1	1.0	-1.4	-1.9	-3.2	0.7	5.1	1.2
REGION 5	32,409	22,577	9,832	12,176	6,633	3,700	1,843	20,233
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	39,909	27,161	12,748	14,162	7,779	4,376	2,007	25,747
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	19.1	8.3	100.0	54.5	30.4	15.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-18.8	-16.9	-22.9	-14.0	-14.7	-15.4	-8.2	-21.4
REGION 6	38,483	25,110	13,373	12,698	7,584	4,202	912	25,785
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	38,162	25,649	12,513	12,955	8,293	3,790	872	25,207
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	26.6	14.2	100.0	59.7	33.1	7.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.8	-2.1	6.9	-2.0	-8.5	10.9	4.6	2.3
REGION 7	19,316	13,079	6,237	6,064	4,009	1,684	371	13,252
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	20,427	13,387	7,040	6,691	4,459	1,854	378	13,736
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	47.7	22.7	100.0	66.1	27.8	6.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-5.4	-2.3	-11.4	-9.4	-10.1	-9.2	-1.9	-3.5

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:56:04 PAGE 2

REPORT NO. 17 CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASES OF NON-SEVERELY DISABLED (STATUSES 10 - 30) AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	NON-SEVERE ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			NON-SEVERE ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED				
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28)	NOT REHABILITATED (30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
REGION 8	12,490	8,092	4,398	4,203	2,510	1,059	634	8,287
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	13,034	8,219	4,815	4,527	2,870	1,006	651	8,507
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	33.3	18.1	100.0	59.7	25.2	15.1	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.2	-1.5	-8.7	-7.2	-12.5	5.3	-2.6	-2.6
REGION 9	42,616	23,989	18,627	17,094	10,654	6,072	368	25,522
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	41,400	23,185	18,215	17,346	11,316	5,808	222	24,054
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	39.9	31.0	100.0	62.3	35.5	2.2	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	2.9	3.5	2.3	-1.5	-5.9	4.5	65.8	6.1
REGION 10	9,410	5,885	3,525	3,635	2,148	1,038	449	5,775
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	9,881	6,073	3,808	3,971	2,387	1,052	532	5,910
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	31.2	18.7	100.0	59.1	28.6	12.4	
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-4.8	-3.1	-7.4	-8.5	-10.0	-1.3	-15.6	-2.3

DATE 09/17/92 TIME 12:56:08
REPORT NO. 18

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)

4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABIL-ITATED (26)	NOT REHA-BILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
U. S. TOTAL CASELOAD	941,771	589,855	351,916	338,878	202,831	136,047	602,893
SEVERE CASELOAD	654,038	408,533	245,505	236,231	139,794	96,437	417,807
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	69.4	69.3	69.8	69.7	68.9	70.9	69.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	68.2	67.7	69.1	68.4	67.7	69.5	68.2
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL CASELOAD	904,512	566,012	338,500	326,061	193,468	132,593	578,451
SEVERE CASELOAD	621,227	387,591	233,636	224,962	131,629	93,333	396,265
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	68.7	68.5	69.0	69.0	68.0	70.4	68.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	67.5	66.9	68.5	67.7	66.9	69.0	67.4
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL CASELOAD	37,259	23,843	13,416	12,817	9,363	3,454	24,442
SEVERE CASELOAD	32,811	20,942	11,869	11,269	8,165	3,104	21,542
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	88.1	87.8	88.5	87.9	87.2	89.9	88.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	86.9	86.9	86.8	85.4	84.2	88.9	87.6
REGION 1 TOTAL CASELOAD	51,352	32,872	18,480	16,616	8,718	7,898	34,736
SEVERE CASELOAD	41,277	25,747	15,530	13,724	7,201	6,523	27,553
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	80.4	78.3	84.0	82.6	82.6	82.6	79.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	79.5	76.3	85.3	81.9	82.5	81.1	78.3
REGION 2 TOTAL CASELOAD	87,502	54,566	32,936	26,976	15,852	11,124	60,526
SEVERE CASELOAD	53,738	33,254	20,484	17,267	10,314	6,953	36,471
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	61.4	60.9	62.2	64.0	65.1	62.5	60.3
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	60.5	59.3	63.0	60.4	60.7	59.9	60.6
REGION 3 TOTAL CASELOAD	94,119	63,933	30,186	33,574	18,560	15,014	60,545
SEVERE CASELOAD	65,967	44,317	21,650	23,505	12,773	10,732	42,462
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	70.1	69.3	71.7	70.0	68.8	71.5	70.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	69.6	69.7	69.3	70.1	69.7	70.8	69.2
REGION 4 TOTAL CASELOAD	210,397	124,881	85,516	79,298	50,764	28,534	131,099
SEVERE CASELOAD	149,379	90,344	59,035	55,191	34,107	21,084	94,188
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	71.0	72.3	69.0	69.6	67.2	73.9	71.8
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	69.8	71.4	67.7	68.2	66.1	72.1	70.9
REGION 5 TOTAL CASELOAD	150,904	102,616	48,288	53,538	29,914	23,624	97,366
SEVERE CASELOAD	118,495	80,039	38,456	41,362	23,281	18,081	77,133
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	78.5	78.0	79.6	77.3	77.8	76.5	79.2
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	74.8	73.5	77.2	74.8	76.1	73.0	74.9
REGION 6 TOTAL CASELOAD	132,894	82,789	50,105	48,287	29,155	19,132	84,607
SEVERE CASELOAD	94,411	57,679	36,732	35,589	21,571	14,018	58,822
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	71.0	69.7	73.3	73.7	74.0	73.3	69.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	70.6	68.1	74.7	72.5	71.7	73.9	69.6
REGION 7 TOTAL CASELOAD	46,760	28,733	18,027	16,346	10,861	5,485	30,414
SEVERE CASELOAD	27,444	15,654	11,790	10,282	6,852	3,430	17,162
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	58.7	54.5	65.4	62.9	63.1	62.5	56.4
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	56.3	53.3	61.0	61.5	61.6	61.2	53.2

REPORT NO. 18

TOTAL AND SEVERE CASES AND SEVERE AS PERCENT OF TOTAL ACTIVE CASELOAD (STATUSES 10 - 30)
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	ACTIVE CASES IN PERIOD			ACTIVE CASES PROCESSED			
	TOTAL CASES	ON HAND START OF FY	NEW THIS FY	TOTAL CLOSED	REHABILITATED (26)	NOT REHABILITATED (28,30)	CASES ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD
REGION 8 TOTAL CASELOAD	36,808	24,230	12,578	12,785	7,812	4,973	24,023
SEVERE CASELOAD	24,318	16,138	8,180	8,582	5,302	3,280	15,736
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	66.1	66.6	65.0	67.1	67.9	66.0	65.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	65.4	66.0	64.4	65.8	66.1	65.1	65.2
REGION 9 TOTAL CASELOAD	102,776	58,505	44,271	40,439	24,602	15,837	62,337
SEVERE CASELOAD	60,160	34,516	25,644	23,345	13,948	9,397	36,815
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	58.5	59.0	57.9	57.7	56.7	59.3	59.1
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	58.5	58.9	57.9	57.8	56.9	59.5	58.9
REGION 10 TOTAL CASELOAD	28,259	16,730	11,529	11,019	6,593	4,426	17,240
SEVERE CASELOAD	18,849	10,845	8,004	7,384	4,445	2,939	11,465
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL	66.7	64.8	69.4	67.0	67.4	66.4	66.5
PERCENT SEVERE OF TOTAL A YEAR AGO	64.7	64.0	65.8	65.3	66.0	64.2	64.2

REPORT NO. 19

CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
U. S. TOTAL	618,864	351,916	266,948
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	624,596	357,560	267,036
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.9	43.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-0.9	-1.6	0.0
GENERAL AGENCY TOTAL	595,695	338,500	257,195
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	603,058	344,707	258,351
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.8	43.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-1.2	-1.8	-0.4
BLIND AGENCY TOTAL	23,169	13,416	9,753
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	21,538	12,853	8,685
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	57.9	42.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	7.6	4.4	12.3
REGION 1	30,071	18,480	11,591
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	28,593	17,933	10,660
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	61.5	38.5
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	5.2	3.1	8.7
REGION 2	59,231	32,936	26,295
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	52,364	28,053	24,311
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.6	44.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	13.1	17.4	8.2
REGION 3	53,617	30,186	23,431
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	63,739	37,232	26,507
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.3	43.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-15.9	-18.9	-11.6
REGION 4	158,496	85,516	72,980
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	153,184	83,003	70,181
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	54.0	46.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	3.5	3.0	4.0
REGION 5	83,207	48,288	34,919
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	95,435	55,915	39,520
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	58.0	42.0
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-12.8	-13.6	-11.6
REGION 6	77,200	50,105	27,095
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	76,196	49,411	26,785
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	64.9	35.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.3	1.4	1.2
REGION 7	35,482	18,027	17,455
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	35,284	18,065	17,219
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	50.8	49.2
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	0.6	-0.2	1.4

REPORT NO. 19 CASES PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD, ACCEPTANCE RATES AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO
4 QUARTER, FISCAL YEAR 1991

	PROCESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY DURING PERIOD		
	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL ACCEPTED FOR VR (10)	TOTAL NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR (08)
REGION 8	22,502	12,578	9,924
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	24,034	13,524	10,510
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.9	44.1
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	-6.4	-7.0	-5.6
REGION 9	78,228	44,271	33,957
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	75,153	43,305	31,848
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	56.6	43.4
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	4.1	2.2	6.6
REGION 10	20,830	11,529	9,301
ACTUAL LAST YEAR	20,614	11,119	9,495
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	100.0	55.3	44.7
PERCENT CHANGE FROM A YEAR AGO	1.0	3.7	-2.0

D

Characteristics of Persons Rehabilitated and Reasons for Closure

CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED AND REASONS FOR CLOSURE FISCAL YEAR 1990

Listing of Statistical Tables

Section A. Characteristics of persons rehabilitated

Table number	Characteristic
T001	Age at application by region
T002	Age at closure by region
T003	Sex by region
T004	Highest grade completed by region
T005	Race by region
T006	Hispanic origin by region
T007	Marital status by region
T008	Veteran status by region
T009	Major disabling condition (summary and detail) by region
T010	Secondary disabling condition (summary and detail) by region
T011	Causes of visual impairments by region
T012	Causes of hearing impairments by region
T013	Causes of orthopedic impairments by region
T014	Causes of amputations by region
T015	Special disability categories by region
T016	Work status at application by region
T017	Earnings in the week before application by region
T018	Hours worked in the week before application by region
T019	Hourly wage rate at application by region
T020	Federal minimum wage rate attainment at application for whole cohort by region

Table number	Characteristic
T021	Federal minimum wage rate attainment at application for workers only by region
T022	Primary source of support at application by region
T023	Public support during VR by region
T024	Public assistance during VR by region
T025	Type of institution resided in at application by region
T026	Monthly amount of public assistance at application by region
T029	Sources of referral (summary and detail) by region
T030	Case service cost-total by region
T031	Service provided by region
T032	How services provided by region
T033	Counseling & guidance by region
T034	Sources furnishing services by region
T035	Public and private sources furnishing services by region
T036	Months in VR from application to closure by region
T037	Special program categories by region
T038	Supported employment status by region
T039	Previous closure status by region
T040	Work status at closure by region
T041	Occupation at closure (summary and detail) by region
T042	Earnings in the week before closure by region
T043	Hours worked in the week before closure by region
T044	Hourly wage rate at closure by region
T045	Federal minimum wage rate attainment at closure for whole cohort by region

Table number	Characteristic
T046	Federal minimum wage rate attainment at closure for workers only by region
T047	Supported employment outcome by region
T048	Primary source of support at closure by region
T049	Monthly amount of public assistance at closure by region
T052	Economic gains during VR by region
Figure 1.	Age at application of persons rehabilitated in FY 1990
Figure 2.	Major disabling conditions of persons rehabilitated in FY 1990
Figure 3.	Cost of case services provided to persons rehabilitated in FY 1990
Figure 4A.	Type of services provided to persons rehabilitated in FY 1990
Figure 4B.	Type of training services provided to persons rehabilitated in FY 1990
Figure 5.	Economic gains during VR for persons rehabilitated in FY 1990
Figure 6.	Occupation at closure of persons rehabilitated in FY 1990

Section B. Reasons for closure

Table number	Title
T054	Reasons for closure not accepted by region
T055	Reasons for closure not rehabilitated by region
Figure 7.	Reasons for closure for persons not accepted for services in FY 1990
Figure 8.	Reasons for closure for persons not rehabilitated in FY 1990

374

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T001 : AGE AT APPLICATION BY REGION

AGE AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	213,932	8,931	17,310	23,226	49,894	32,177	29,363	11,670	7,934	26,176	7,251
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
UNDER 18 YEARS......	15,915	543	1,313	1,523	5,754	2,340	1,800	955	436	1,109	142
COL %.............	7.4%	6.1%	7.6%	6.6%	11.5%	7.3%	6.1%	8.2%	5.5%	4.2%	2.0%
18-19 YEARS.........	20,265	682	1,620	2,061	4,607	3,393	3,311	1,532	602	1,982	475
COL %.............	9.5%	7.6%	9.4%	8.9%	9.2%	10.5%	11.3%	13.1%	7.6%	7.6%	6.6%
20-24 YEARS.........	28,385	1,232	2,543	2,987	6,423	3,919	4,232	1,634	1,179	3,218	1,018
COL %.............	13.3%	13.8%	14.7%	12.9%	12.9%	12.2%	14.4%	14.0%	14.9%	12.3%	14.0%
25-34 YEARS.........	60,920	2,564	4,375	6,009	14,056	8,585	8,320	3,243	2,612	8,816	2,340
COL %.............	28.5%	28.7%	25.3%	25.9%	28.2%	26.7%	28.3%	27.8%	32.9%	33.7%	32.3%
35-44 YEARS.........	44,764	1,762	3,338	4,548	10,104	6,495	5,915	2,304	1,745	6,468	2,085
COL %.............	20.9%	19.7%	19.3%	19.6%	20.3%	20.2%	20.1%	19.7%	22.0%	24.7%	28.8%
45-54 YEARS.........	23,711	909	1,937	2,674	5,701	3,596	3,087	1,163	832	2,908	904
COL %.............	11.1%	10.2%	11.2%	11.5%	11.4%	11.2%	10.5%	10.0%	10.5%	11.1%	12.5%
55-64 YEARS.........	12,562	562	1,281	1,897	2,807	2,057	1,862	535	329	990	242
COL %.............	5.9%	6.3%	7.4%	8.2%	5.6%	6.4%	6.3%	4.6%	4.1%	3.8%	3.3%
65 YEARS AND OVER...	7,410	677	903	1,527	442	1,792	836	304	199	685	45
COL %.............	3.5%	7.6%	5.2%	6.6%	0.9%	5.6%	2.8%	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%	0.6%
MEAN AGE AT APP.....	33.9	35.9	34.9	36.1	32.1	35.0	33.5	32.2	33.2	34.0	33.9
MEDIAN AGE AT APP...	31.0	32.0	32.0	33.0	30.0	32.0	31.0	30.0	31.0	32.0	33.0
STANDARD DEVIATION..	14.2	16.1	15.5	16.0	12.8	15.6	14.0	13.5	12.8	12.7	10.9
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	41.8	44.7	44.3	44.2	39.7	44.4	41.8	42.1	38.7	37.3	32.1

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T002 : AGE AT CLOSURE BY REGION

AGE AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	213,887	8,981	17,341	23,230	49,944	32,204	29,402	11,680	7,980	25,881	7,244
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
UNDER 18 YEARS......	940	14	32	41	532	87	59	27	20	124	4
COL %.............	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	1.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
18-19 YEARS.........	12,417	294	552	1,055	4,471	1,805	1,539	734	277	1,526	164
COL %.............	5.8%	3.3%	3.2%	4.5%	9.0%	5.6%	5.2%	6.3%	3.5%	5.9%	2.3%
20-24 YEARS.........	39,241	1,525	3,550	4,220	9,505	5,862	5,890	2,688	1,315	3,598	1,088
COL %.............	18.3%	17.0%	20.5%	18.2%	19.0%	18.2%	20.0%	23.0%	16.5%	13.9%	15.0%
25-34 YEARS.........	63,003	2,671	4,867	6,247	14,501	8,863	8,928	3,348	2,694	8,626	2,258
COL %.............	29.5%	29.7%	28.1%	26.9%	29.0%	27.5%	30.4%	28.7%	33.8%	33.3%	31.2%
35-44 YEARS.........	49,253	2,045	3,630	5,015	10,989	7,217	6,538	2,602	2,048	6,900	2,269
COL %.............	23.0%	22.8%	20.9%	21.6%	22.0%	22.4%	22.2%	22.3%	25.7%	26.7%	31.3%
45-54 YEARS.........	26,503	1,066	2,244	2,934	6,069	4,117	3,415	1,341	1,005	3,220	1,092
COL %.............	12.4%	11.9%	12.9%	12.6%	12.2%	12.8%	11.6%	11.5%	12.6%	12.4%	15.1%
55-64 YEARS.........	14,087	625	1,419	2,002	3,233	2,305	2,040	611	398	1,143	313
COL %.............	6.6%	7.0%	8.2%	8.6%	6.5%	7.2%	6.9%	5.2%	5.0%	4.4%	4.3%
65 YEARS AND OVER...	8,443	741	1,047	1,716	644	1,950	993	329	223	744	56
COL %.............	3.9%	8.3%	6.0%	7.4%	1.3%	6.1%	3.4%	2.8%	2.8%	2.9%	0.8%
MEAN AGE AT CLO.....	35.7	38.0	37.1	37.9	33.8	37.0	35.3	34.1	35.4	35.3	35.7
MEDIAN AGE AT CLO...	33.0	34.0	34.0	35.0	32.0	34.0	32.0	31.0	33.0	33.0	35.0
STANDARD DEVIATION..	13.8	15.9	15.0	15.5	12.5	15.2	13.7	13.2	12.8	11.9	10.7
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	38.7	41.8	40.5	41.0	37.1	41.2	38.8	38.6	36.2	33.8	29.9

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T003 : SEX BY REGION

SEX	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,833	9,028	17,381	23,258	49,988	32,312	29,438	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
MALE.................	120,406	4,852	9,359	12,593	27,794	17,850	16,371	6,484	4,664	16,275	4,164
COL %.............	56.0%	53.7%	53.8%	54.1%	55.6%	55.2%	55.6%	55.5%	56.3%	62.1%	57.4%
FEMALE...............	94,427	4,176	8,022	10,665	22,194	14,462	13,067	5,208	3,617	9,924	3,092
COL %.............	44.0%	46.3%	46.2%	45.9%	44.4%	44.8%	44.4%	44.5%	43.7%	37.9%	42.6%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T004 : RACE BY REGION

RACE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,658	9,028	17,368	23,255	49,975	32,175	29,437	11,692	8,278	26,196	7,254
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
WHITE................	172,698	8,544	14,381	18,510	33,553	28,074	23,958	10,684	7,801	20,437	6,756
COL %.............	80.5%	94.6%	82.8%	79.6%	67.1%	87.3%	81.4%	91.4%	94.2%	78.0%	93.1%
BLACK................	37,805	433	2,850	4,583	16,057	3,532	5,054	919	216	3,939	222
COL %.............	17.6%	4.8%	16.4%	19.7%	32.1%	11.0%	17.2%	7.9%	2.6%	15.0%	3.1%
AM INDIAN/AL NATIVE.	1,411	13	31	25	236	154	267	44	209	240	192
COL %.............	0.7%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.9%	0.4%	2.5%	0.9%	2.6%
ASIAN/PAC. ISLANDER.	2,744	38	106	137	129	415	158	45	52	1,580	84
COL %.............	1.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%	1.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	6.0%	1.2%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T005 : HISPANIC ORIGIN BY REGION

HISPANIC ORIGIN	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,761	9,010	17,381	23,256	49,985	32,310	29,438	11,692	8,279	26,157	7,253
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
HISPANIC ORIGIN.....	17,293	275	4,108	391	1,117	590	4,994	147	824	4,599	248
COL %.............	8.1%	3.1%	23.6%	1.7%	2.2%	1.8%	17.0%	1.3%	10.0%	17.6%	3.4%
NOT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN...........	197,468	8,735	13,273	22,865	48,868	31,720	24,444	11,545	7,455	21,558	7,005
COL %.............	91.9%	96.9%	76.4%	98.3%	97.8%	98.2%	83.0%	98.7%	90.0%	82.4%	96.6%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T006 : VETERAN STATUS BY REGION

VETERAN STATUS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,869	9,029	17,381	23,258	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,195	7,256
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NON-VETERAN.........	204,759	8,735	16,894	21,998	48,902	30,236	28,147	11,142	7,838	24,169	6,698
COL %.............	95.3%	96.7%	97.2%	94.6%	97.8%	93.5%	95.6%	95.3%	94.7%	92.3%	92.3%
VETERAN.............	10,110	294	487	1,260	1,088	2,112	1,292	550	443	2,026	558
COL %.............	4.7%	3.3%	2.8%	5.4%	2.2%	6.5%	4.4%	4.7%	5.3%	7.7%	7.7%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T007 : HIGHEST GRADE COMPLETED BY REGION

HIGHEST GRADE COMPLETED	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	211,661	8,930	16,861	23,177	49,634	32,063	27,778	11,630	8,205	26,187	7,196
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO GRADES COMPLETED.	1,215	88	90	232	188	128	248	9	84	109	39
COL %.............	0.6%	1.0%	0.5%	1.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%	1.0%	0.4%	0.5%
1-7 YEARS COMPLETED.	8,184	251	965	909	2,634	564	1,901	201	144	507	108
COL %.............	3.9%	2.8%	5.7%	3.9%	5.3%	1.8%	6.8%	1.7%	1.8%	1.9%	1.5%
8 YEARS COMPLETED...	7,553	341	520	1,197	2,546	929	959	352	200	384	125
COL %.............	3.6%	3.8%	3.1%	5.2%	5.1%	2.9%	3.5%	3.0%	2.4%	1.5%	1.7%
9-11 YEARS COMPLETED	39,586	1,402	2,441	4,902	11,271	5,099	5,329	1,990	1,261	5,003	888
COL %.............	18.7%	15.7%	14.5%	21.2%	22.7%	15.9%	19.2%	17.1%	15.4%	19.1%	12.3%
12 YEARS COMPLETED..	81,368	3,491	5,701	9,897	17,117	12,613	11,484	4,524	3,308	10,216	3,017
COL %.............	38.4%	39.1%	33.8%	42.7%	34.5%	39.3%	41.3%	38.9%	40.3%	39.0%	41.9%
13+ YEARS COMPLETED.	38,680	2,038	3,282	2,787	6,685	6,281	5,224	1,840	1,921	6,792	1,830
COL %.............	18.3%	22.8%	19.5%	12.0%	13.5%	19.6%	18.8%	15.8%	23.4%	25.9%	25.4%
SPECIAL EDUCATION...	35,075	1,319	3,862	3,253	9,193	6,449	2,633	2,714	1,287	3,176	1,189
COL %.............	16.6%	14.8%	22.9%	14.0%	18.5%	20.1%	9.5%	23.3%	15.7%	12.1%	16.5%
MEAN HIGHEST GRADE..	11.6	11.9	11.6	11.3	11.2	11.9	11.3	11.8	11.9	12.1	12.2
STANDARD DEVIATION..	2.5	2.7	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.8	1.9	2.4	2.2	2.4
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	21.8	22.6	25.6	22.2	22.5	19.0	24.8	16.5	20.2	18.5	19.4

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T008 : MARITAL STATUS BY REGION

MARITAL STATUS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	210,396	8,972	13,635	23,257	49,984	32,338	29,430	11,061	8,270	26,194	7,255
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
MARRIED.............	57,969	2,241	3,252	6,501	13,802	9,089	9,290	3,264	2,582	5,804	2,144
COL %.............	27.6%	25.0%	23.9%	28.0%	27.6%	28.1%	31.6%	29.5%	31.2%	22.2%	29.6%
WIDOWED.............	8,073	479	564	1,421	1,521	1,545	1,163	359	222	672	127
COL %.............	3.8%	5.3%	4.1%	6.1%	3.0%	4.8%	4.0%	3.2%	2.7%	2.6%	1.8%
DIVORCED............	30,190	1,052	1,211	2,699	7,210	4,303	4,566	1,545	1,545	4,606	1,453
COL %.............	14.3%	11.7%	8.9%	11.6%	14.4%	13.3%	15.5%	14.0%	18.7%	17.6%	20.0%
SEPARATED...........	12,132	324	604	1,351	3,446	1,118	2,057	493	586	1,761	392
COL %.............	5.8%	3.6%	4.4%	5.8%	6.9%	3.5%	7.0%	4.5%	7.1%	6.7%	5.4%
NEVER MARRIED.......	102,032	4,876	8,004	11,285	24,005	16,283	12,354	5,400	3,335	13,351	3,139
COL %.............	48.5%	54.3%	58.7%	48.5%	48.0%	50.4%	42.0%	48.8%	40.3%	51.0%	43.3%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T009 : MAJOR DISABLING CONDITION (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

MAJOR DISABLING CONDITION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,059	8,899	17,312	23,208	49,713	32,233	29,428	11,594	8,254	26,197	7,221
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS..	18,206	627	2,301	1,387	3,973	3,572	3,053	578	632	1,743	340
COL %.............	8.5%	7.0%	13.3%	6.0%	8.0%	11.1%	10.4%	5.0%	7.7%	6.7%	4.7%
BLINDNESS.........	9,401	474	1,157	647	1,346	2,251	1,448	307	295	1,240	236
COL %.............	4.4%	5.3%	6.7%	2.8%	2.7%	7.0%	4.9%	2.6%	3.6%	4.7%	3.3%
OTHER VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS...	8,805	153	1,144	740	2,627	1,321	1,605	271	337	503	104
COL %.............	4.1%	1.7%	6.6%	3.2%	5.3%	4.1%	5.5%	2.3%	4.1%	1.9%	1.4%
HEARING IMPAIRMENTS.	19,335	1,564	1,830	3,252	3,068	3,123	2,466	1,219	603	1,735	475
COL %.............	9.0%	17.6%	10.6%	14.0%	6.2%	9.7%	8.4%	10.5%	7.3%	6.6%	6.6%
DEAF..............	6,820	519	701	767	1,140	1,126	863	395	208	852	249
COL %.............	3.2%	5.8%	4.0%	3.3%	2.3%	3.5%	2.9%	3.4%	2.5%	3.3%	3.4%
PRE-LINGUAL.....	5,462	352	575	566	921	953	718	288	166	718	205
COL %.............	2.6%	4.0%	3.3%	2.4%	1.9%	3.0%	2.4%	2.5%	2.0%	2.7%	2.8%
PRE-VOCATIONAL..	737	70	65	110	139	93	75	53	28	80	24
COL %.............	0.3%	0.8%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
POST-VOCATIONAL.	621	97	61	91	80	80	70	54	14	54	20
COL %.............	0.3%	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
HARD OF HEARING..	12,515	1,045	1,129	2,485	1,928	1,997	1,603	824	395	883	226
COL %.............	5.8%	11.7%	6.5%	10.7%	3.9%	6.2%	5.4%	7.1%	4.8%	3.4%	3.1%
PRE-LINGUAL.....	3,277	186	226	425	595	604	477	229	108	325	102
COL %.............	1.5%	2.1%	1.3%	1.8%	1.2%	1.9%	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%	1.2%	1.4%
PRE-VOCATIONAL..	2,387	152	180	428	429	380	346	156	65	201	50
COL %.............	1.1%	1.7%	1.0%	1.8%	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%	1.3%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
POST-VOCATIONAL.	6,851	707	723	1,632	904	1,013	780	439	222	357	74
COL %.............	3.2%	7.9%	4.2%	7.0%	1.8%	3.1%	2.7%	3.8%	2.7%	1.4%	1.0%
ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS......	44,631	1,635	3,173	5,166	8,069	6,726	7,411	2,967	2,133	4,848	2,503
COL %.............	20.8%	18.4%	18.3%	22.3%	16.2%	20.9%	25.2%	25.6%	25.8%	18.5%	34.7%
1 OR BOTH UPPER LIMBS.........	5,987	195	370	632	1,302	830	854	458	314	691	341
COL %.............	2.8%	2.2%	2.1%	2.7%	2.6%	2.6%	2.9%	4.0%	3.8%	2.6%	4.7%
1 OR BOTH LOWER LIMBS.........	12,207	393	852	1,537	2,560	1,648	2,072	786	503	1,276	580
COL %.............	5.7%	4.4%	4.9%	6.6%	5.1%	5.1%	7.0%	6.8%	6.1%	4.9%	8.0%
3 OR 4 LIMBS.....	5,960	300	509	744	857	1,202	783	346	232	672	315
COL %.............	2.8%	3.4%	2.9%	3.2%	1.7%	3.7%	2.7%	3.0%	2.8%	2.6%	4.4%
1 UPPER AND 1 LOWER LIMB....	2,749	155	263	416	374	481	422	131	102	295	110
COL %.............	1.3%	1.7%	1.5%	1.8%	0.8%	1.5%	1.4%	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	1.5%
OTHER ORTHO IMPAIR........	17,728	592	1,179	1,837	2,976	2,565	3,280	1,246	982	1,914	1,157
COL %.............	8.3%	6.7%	6.8%	7.9%	6.0%	8.0%	11.1%	10.7%	11.9%	7.3%	16.0%
ABSENCE OF LIMBS....	3,591	87	286	656	701	588	594	248	90	249	92
COL %.............	1.7%	1.0%	1.7%	2.8%	1.4%	1.8%	2.0%	2.1%	1.1%	1.0%	1.3%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T009 : MAJOR DISABLING CONDITION (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

MAJOR DISABLING CONDITION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
ABSENCE OF 1 OR BOTH LOWER....	2,648	53	201	546	523	416	472	177	47	154	59
COL %.............	1.2%	0.6%	1.2%	2.4%	1.1%	1.3%	1.6%	1.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.8%
ALL OTHER ABSENCES......	943	34	85	110	178	172	122	71	43	95	33
COL %.............	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%
MENTAL ILLNESS......	34,681	1,496	2,783	3,067	10,160	4,743	4,415	1,536	1,798	3,676	1,007
COL %.............	16.2%	16.8%	16.1%	13.2%	20.4%	14.7%	15.0%	13.2%	21.8%	14.0%	13.9%
PSYCHOTIC DISORDERS.....	11,242	625	1,310	1,453	2,331	1,806	1,120	725	344	1,171	357
COL %.............	5.3%	7.0%	7.6%	6.3%	4.7%	5.6%	3.8%	6.3%	4.2%	4.5%	4.9%
NEUROTIC DISORDERS.....	12,306	451	1,073	915	2,632	1,786	2,157	422	977	1,600	293
COL %.............	5.7%	5.1%	6.2%	3.9%	5.3%	5.5%	7.3%	3.6%	11.8%	6.1%	4.1%
AUTISM...........	123	11	3	21	21	21	15	6	2	19	4
COL %.............	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.1%
OTHER MENTAL ILLNESS.......	11,010	409	397	678	5,176	1,130	1,123	383	475	886	353
COL %.............	5.1%	4.6%	2.3%	2.9%	10.4%	3.5%	3.8%	3.3%	5.8%	3.4%	4.9%
SUBSTANCE ABUSE.....	23,846	1,119	1,397	1,717	5,828	1,734	3,136	791	751	6,766	607
COL %.............	11.1%	12.6%	8.1%	7.4%	11.7%	5.4%	10.7%	6.8%	9.1%	25.8%	8.4%
ALCOHOLISM.......	13,943	872	759	900	3,497	1,253	1,499	573	548	3,554	488
COL %.............	6.5%	9.8%	4.4%	3.9%	7.0%	3.9%	5.1%	4.9%	6.6%	13.6%	6.8%
DRUG ABUSE.......	9,903	247	638	817	2,331	481	1,637	218	203	3,212	119
COL %.............	4.6%	2.8%	3.7%	3.5%	4.7%	1.5%	5.6%	1.9%	2.5%	12.3%	1.6%
MENTAL RETARDATION..	30,236	1,123	3,358	2,765	7,855	5,527	2,316	2,352	1,079	2,862	999
COL %.............	14.1%	12.6%	19.4%	11.9%	15.8%	17.1%	7.9%	20.3%	13.1%	10.9%	13.8%
MILD.............	16,327	706	1,695	1,494	5,368	2,749	727	994	642	1,306	646
COL %.............	7.6%	7.9%	9.8%	6.4%	10.8%	8.5%	2.5%	8.6%	7.8%	5.0%	8.9%
MODERATE.........	11,312	344	1,339	993	2,176	2,302	1,234	1,003	365	1,263	293
COL %.............	5.3%	3.9%	7.7%	4.3%	4.4%	7.1%	4.2%	8.7%	4.4%	4.8%	4.1%
SEVERE...........	2,597	73	324	278	311	476	355	355	72	293	60
COL %.............	1.2%	0.8%	1.9%	1.2%	0.6%	1.5%	1.2%	3.1%	0.9%	1.1%	0.8%
RESPIRATORY DISORDERS........	813	31	61	106	178	126	93	42	39	102	35
COL %.............	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%
DIGESTIVE DISORDERS.	3,405	43	165	609	1,206	269	651	116	100	176	70
COL %.............	1.6%	0.5%	1.0%	2.6%	2.4%	0.8%	2.2%	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%	1.0%
ULCER............	114	1	5	15	51	4	12	2	5	14	5
COL %.............	0.1%	>0	>0	0.1%	0.1%	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
HERNIA...........	877	4	17	136	447	32	174	21	11	27	8
COL %.............	0.4%	>0	0.1%	0.6%	0.9%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
DENTAL CONDITIONS	1,214	21	116	271	175	130	261	64	62	78	36
COL %.............	0.6%	0.2%	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%	0.5%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T009 : MAJOR DISABLING CONDITION (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

MAJOR DISABLING CONDITION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
OTHER DIGESTIVE CONDITIONS....	1,200	17	27	187	533	103	204	29	22	57	21
COL %............	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%	1.1%	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
HEART/CIRCULATORY...	4,893	123	246	930	1,291	1,215	340	181	91	378	98
COL %............	2.3%	1.4%	1.4%	4.0%	2.6%	3.8%	1.2%	1.6%	1.1%	1.4%	1.4%
HEART CONDITIONS.	4,058	106	190	793	1,011	1,126	267	138	65	283	79
COL %............	1.9%	1.2%	1.1%	3.4%	2.0%	3.5%	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%	1.1%	1.1%
CIRCULATORY CONDITIONS....	835	17	56	137	280	89	73	43	26	95	19
COL %............	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
GENITOURINARY.......	2,639	22	66	345	1,357	147	467	70	32	83	50
COL %............	1.2%	0.2%	0.4%	1.5%	2.7%	0.5%	1.6%	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%	0.7%
END STAGE RENAL FAILURE.......	415	15	36	40	69	62	79	14	15	56	29
COL %............	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%
OTHER GENITOURINARY.	2,224	7	30	305	1,288	85	388	56	17	27	21
COL %............	1.0%	0.1%	0.2%	1.3%	2.6%	0.3%	1.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%
LEARNING DISABILITIES.....	12,279	496	705	1,189	2,771	2,375	1,191	515	432	2,263	342
COL %............	5.7%	5.6%	4.1%	5.1%	5.6%	7.4%	4.0%	4.4%	5.2%	8.6%	4.7%
ALL OTHER DISABILITIES.....	15,504	533	941	2,019	3,256	2,088	3,295	979	474	1,316	603
COL %............	7.2%	6.0%	5.4%	8.7%	6.5%	6.5%	11.2%	8.4%	5.7%	5.0%	8.4%
ASTHMA/HAY FEVER.	872	26	50	154	136	122	145	112	31	60	36
COL %............	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	1.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%
DIABETES MELLITUS	2,242	69	105	389	539	326	304	222	96	146	46
COL %............	1.0%	0.8%	0.6%	1.7%	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.9%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%
EPILEPSY.........	3,126	103	296	327	577	515	532	220	133	291	132
COL %............	1.5%	1.2%	1.7%	1.4%	1.2%	1.6%	1.8%	1.9%	1.6%	1.1%	1.8%
SPEECH IMPAIRMENTS...	810	42	92	150	148	120	105	35	22	79	17
COL %............	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
SKIN CONDITIONS..	495	18	29	59	162	55	73	22	13	46	18
COL %............	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
ALL OTHER CONDITIONS....	7,959	275	369	940	1,694	950	2,136	368	179	694	354
COL %............	3.7%	3.1%	2.1%	4.1%	3.4%	2.9%	7.3%	3.2%	2.2%	2.6%	4.9%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T010 : SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,145	8,994	17,364	23,200	49,601	32,346	29,368	11,688	8,152	26,190	7,242
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO SECONDARY DISABILITY.......	129,451	5,188	11,175	15,043	31,318	19,754	16,682	7,328	4,396	15,048	3,519
COL %.............	60.5%	57.7%	64.4%	64.8%	63.1%	61.1%	56.8%	62.7%	53.9%	57.5%	48.6%
WITH SECONDARY DISABILITY.......	84,694	3,806	6,189	8,157	18,283	12,592	12,686	4,360	3,756	11,142	3,723
COL %.............	39.5%	42.3%	35.6%	35.2%	36.9%	38.9%	43.2%	37.3%	46.1%	42.5%	51.4%
VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS..	3,714	136	480	543	699	453	492	196	142	421	152
COL %.............	1.7%	1.5%	2.8%	2.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	2.1%
BLINDNESS.........	239	20	8	29	46	41	19	1	11	52	12
COL %.............	0.1%	0.2%	>0	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
OTHER VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS...	3,475	116	472	514	653	412	473	195	131	369	140
COL %.............	1.6%	1.3%	2.7%	2.2%	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	1.4%	1.9%
HEARING IMPAIRMENTS.	2,537	126	215	298	345	476	314	192	120	334	117
COL %.............	1.2%	1.4%	1.2%	1.3%	0.7%	1.5%	1.1%	1.6%	1.5%	1.3%	1.6%
DEAF.............	421	27	42	39	52	80	56	34	10	53	28
COL %.............	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%
PRE-LINGUAL.....	246	17	31	18	31	58	31	19	4	28	9
COL %.............	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	>0	0.1%	0.1%
PRE-VOCATIONAL..	80	5	4	10	7	11	9	8	5	11	10
COL %.............	>0	0.1%	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%
POST-VOCATIONAL.	95	5	7	11	14	11	16	7	1	14	9
COL %.............	>0	0.1%	>0	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.1%
HARD OF HEARING..	2,116	99	173	259	293	396	258	158	110	281	89
COL %.............	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%	1.1%	0.6%	1.2%	0.9%	1.4%	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%
PRE-LINGUAL.....	488	16	47	53	74	91	74	31	26	62	14
COL %.............	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
PRE-VOCATIONAL..	504	23	43	56	76	84	59	41	23	76	23
COL %.............	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
POST-VOCATIONAL.	1,124	60	83	150	143	221	125	86	61	143	52
COL %.............	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%
ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS......	12,204	544	844	1,215	2,046	2,024	1,478	788	535	1,872	858
COL %.............	5.7%	6.0%	4.9%	5.2%	4.1%	6.3%	5.0%	6.7%	6.6%	7.1%	11.8%
1 OR BOTH UPPER LIMBS.........	1,927	62	126	170	355	272	261	153	70	299	159
COL %.............	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	1.3%	0.9%	1.1%	2.2%
1 OR BOTH LOWER LIMBS.........	3,343	147	221	358	610	520	448	212	127	466	234
COL %.............	1.6%	1.6%	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	1.6%	1.5%	1.8%	1.6%	1.8%	3.2%
3 OR 4 LIMBS.....	1,202	54	107	116	195	270	115	75	58	153	59
COL %.............	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.8%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T010 : SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
1 UPPER AND 1 LOWER LIMB....	780	52	76	97	117	148	63	41	38	112	36
COL %............	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%
OTHER ORTHO IMPAIR........	4,952	229	314	474	769	814	591	307	242	842	370
COL %............	2.3%	2.5%	1.8%	2.0%	1.6%	2.5%	2.0%	2.6%	3.0%	3.2%	5.1%
ABSENCE OF LIMBS....	524	29	34	69	85	99	65	34	17	68	24
COL %............	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
ABSENCE OF 1 OR BOTH LOWER....	248	16	15	41	42	49	36	19	3	20	7
COL %............	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	>0	0.1%	0.1%
ALL OTHER ABSENCES......	276	13	19	28	43	50	29	15	14	48	17
COL %............	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
MENTAL ILLNESS......	20,223	853	1,101	1,315	5,148	2,902	3,928	995	1,265	1,880	836
COL %............	9.4%	9.5%	6.3%	5.7%	10.4%	9.0%	13.4%	8.5%	15.5%	7.2%	11.5%
PSYCHOTIC DISORDERS.....	1,796	86	144	173	381	287	286	131	73	157	78
COL %............	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%	1.1%
NEUROTIC DISORDERS.....	6,790	283	487	417	1,297	1,097	1,456	227	468	812	246
COL %............	3.2%	3.1%	2.8%	1.8%	2.6%	3.4%	5.0%	1.9%	5.7%	3.1%	3.4%
AUTISM...........	42	6	0	7	5	9	5	2	2	4	2
COL %............	>0	0.1%	0.0%	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0
OTHER MENTAL ILLNESS.......	11,595	478	470	718	3,465	1,509	2,181	635	722	907	510
COL %............	5.4%	5.3%	2.7%	3.1%	7.0%	4.7%	7.4%	5.4%	8.9%	3.5%	7.0%
SUBSTANCE ABUSE.....	11,784	671	626	1,010	2,414	1,092	1,574	459	433	3,070	435
COL %............	5.5%	7.5%	3.6%	4.4%	4.9%	3.4%	5.4%	3.9%	5.3%	11.7%	6.0%
ALCOHOLISM.......	5,980	298	328	560	1,393	688	797	246	265	1,133	272
COL %............	2.8%	3.3%	1.9%	2.4%	2.8%	2.1%	2.7%	2.1%	3.3%	4.3%	3.8%
DRUG ABUSE.......	5,804	373	298	450	1,021	404	777	213	168	1,937	163
COL %............	2.7%	4.1%	1.7%	1.9%	2.1%	1.2%	2.6%	1.8%	2.1%	7.4%	2.3%
MENTAL RETARDATION..	4,865	201	507	491	1,346	924	318	363	208	315	192
COL %............	2.3%	2.2%	2.9%	2.1%	2.7%	2.9%	1.1%	3.1%	2.6%	1.2%	2.7%
MILD............	3,858	169	390	382	1,257	713	137	244	172	243	151
COL %............	1.8%	1.9%	2.2%	1.6%	2.5%	2.2%	0.5%	2.1%	2.1%	0.9%	2.1%
MODERATE.........	860	27	107	92	79	176	160	94	27	64	34
COL %............	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%
SEVERE..........	147	5	10	17	10	35	21	25	9	8	7
COL %............	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	>0	0.1%
RESPIRATORY DISORDERS........	1,016	59	75	130	222	173	121	44	23	123	46
COL %............	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T010 : SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
DIGESTIVE DISORDERS.	1,884	59	145	267	512	180	311	77	63	190	80
COL %............	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%	0.6%	1.1%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%	1.1%
ULCER............	218	3	10	28	66	27	18	6	7	44	9
COL %............	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
HERNIA...........	266	3	8	23	126	22	36	9	7	25	7
COL %............	0.1%	>0	>0	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
DENTAL CONDITIONS	699	12	82	147	138	54	151	23	23	36	33
COL %............	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%
OTHER DIGESTIVE CONDITIONS....	701	41	45	69	182	77	106	39	26	85	31
COL %............	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
HEART/CIRCULATORY...	5,178	260	477	673	1,233	876	642	190	153	525	149
COL %............	2.4%	2.9%	2.7%	2.9%	2.5%	2.7%	2.2%	1.6%	1.9%	2.0%	2.1%
HEART CONDITIONS.	2,916	177	302	384	567	583	344	122	81	274	82
COL %............	1.4%	2.0%	1.7%	1.7%	1.1%	1.8%	1.2%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%
CIRCULATORY CONDITIONS....	2,262	83	175	289	666	293	298	68	72	251	67
COL %............	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	1.2%	1.3%	0.9%	1.0%	0.6%	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%
GENITOURINARY.......	709	22	48	56	306	64	66	40	19	69	19
COL %............	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
END STAGE RENAL FAILURE.......	80	3	6	9	11	11	17	5	2	14	2
COL %............	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	>0	>0	0.1%	>0
OTHER GENITOURINARY.	629	19	42	47	295	53	49	35	17	55	17
COL %............	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
LEARNING DISABILITIES.....	3,540	146	236	330	837	582	412	127	203	456	211
COL %............	1.7%	1.6%	1.4%	1.4%	1.7%	1.8%	1.4%	1.1%	2.5%	1.7%	2.9%
ALL OTHER DISABILITIES.....	16,516	700	1,401	1,760	3,090	2,747	2,965	855	575	1,819	604
COL %............	7.7%	7.8%	8.1%	7.6%	6.2%	8.5%	10.1%	7.3%	7.1%	6.9%	8.3%
ASTHMA/HAY FEVER.	756	46	73	104	126	116	69	47	19	125	31
COL %............	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	0.4%
DIABETES MELLITUS	4,981	179	436	605	1,173	798	984	186	132	384	104
COL %............	2.3%	2.0%	2.5%	2.6%	2.4%	2.5%	3.4%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%
EPILEPSY.........	2,611	161	305	300	316	566	238	173	130	302	120
COL %............	1.2%	1.8%	1.8%	1.3%	0.6%	1.7%	0.8%	1.5%	1.6%	1.2%	1.7%
SPEECH IMPAIRMENTS...	1,632	82	221	175	206	299	168	68	84	280	49
COL %............	0.8%	0.9%	1.3%	0.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.6%	1.0%	1.1%	0.7%
SKIN CONDITIONS..	361	7	23	47	112	43	40	24	13	37	15
COL %............	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T010 : SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

SECONDARY DISABLING CONDITION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
ALL OTHER CONDITIONS....	6,175	225	343	529	1,157	925	1,466	357	197	691	285
COL %.............	2.9%	2.5%	2.0%	2.3%	2.3%	2.9%	5.0%	3.1%	2.4%	2.6%	3.9%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T011 : CAUSES OF VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS BY REGION

CAUSES OF VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	18,206	627	2,301	1,387	3,973	3,572	3,053	578	632	1,743	340
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CATARACT............	3,377	41	308	262	1,204	361	879	74	89	142	17
COL %.............	18.5%	6.5%	13.4%	18.9%	30.3%	10.1%	28.8%	12.8%	14.1%	8.1%	5.0%
GLAUCOMA............	1,339	34	150	105	372	187	305	33	21	115	17
COL %.............	7.4%	5.4%	6.5%	7.6%	9.4%	5.2%	10.0%	5.7%	3.3%	6.6%	5.0%
ALL OTHER DISEASES..	8,574	372	936	537	1,486	2,192	1,232	257	319	1,058	185
COL %.............	47.1%	59.3%	40.7%	38.7%	37.4%	61.4%	40.4%	44.5%	50.5%	60.7%	54.4%
CONGENITAL CONDITIONS.......	2,292	106	259	228	426	519	311	109	95	171	68
COL %.............	12.6%	16.9%	11.3%	16.4%	10.7%	14.5%	10.2%	18.9%	15.0%	9.8%	20.0%
ACCIDENTS/INJURIES..	1,196	37	98	129	299	154	[illegible]	63	51	141	34
COL %.............	6.6%	5.9%	4.3%	9.3%	7.5%	4.3%	[illegible]	10.9%	8.1%	8.1%	10.0%
ALL OTHER CAUSES....	1,428	37	550	126	186	159	136	42	57	116	19
COL %.............	7.8%	5.9%	23.9%	9.1%	4.7%	4.5%	4.5%	7.3%	9.0%	6.7%	5.6%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T012 : CAUSES OF HEARING IMPAIRMENTS BY REGION

CAUSES OF HEARING IMPAIRMENTS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	19,335	1,564	1,830	3,252	3,068	3,123	2,466	1,219	603	1,735	475
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CONGENITAL CONDITIONS.......	6,113	355	590	667	1,088	1,121	771	329	174	773	245
COL %.............	31.6%	22.7%	32.2%	20.5%	35.5%	35.9%	31.3%	27.0%	28.9%	44.6%	51.6%
DISEASE.............	4,672	452	302	1,090	855	644	505	253	151	343	77
COL %.............	24.2%	28.9%	16.5%	33.5%	27.9%	20.6%	20.5%	20.8%	25.0%	19.8%	16.2%
ACCIDENTS/INJURIES..	796	47	76	82	140	114	131	40	32	103	31
COL %.............	4.1%	3.0%	4.2%	2.5%	4.6%	3.7%	5.3%	3.3%	5.3%	5.9%	6.5%
OTHER CAUSES........	7,754	710	862	1,413	985	1,244	1,059	597	246	516	122
COL %.............	40.1%	45.4%	47.1%	43.5%	32.1%	39.8%	42.9%	49.0%	40.8%	29.7%	25.7%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T013 : CAUSES OF ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS BY REGION

CAUSES OF ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	44,631	1,635	3,173	5,166	8,069	6,726	7,411	2,967	2,133	4,848	2,503
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CEREBRAL PALSY......	2,199	138	203	296	297	475	242	132	92	229	95
COL %.............	4.9%	8.4%	6.4%	5.7%	3.7%	7.1%	3.3%	4.4%	4.3%	4.7%	3.8%
OTHER CONGENITAL....	3,205	97	290	372	555	498	692	246	116	200	139
COL %.............	7.2%	5.9%	9.1%	7.2%	6.9%	7.4%	9.3%	8.3%	5.4%	4.1%	5.6%
ARTHRITIS & RHEUMATISM.......	3,521	94	243	417	686	625	363	258	158	464	213
COL %.............	7.9%	5.7%	7.7%	8.1%	8.5%	9.3%	4.9%	8.7%	7.4%	9.6%	8.5%
STROKE..............	1,418	90	149	277	168	224	254	44	55	123	34
COL %.............	3.2%	5.5%	4.7%	5.4%	2.1%	3.3%	3.4%	1.5%	2.6%	2.5%	1.4%
POLIO...............	1,205	45	92	150	159	197	231	77	29	173	52
COL %.............	2.7%	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%	2.0%	2.9%	3.1%	2.6%	1.4%	3.6%	2.1%
MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY..	483	39	45	53	56	91	59	39	13	62	26
COL %.............	1.1%	2.4%	1.4%	1.0%	0.7%	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%	0.6%	1.3%	1.0%
MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS..	1,099	103	111	179	73	291	73	70	45	87	67
COL %.............	2.5%	6.3%	3.5%	3.5%	0.9%	4.3%	1.0%	2.4%	2.1%	1.8%	2.7%
PARKINSONS DISEASE..	60	6	6	9	5	10	6	4	3	4	7
COL %.............	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%
ALL OTHER DISEASES..	3,487	101	255	507	983	598	340	223	100	277	103
COL %.............	7.8%	6.2%	8.0%	9.8%	12.2%	8.9%	4.6%	7.5%	4.7%	5.7%	4.1%
SPINAL CORD INJURIES	5,317	248	364	367	685	632	2,052	197	185	414	173
COL %.............	11.9%	15.2%	11.5%	7.1%	8.5%	9.4%	27.7%	6.6%	8.7%	8.5%	6.9%
ALL OTHER ACCIDENTS.	22,637	674	1,415	2,539	4,402	3,085	3,099	1,677	1,337	2,815	1,594
COL %.............	50.7%	41.2%	44.6%	49.1%	54.6%	45.9%	41.8%	56.5%	62.7%	58.1%	63.7%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T014 : CAUSES OF AMPUTATION BY REGION

CAUSES OF AMPUTATIONS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	3,591	87	286	656	701	588	594	248	90	249	92
COL %............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
MALIGNANT NEOPLASMS.	210	3	16	36	42	39	37	8	9	16	4
COL %............	5.8%	3.4%	5.6%	5.5%	6.0%	6.6%	6.2%	3.2%	10.0%	6.4%	4.3%
ALL OTHER DISEASES..	711	14	96	191	78	101	152	27	9	29	14
COL %............	19.8%	16.1%	33.6%	29.1%	11.1%	17.2%	25.6%	10.9%	10.0%	11.6%	15.2%
CONGENITAL CONDITIONS.......	341	14	34	54	55	63	50	23	9	25	14
COL %............	9.5%	16.1%	11.9%	8.2%	7.8%	10.7%	8.4%	9.3%	10.0%	10.0%	15.2%
ACCIDENTS/INJURIES..	2,329	56	140	375	526	385	355	190	63	179	60
COL %............	64.9%	64.4%	49.0%	57.2%	75.0%	65.5%	59.8%	76.6%	70.0%	71.9%	65.2%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T015 : SPECIAL DISABILITY CATEGORIES BY REGION

SPECIAL DISABILITY CATEGORIES	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %...............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NOT SEVERE..........	67,127	1,876	6,414	6,860	16,140	7,455	7,431	4,674	2,743	11,272	2,262
COL %............	31.2%	20.8%	36.9%	29.5%	32.3%	23.0%	25.2%	40.0%	33.1%	43.0%	31.2%
SEVERELY DISABLED...	147,742	7,153	10,967	16,398	33,850	24,893	22,008	7,018	5,538	14,923	4,994
COL %............	68.8%	79.2%	63.1%	70.5%	67.7%	77.0%	74.8%	60.0%	66.9%	57.0%	68.8%
DEAF/BLIND..........	667	37	34	95	37	230	118	34	37	23	22
COL %............	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%
TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURED..........	2,411	108	121	240	214	376	588	190	98	324	152
COL %............	1.1%	1.2%	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%	1.2%	2.0%	1.6%	1.2%	1.2%	2.1%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T016 : WORK STATUS AT APPLICATION BY REGION

WORK STATUS AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	213,921	8,331	17,379	23,207	49,937	32,338	29,416	11,682	8,191	26,198	7,242
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SALARIED WORKERS....	43,353	2,482	3,575	5,475	9,031	7,130	5,792	2,814	1,780	3,891	1,383
COL %.............	20.3%	29.8%	20.6%	23.6%	18.1%	22.0%	19.7%	24.1%	21.7%	14.9%	19.1%
COMPETITIVE LABOR MARKET.........	38,515	2,289	3,071	5,085	8,637	5,885	5,358	2,450	1,481	3,115	1,144
COL %.............	18.0%	27.5%	17.7%	21.9%	17.3%	18.2%	18.2%	21.0%	18.1%	11.9%	15.8%
SHELTERED WORKSHOP......	4,838	193	504	390	394	1,245	434	364	299	776	239
COL %.............	2.3%	2.3%	2.9%	1.7%	0.8%	3.8%	1.5%	3.1%	3.7%	3.0%	3.3%
SELF-EMPLOYED.......	1,484	52	167	129	296	253	224	99	91	120	53
COL %.............	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%	0.7%
BUS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM..........	64	1	7	11	12	12	6	0	7	6	2
COL %.............	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0.0%	0.1%	>0	>0
HOMEMAKER...........	8,292	242	670	2,279	717	1,797	1,590	409	211	257	120
COL %.............	3.9%	2.9%	3.9%	9.8%	1.4%	5.6%	5.4%	3.5%	2.6%	1.0%	1.7%
UNPAID FAMILY WORKER	379	9	29	36	37	68	88	28	41	22	21
COL %.............	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%
NOT WORKING.........	160,349	5,545	12,931	15,277	39,844	23,078	21,716	8,332	6,061	21,902	5,663
COL %.............	75.0%	66.6%	74.4%	65.8%	79.8%	71.4%	73.8%	71.3%	74.0%	83.6%	78.2%
STUDENT..........	28,753	664	2,844	3,017	7,811	4,869	3,846	1,730	879	2,650	443
COL %.............	13.4%	8.0%	16.4%	13.0%	15.6%	15.1%	13.1%	14.8%	10.7%	10.1%	6.1%
TRAINEE..........	2,543	298	58	141	283	386	300	70	453	426	128
COL %.............	1.2%	3.6%	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	1.2%	1.0%	0.6%	5.5%	1.6%	1.8%
OTHER............	129,053	4,583	10,029	12,119	31,750	17,823	17,570	6,532	4,729	18,826	5,092
COL %.............	60.3%	55.0%	57.7%	52.2%	63.6%	55.1%	59.7%	55.9%	57.7%	71.9%	70.3%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T017 : WEEKLY EARNINGS AT APPLICATION BY REGION

WEEKLY EARNINGS AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	213,241	8,367	17,363	23,172	49,826	32,074	29,275	11,685	8,207	26,090	7,182
COL %............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO EARNINGS..........	167,246	5,750	13,625	17,558	40,459	24,664	22,767	8,738	6,149	21,831	5,705
COL %............	78.4%	68.7%	78.5%	75.8%	81.2%	76.9%	77.8%	74.8%	74.9%	83.7%	79.4%
WITH SOME EARNINGS..	45,964	2,617	3,738	5,612	9,365	7,406	6,508	2,939	2,045	4,257	1,477
COL %............	21.6%	31.3%	21.5%	24.2%	18.8%	23.1%	22.2%	25.2%	24.9%	16.3%	20.6%
LESS THAN $100...	15,713	634	1,207	1,503	2,586	2,989	2,524	1,366	855	1,484	565
COL %............	7.4%	7.6%	7.0%	6.5%	5.2%	9.3%	8.6%	11.7%	10.4%	5.7%	7.9%
$100 - $199......	14,815	710	888	1,916	3,790	1,965	2,365	897	622	1,232	430
COL %............	6.9%	8.5%	5.1%	8.3%	7.6%	6.1%	8.1%	7.7%	7.6%	4.7%	6.0%
$200 - $299......	7,982	631	577	1,199	1,808	1,066	932	430	270	832	237
COL %............	3.7%	7.5%	3.3%	5.2%	3.6%	3.3%	3.2%	3.7%	3.3%	3.2%	3.3%
$300 AND OVER....	7,454	642	1,066	994	1,181	1,386	687	246	298	709	245
COL %............	3.5%	7.7%	6.1%	4.3%	2.4%	4.3%	2.3%	2.1%	3.6%	2.7%	3.4%
PROFIT OF FARMERS...	31	0	0	2	2	4	0	8	13	2	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	>0	>0	>0	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	>0	0.0%
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS - ALL CLIENTS....	37.90	67.32	49.03	46.04	32.21	40.98	32.84	33.49	39.86	28.73	35.12
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS - $1 OR MORE.....	175.82	215.22	227.76	190.10	171.39	177.46	147.72	133.07	159.71	176.08	170.77
MEDIAN WEEKLY EARNINGS - $1 OR MORE............	135.03	187.05	160.04	154.00	145.03	124.03	120.00	100.02	110.03	140.03	125.01
STANDARD DEVIATION..	154.1	165.5	207.1	149.2	130.9	170.3	126.2	113.2	165.4	152.2	159.6
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	87.7	76.9	90.9	78.5	76.4	95.9	85.4	85.1	103.6	86.4	93.5

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T018 : WEEKLY HOURS WORKED AT APPLICATION BY REGION

WEEKLY HOURS WORKED AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	207,017	8,235	17,346	23,094	49,360	28,547	28,436	11,550	7,215	26,054	7,180
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	167,246	5,750	13,625	17,558	40,459	24,664	22,767	8,738	6,149	21,831	5,705
COL %.............	80.8%	69.8%	78.5%	76.0%	82.0%	86.4%	80.1%	75.7%	85.2%	83.8%	79.5%
WORKED..............	39,771	2,485	3,721	5,536	8,901	3,883	5,669	2,812	1,066	4,223	1,475
COL %.............	19.2%	30.2%	21.5%	24.0%	18.0%	13.6%	19.9%	24.3%	14.8%	16.2%	20.5%
PART-TIME........	18,252	1,121	1,462	2,170	3,548	2,096	2,827	1,560	597	2,214	657
COL %.............	8.8%	13.6%	8.4%	9.4%	7.2%	7.3%	9.9%	13.5%	8.3%	8.5%	9.2%
LESS THAN 20 HOURS........	5,739	507	326	595	998	746	901	635	212	623	196
COL %.............	2.8%	6.2%	1.9%	2.6%	2.0%	2.6%	3.2%	5.5%	2.9%	2.4%	2.7%
20 - 34 HOURS...	12,513	614	1,136	1,575	2,550	1,350	1,926	925	385	1,591	461
COL %.............	6.0%	7.5%	6.5%	6.8%	5.2%	4.7%	6.8%	8.0%	5.3%	6.1%	6.4%
FULL-TIME........	21,519	1,364	2,259	3,366	5,353	1,787	2,842	1,252	469	2,009	818
COL %.............	10.4%	16.6%	13.0%	14.6%	10.8%	6.3%	10.0%	10.8%	6.5%	7.7%	11.4%
35 - 44 HOURS...	20,414	1,273	2,160	3,215	5,106	1,722	2,626	1,139	443	1,939	791
COL %.............	9.9%	15.5%	12.5%	13.9%	10.3%	6.0%	9.2%	9.9%	6.1%	7.4%	11.0%
45 HOURS OR MORE	1,105	91	99	151	247	65	216	113	26	70	27
COL %.............	0.5%	1.1%	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%	1.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-ALL CLIENTS	5.8	8.2	7.0	7.8	5.8	3.5	5.9	7.0	3.7	4.9	6.3
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..........	31.2	29.8	32.7	32.8	32.6	29.1	30.6	29.1	28.8	30.1	31.2
MEDIAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..	36.0	35.0	37.0	40.0	40.0	30.0	35.0	30.0	30.0	31.0	40.0
STANDARD DEVIATION..	11.4	13.7	10.2	10.4	10.8	11.7	11.8	12.5	12.2	11.1	11.6
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	36.7	45.9	31.1	31.8	33.0	40.3	38.4	43.1	42.4	36.8	37.3

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T019 : HOURLY WAGE RATE AT APPLICATION BY REGION

HOURLY WAGE RATE AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	206,999	8,235	17,346	23,091	49,359	28,546	28,436	11,543	7,209	26,054	7,180
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK.........	167,246	5,750	13,625	17,558	40,459	24,664	22,767	8,738	6,149	21,831	5,705
COL %.............	80.8%	69.8%	78.5%	76.0%	82.0%	86.4%	80.1%	75.7%	85.3%	83.8%	79.5%
WORKED...............	39,753	2,485	3,721	5,533	8,900	3,882	5,669	2,805	1,060	4,223	1,475
COL %.............	19.2%	30.2%	21.5%	24.0%	18.0%	13.6%	19.9%	24.3%	14.7%	16.2%	20.5%
UNDER $1.00......	1,484	63	207	133	180	175	276	122	38	207	83
COL %.............	0.7%	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.8%	1.2%
$1 - $1.99........	2,362	97	303	225	307	342	278	222	61	356	171
COL %.............	1.1%	1.2%	1.7%	1.0%	0.6%	1.2%	1.0%	1.9%	0.8%	1.4%	2.4%
$2 - $2.99........	3,562	104	362	443	770	330	644	327	117	326	139
COL %.............	1.7%	1.3%	2.1%	1.9%	1.6%	1.2%	2.3%	2.8%	1.6%	1.3%	1.9%
$3 - $3.99........	8,444	210	521	1,107	2,330	844	1,595	830	277	490	240
COL %.............	4.1%	2.6%	3.0%	4.8%	4.7%	3.0%	5.6%	7.2%	3.8%	1.9%	3.3%
$4 - $4.99........	6,127	313	377	979	1,652	568	860	421	155	635	167
COL %.............	3.0%	3.8%	2.2%	4.2%	3.3%	2.0%	3.0%	3.6%	2.2%	2.4%	2.3%
$5 - $5.99........	5,503	413	366	875	1,319	431	722	344	154	662	217
COL %.............	2.7%	5.0%	2.1%	3.8%	2.7%	1.5%	2.5%	3.0%	2.1%	2.5%	3.0%
$6 - $6.99........	3,452	311	278	504	808	278	416	194	83	469	111
COL %.............	1.7%	3.8%	1.6%	2.2%	1.6%	1.0%	1.5%	1.7%	1.2%	1.8%	1.5%
$7 - $7.99........	2,191	214	235	353	456	207	231	101	47	265	82
COL %.............	1.1%	2.6%	1.4%	1.5%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%	1.0%	1.1%
$8 - $8.99........	1,526	156	199	235	282	144	160	62	32	209	47
COL %.............	0.7%	1.9%	1.1%	1.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.8%	0.7%
$9 - $9.99........	865	54	100	159	165	104	84	43	19	106	31
COL %.............	0.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
$10 AND OVER.....	3,595	478	690	434	523	424	318	113	63	398	154
COL %.............	1.7%	5.8%	4.0%	1.9%	1.1%	1.5%	1.1%	1.0%	0.9%	1.5%	2.1%
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-ALL CLIENTS.	1.25	5.77	1.40	1.34	0.93	1.04	0.99	1.09	0.66	0.93	1.34
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS.............	6.58	19.98	6.92	5.76	5.34	5.79	5.17	4.75	5.24	6.08	6.99
MEDIAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS.............	4.46	6.00	5.00	4.67	4.29	4.20	4.00	3.75	4.00	5.00	4.38
STANDARD DEVIATION..	15.7	56.8	5.3	5.4	4.4	5.4	4.7	4.0	5.2	5.0	15.8
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	238.8	284.3	76.0	93.3	82.5	93.4	90.4	84.3	98.5	82.1	226.4

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T020 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WHOLE COHORT BY REGION

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WHOLE COHORT	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	206,625	8,183	17,309	23,076	49,308	28,520	28,392	11,528	7,096	26,038	7,175
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	166,925	5,701	13,588	17,545	40,412	24,638	22,725	8,726	6,074	21,816	5,700
COL %.............	80.8%	69.7%	78.5%	76.0%	82.0%	86.4%	80.0%	75.7%	85.6%	83.8%	79.4%
WORKED..............	39,700	2,482	3,721	5,531	8,896	3,882	5,667	2,802	1,022	4,222	1,475
COL %.............	19.2%	30.3%	21.5%	24.0%	18.0%	13.6%	20.0%	24.3%	14.4%	16.2%	20.6%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.....	10,741	334	1,099	1,209	2,108	1,176	1,907	1,010	321	1,083	494
COL %.............	5.2%	4.1%	6.3%	5.2%	4.3%	4.1%	6.7%	8.8%	4.5%	4.2%	6.9%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE..........	28,959	2,148	2,622	4,322	6,788	2,706	3,760	1,792	701	3,139	981
COL %.............	14.0%	26.2%	15.1%	18.7%	13.8%	9.5%	13.2%	15.5%	9.9%	12.1%	13.7%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T021 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WORKERS ONLY BY REGION

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WORKERS ONLY	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	39,700	2,482	3,721	5,531	8,896	3,882	5,667	2,802	1,022	4,222	1,475
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.............	10,741	334	1,099	1,209	2,108	1,176	1,907	1,010	321	1,083	494
COL %.............	27.1%	13.5%	29.5%	21.9%	23.7%	30.3%	33.7%	36.0%	31.4%	25.7%	33.5%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE........	28,959	2,148	2,622	4,322	6,788	2,706	3,760	1,792	701	3,139	981
COL %.............	72.9%	86.5%	70.5%	78.1%	76.3%	69.7%	66.3%	64.0%	68.6%	74.3%	66.5%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T022 : PRIMARY SOURCE OF SUPPORT AT APPLICATION BY REGION

PRIMARY SOURCE OF SUPPORT AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	213,442	8,298	17,263	23,249	49,905	32,000	29,434	11,692	8,151	26,195	7,255
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CURRENT INCOME......	39,241	2,116	3,208	5,214	8,926	5,940	6,043	1,717	1,610	3,186	1,281
COL %.............	18.4%	25.5%	18.6%	22.4%	17.9%	18.6%	20.5%	14.7%	19.8%	12.2%	17.7%
FAMILY & FRIENDS....	95,661	2,488	5,588	8,913	29,306	12,044	14,969	5,958	3,448	10,609	2,338
COL %.............	44.8%	30.0%	32.4%	38.3%	58.7%	37.6%	50.9%	51.0%	42.3%	40.5%	32.2%
PRIVATE RELIEF AGENCY...........	871	15	45	84	157	50	202	22	57	224	15
COL %.............	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.2%
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE (FEDERAL)........	25,780	1,302	2,745	2,760	3,359	4,895	2,450	1,596	1,203	4,153	1,317
COL %.............	12.1%	15.7%	15.9%	11.9%	6.7%	15.3%	8.3%	13.7%	14.8%	15.9%	18.2%
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE (NON-FEDERAL)....	6,831	438	1,067	1,061	244	1,326	201	166	171	1,575	582
COL %.............	3.2%	5.3%	6.2%	4.6%	0.5%	4.1%	0.7%	1.4%	2.1%	6.0%	8.0%
PUBLIC INSTITUTION..	5,916	153	164	285	3,510	223	457	245	108	718	53
COL %.............	2.8%	1.8%	1.0%	1.2%	7.0%	0.7%	1.6%	2.1%	1.3%	2.7%	0.7%
WORKERS' COMPENSATION.....	5,625	257	510	796	584	676	1,360	376	421	232	413
COL %.............	2.6%	3.1%	3.0%	3.4%	1.2%	2.1%	4.6%	3.2%	5.2%	0.9%	5.7%
SSDI BENEFITS.......	11,433	666	1,638	1,286	1,437	2,799	946	723	435	967	536
COL %.............	5.4%	8.0%	9.5%	5.5%	2.9%	8.7%	3.2%	6.2%	5.3%	3.7%	7.4%
OTHER PUBLIC SOURCES	10,574	326	1,567	1,589	1,124	2,060	1,178	461	292	1,637	340
COL %.............	5.0%	3.9%	9.1%	6.8%	2.3%	6.4%	4.0%	3.9%	3.6%	6.2%	4.7%
ALL OTHER SOURCES...	11,510	537	731	1,261	1,258	1,987	1,628	428	406	2,894	380
COL %.............	5.4%	6.5%	4.2%	5.4%	2.5%	6.2%	5.5%	3.7%	5.0%	11.0%	5.2%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T023 : PUBLIC SUPPORT DURING VR BY REGION

PUBLIC SUPPORT DURING VR	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL%................	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ON PUBLIC SUPPORT...	68,692	3,672	8,408	7,446	9,302	13,683	5,951	4,280	2,896	9,695	3,359
COL%................	32.0%	40.7%	48.4%	32.0%	18.6%	42.3%	20.2%	36.6%	35.0%	37.0%	46.3%
NOT ON PUBLIC SUPPORT..........	146,182	5,357	8,973	15,813	40,688	18,665	23,488	7,412	5,385	16,504	3,897
COL%................	68.0%	59.3%	51.6%	68.0%	81.4%	57.7%	79.8%	63.4%	65.0%	63.0%	53.7%
DISABILITY RELATED SUPPORT..........	53,798	2,848	6,129	5,401	8,120	11,085	4,580	3,570	2,120	7,254	2,691
COL%................	25.0%	31.5%	35.3%	23.2%	16.2%	34.3%	15.6%	30.5%	25.6%	27.7%	37.1%
SSI-BLIND......	2,867	140	212	189	971	361	204	101	74	447	168
COL%..........	1.3%	1.6%	1.2%	0.8%	1.9%	1.1%	0.7%	0.9%	0.9%	1.7%	2.3%
SSI-DISABLED...	24,870	1,317	3,034	2,633	3,573	4,877	2,108	1,646	988	3,545	1,149
COL%..........	11.6%	14.6%	17.5%	11.3%	7.1%	15.1%	7.2%	14.1%	11.9%	13.5%	15.8%
SSDI...........	20,059	1,041	2,439	2,077	3,010	4,347	1,762	1,380	809	2,372	822
COL%..........	9.3%	11.5%	14.0%	8.9%	6.0%	13.4%	6.0%	11.8%	9.8%	9.1%	11.3%
VET DISABILITY.	1,337	122	63	112	207	216	209	69	24	283	32
COL%..........	0.6%	1.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.3%	1.1%	0.4%
OTHER DISAB....	4,665	228	381	390	359	1,284	297	374	225	607	520
COL%..........	2.2%	2.5%	2.2%	1.7%	0.7%	4.0%	1.0%	3.2%	2.7%	2.3%	7.2%
NON-DISABILITY RELATED SUPPORT..	29,720	1,879	3,850	3,050	3,787	5,235	2,396	1,737	1,264	4,456	2,066
COL%................	13.8%	20.8%	22.2%	13.1%	7.6%	16.2%	8.1%	14.9%	15.3%	17.0%	28.5%
SSI-AGED.......	1,571	205	127	209	207	210	197	99	92	90	135
COL%..........	0.7%	2.3%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%	0.3%	1.9%
AFDC...........	9,221	320	467	685	1,551	1,830	920	639	570	1,288	951
COL%..........	4.3%	3.5%	2.7%	2.9%	3.1%	5.7%	3.1%	5.5%	6.9%	4.9%	13.1%
GEN ASSISTANCE.	10,189	772	1,444	1,317	656	1,964	553	470	284	2,049	680
COL%..........	4.7%	8.6%	8.3%	5.7%	1.3%	6.1%	1.9%	4.0%	3.4%	7.8%	9.4%
OTHER PUB SUPP.	8,739	582	1,812	839	1,373	1,231	726	529	318	1,029	300
COL%	4.1%	6.4%	10.4%	3.6%	2.7%	3.8%	2.5%	4.5%	3.8%	3.9%	4.1%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T024 : PUBLIC ASSISTANCE DURING VR BY REGION

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE STATUS DURING VR	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL%...............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	44,488	2,378	5,099	4,819	5,983	8,672	3,742	2,737	1,908	6,964	2,186
COL%...............	20.7%	26.3%	29.3%	20.7%	12.0%	26.8%	12.7%	23.4%	23.0%	26.6%	30.1%
NOT ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE.......	170,386	6,651	12,282	18,440	44,007	23,676	25,697	8,955	6,373	19,235	5,070
COL%...............	79.3%	73.7%	70.7%	79.3%	88.0%	73.2%	87.3%	76.6%	77.0%	73.4%	69.9%
ANY SSI ASSISTANCE..	29,308	1,662	3,373	3,031	4,751	5,448	2,509	1,846	1,154	4,082	1,452
COL%...............	13.6%	18.4%	19.4%	13.0%	9.5%	16.8%	8.5%	15.8%	13.9%	15.6%	20.0%
SSI-AGED.......	1,571	205	127	209	207	210	197	99	92	90	135
COL%..........	0.7%	2.3%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%	0.3%	1.9%
SSI-BLIND......	2,867	140	212	189	971	361	204	101	74	447	168
COL%..........	1.3%	1.6%	1.2%	0.8%	1.9%	1.1%	0.7%	0.9%	0.9%	1.7%	2.3%
SSDI-DISABLED..	24,870	1,317	3,034	2,633	3,573	4,877	2,108	1,646	988	3,545	1,149
COL%..........	11.6%	14.6%	17.5%	11.3%	7.1%	15.1%	7.2%	14.1%	11.9%	13.5%	15.8%
OTHER PUBLIC ASSISTANCE.......	19,410	1,092	1,911	2,002	2,207	3,794	1,473	1,109	854	3,337	1,631
COL%...............	9.0%	12.1%	11.0%	8.6%	4.4%	11.7%	5.0%	9.5%	10.3%	12.7%	22.5%
AFDC...........	9,221	320	467	685	1,551	1,830	920	639	570	1,288	951
COL%..........	4.3%	3.5%	2.7%	2.9%	3.1%	5.7%	3.1%	5.5%	6.9%	4.9%	13.1%
GEN ASSISTANCE.	10,189	772	1,444	1,317	656	1,964	553	470	284	2,049	680
COL%..........	4.7%	8.6%	8.3%	5.7%	1.3%	6.1%	1.9%	4.0%	3.4%	7.8%	9.4%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T025 : TYPE OF INSTITUTION RESIDED IN AT APPLICATION BY REGION

TYPE OF INSTITUTION RESIDED IN AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL	214,492	8,746	17,377	23,213	49,980	32,346	29,429	11,692	8,258	26,199	7,252
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NOT IN INSTITUTION..	193,881	8,058	16,325	21,531	43,177	30,519	26,518	10,696	7,683	22,522	6,852
COL %.............	90.4%	92.1%	93.9%	92.8%	86.4%	94.4%	90.1%	91.5%	93.0%	86.0%	94.5%
IN INSTITUTION......	20,611	688	1,052	1,682	6,803	1,827	2,911	996	575	3,677	400
COL %.............	9.6%	7.9%	6.1%	7.2%	13.6%	5.6%	9.9%	8.5%	7.0%	14.0%	5.5%
FOR THE MENTALLY ILL...........	2,534	87	68	229	1,054	271	351	167	108	159	40
COL %.............	1.2%	1.0%	0.4%	1.0%	2.1%	0.8%	1.2%	1.4%	1.3%	0.6%	0.6%
MENTAL HOSPITAL.	1,913	73	57	158	835	206	241	108	68	135	32
COL %.............	0.9%	0.8%	0.3%	0.7%	1.7%	0.6%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.5%	0.4%
PSYCHIATRIC UNIT	291	10	2	31	84	42	44	40	28	7	3
COL %.............	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	>0	>0
COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER	330	4	9	40	135	23	66	19	12	17	5
COL %.............	0.2%	>0	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
FOR THE MENTALLY RETARDED......	779	34	85	63	106	100	103	143	71	34	40
COL %.............	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	1.2%	0.9%	0.1%	0.6%
ALCOHOLIC TREATMENT CENTER........	2,752	28	33	137	1,079	105	420	80	53	739	78
COL %.............	1.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.6%	2.2%	0.3%	1.4%	0.7%	0.6%	2.8%	1.1%
DRUG TREATMENT CENTER........	1,663	32	254	171	540	105	240	10	48	251	12
COL %.............	0.8%	0.4%	1.5%	0.7%	1.1%	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%	0.6%	1.0%	0.2%
INSTITUTION FOR BLIND.........	77	4	11	5	24	15	7	4	4	2	1
COL %.............	>0	>0	0.1%	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0
INSTITUTION FOR DEAF..........	447	10	30	55	159	57	45	42	13	20	16
COL %.............	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
GENERAL HOSPITAL.	886	32	12	371	254	56	83	30	14	25	9
COL %.............	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	1.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
CHRONIC ILL FACILITY......	595	19	26	108	111	100	112	46	9	56	8
COL %.............	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
INSTITUTION FOR THE AGED......	114	5	1	3	5	20	11	28	2	38	1
COL %.............	0.1%	0.1%	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	>0	0.2%	>0	0.1%	>0
HALFWAY HOUSE....	4,204	216	57	185	941	167	1,238	75	49	1,237	39
COL %.............	2.0%	2.5%	0.3%	0.8%	1.9%	0.5%	4.2%	0.6%	0.6%	4.7%	0.5%
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION...	2,948	95	10	110	2,195	85	50	54	109	228	12
COL %.............	1.4%	1.1%	0.1%	0.5%	4.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	1.3%	0.9%	0.2%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T025 : TYPE OF INSTITUTION RESIDED IN AT APPLICATION BY REGION

TYPE OF INSTITUTION RESIDED IN AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
OTHER INSTITUTION	3,612	126	465	245	335	746	251	317	95	888	144
COL %.............	1.7%	1.4%	2.7%	1.1%	0.7%	2.3%	0.9%	2.7%	1.2%	3.4%	2.0%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T026 : MONTHLY AMOUNT OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE AT APPLICATION BY REGION

MONTHLY AMOUNT OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION								
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL WITH POSITIVE PA $.............	27,759	1,991	1,227	2,532	3,764	6,078	2,807	1,666	5,651	2,043
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
$1 - $99............	2,921	308	429	238	443	507	374	170	189	263
COL %.............	10.5%	15.5%	35.0%	9.4%	11.8%	8.3%	13.3%	10.2%	3.3%	12.9%
$100 - $249.........	6,257	261	329	758	1,248	1,627	1,081	382	458	113
COL %.............	22.5%	13.1%	26.8%	29.9%	33.2%	26.8%	38.5%	22.9%	8.1%	5.5%
$250 - $499.........	12,814	1,060	415	1,202	1,778	2,912	1,229	1,011	2,028	1,179
COL %.............	46.2%	53.2%	33.8%	47.5%	47.2%	47.9%	43.8%	60.7%	35.9%	57.7%
$500 - $749.........	4,650	281	42	205	242	810	96	92	2,630	252
COL %.............	16.8%	14.1%	3.4%	8.1%	6.4%	13.3%	3.4%	5.5%	46.5%	12.3%
$750 - $999.........	1,117	81	12	129	53	222	27	11	346	236
COL %.............	4.0%	4.1%	1.0%	5.1%	1.4%	3.7%	1.0%	0.7%	6.1%	11.6%
TOTAL PA AMOUNT ($000)...........	9601.33	683.25	251.74	820.96	1066.66	2054.14	727.84	499.29	2675.34	822.11
MEAN PA AMOUNT......	345.88	343.17	205.16	324.23	283.39	337.96	259.29	299.69	473.43	402.40
MEDIAN PA AMOUNT....	329.11	343.01	160.05	306.04	266.11	336.04	245.06	322.07	500.10	356.06
STANDARD DEVIATION..	200.5	206.3	163.5	198.9	153.8	189.5	138.7	138.0	194.8	248.9
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	58.0	60.1	79.7	61.3	54.3	56.1	53.5	46.0	41.1	61.9

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T027 : MEDICAL INSURANCE COVERAGE AT APPLICATION BY REGION

MEDICAL INSURANCE COVERAGE AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION				
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION VII	REGION X
TOTAL...............	10,524	1,198	4,060	652	1,485	3,129
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO MEDICAL COVERAGE.	9,860	1,198	4,048	0	1,485	3,129
COL %.............	93.7%	100.0%	99.7%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
MEDICAL COVERAGE....	664	0	12	652	0	0
COL %.............	6.3%	0.0%	0.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T028 : MEDICAL INSURANCE AVAILABLE ON JOB AT APPLICATION BY REGION

MEDICAL INSURANCE AVAILABLE ON JOB AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SALARIED WORKER.....	43,353	2,482	3,575	5,475	9,031	7,130	5,792	2,814	1,780	3,891	1,383
COL %.............	20.2%	27.5%	20.6%	23.5%	18.1%	22.0%	19.7%	24.1%	21.5%	14.9%	19.1%
MEDICAL INSURANCE NOT AVAILABLE ON JOB........	2,826	317	1,720	0	112	0	0	59	0	0	618
COL %.............	1.3%	3.5%	9.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%
MEDICAL INSURANCE AVAILABLE ON JOB...........	363	0	4	185	79	0	0	95	0	0	0
COL %.............	0.2%	0.0%	>0	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MEDICAL INSURANCE NOT REPORTED..	40,164	2,165	1,851	5,290	8,840	7,130	5,792	2,660	1,780	3,891	765
COL %.............	18.7%	24.0%	10.6%	22.7%	17.7%	22.0%	19.7%	22.8%	21.5%	14.9%	10.5%
NOT WORKING/NOT SALARIED.........	171,521	6,547	13,806	17,784	40,959	25,218	23,647	8,878	6,501	22,308	5,873
COL %.............	79.8%	72.5%	79.4%	76.5%	81.9%	78.0%	80.3%	75.9%	78.5%	85.1%	80.9%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T029 : SOURCES OF REFERRAL (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

REFERRAL SOURCE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,430	8,872	17,350	23,198	49,973	32,328	29,420	11,593	8,242	26,199	7,255
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.....	34,080	1,056	2,531	3,021	8,889	6,392	4,597	2,475	1,031	3,425	663
COL %.............	15.9%	11.9%	14.6%	13.0%	17.8%	19.8%	15.6%	21.3%	12.5%	13.1%	9.1%
COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY....	2,488	63	127	139	360	378	396	189	142	567	127
COL %.............	1.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	1.2%	1.3%	1.6%	1.7%	2.2%	1.8%
VOCATIONAL SCHOOL	2,608	56	116	98	651	416	521	235	92	359	64
COL %.............	1.2%	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	1.3%	1.3%	1.8%	2.0%	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
ELEM/HIGH SCHOOL.	24,444	793	1,505	2,313	7,230	4,301	3,348	1,747	639	2,183	385
COL %.............	11.4%	8.9%	8.7%	10.0%	14.5%	13.3%	11.4%	15.1%	7.8%	8.3%	5.3%
SCHOOL FOR HANDICAPPED...	2,868	95	727	391	507	364	232	242	116	150	44
COL %.............	1.3%	1.1%	4.2%	1.7%	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%	2.1%	1.4%	0.6%	0.6%
OTHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION...	1,672	49	56	80	141	933	100	62	42	166	43
COL %.............	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	2.9%	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
HOSPITALS OR SANATORIUMS......	14,873	588	1,412	2,330	3,843	2,419	1,766	729	378	1,139	269
COL %.............	6.9%	6.6%	8.1%	10.0%	7.7%	7.5%	6.0%	6.3%	4.6%	4.3%	3.7%
MENTAL HOSPITAL..	2,712	73	264	227	966	285	539	151	75	92	40
COL %.............	1.3%	0.8%	1.5%	1.0%	1.9%	0.9%	1.8%	1.3%	0.9%	0.4%	0.6%
OTHER CHRONIC CONDITION HOSP	2,590	136	128	226	1,017	281	235	145	49	288	85
COL %.............	1.2%	1.5%	0.7%	1.0%	2.0%	0.9%	0.8%	1.3%	0.6%	1.1%	1.2%
GENERAL HOSPITAL.	6,794	284	599	1,671	1,306	1,271	791	383	126	292	71
COL %.............	3.2%	3.2%	3.5%	7.2%	2.6%	3.9%	2.7%	3.3%	1.5%	1.1%	1.0%
OTHER HOSPITAL OR CLINIC........	2,777	95	421	206	554	582	201	50	128	467	73
COL %.............	1.3%	1.1%	2.4%	0.9%	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%	0.4%	1.6%	1.8%	1.0%
HEALTH ORGANIZATIONS	27,803	1,071	2,892	3,218	5,337	3,879	2,937	1,016	1,036	5,409	1,008
COL %.............	13.0%	12.1%	16.7%	13.9%	10.7%	12.0%	10.0%	8.8%	12.6%	20.6%	13.9%
REHAB FACILITY...	10,763	336	1,587	781	979	1,611	951	271	287	3,434	526
COL %.............	5.0%	3.8%	9.1%	3.4%	2.0%	5.0%	3.2%	2.3%	3.5%	13.1%	7.3%
MENTAL HEALTH CENTER........	9,805	414	503	1,368	2,952	1,349	892	420	600	968	339
COL %.............	4.6%	4.7%	2.9%	5.9%	5.9%	4.2%	3.0%	3.6%	7.3%	3.7%	4.7%
CRIPPLED CHILD AGENCY........	178	3	19	21	65	14	12	5	5	1	33
COL %.............	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	>0	0.5%
OTHER PUB HEALTH DEPART........	3,669	136	363	795	918	330	299	144	50	588	46
COL %.............	1.7%	1.5%	2.1%	3.4%	1.8%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	0.6%	2.2%	0.6%
OTHER PRIVATE ORG	3,388	182	420	253	423	575	783	176	94	418	64
COL %.............	1.6%	2.1%	2.4%	1.1%	0.8%	1.8%	2.7%	1.5%	1.1%	1.6%	0.9%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T029 : SOURCES OF REFERRAL (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

REFERRAL SOURCE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
WELFARE AGENCY......	6,063	226	421	560	1,556	949	577	529	340	395	510
COL %............	2.8%	2.5%	2.4%	2.4%	3.1%	2.9%	2.0%	4.6%	4.1%	1.5%	7.0%
PUBLIC AGENCY....	5,827	220	414	499	1,535	892	555	512	328	373	499
COL %............	2.7%	2.5%	2.4%	2.2%	3.1%	2.8%	1.9%	4.4%	4.0%	1.4%	6.9%
PRIVATE AGENCY...	236	6	7	61	21	57	22	17	12	22	11
COL %............	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.3%	>0	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
OTHER PUBLIC ORG....	28,305	1,041	1,777	2,338	7,489	3,262	2,475	1,436	1,677	5,593	1,217
COL %............	13.2%	11.7%	10.2%	10.1%	15.0%	10.1%	8.4%	12.4%	20.3%	21.3%	16.8%
SOC SEC DISAB DETERM SERVICE	2,439	89	142	336	643	493	258	189	95	133	61
COL %............	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%	1.4%	1.3%	1.5%	0.9%	1.6%	1.2%	0.5%	0.8%
SOC SEC DISTRICT OFFICE........	962	16	44	34	229	251	91	53	50	151	43
COL %............	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
WORKERS COMP AGENCY........	2,202	27	356	391	216	191	339	118	279	73	212
COL %............	1.0%	0.3%	2.1%	1.7%	0.4%	0.6%	1.2%	1.0%	3.4%	0.3%	2.9%
STATE EMPLOYMENT SERVICE.......	4,678	190	270	311	690	565	246	312	400	1,450	244
COL %............	2.2%	2.1%	1.6%	1.3%	1.4%	1.7%	0.8%	2.7%	4.9%	5.5%	3.4%
SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM........	78	3	9	2	19	9	11	0	0	24	1
COL %............	>0	>0	0.1%	>0	>0	>0	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	>0
STATE VOC REHAB AGENCY........	2,006	102	93	154	384	274	207	139	119	448	86
COL %............	0.9%	1.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	1.2%	1.4%	1.7%	1.2%
CORRECTIONAL INSTIT........	6,862	188	76	176	3,025	189	519	154	329	2,139	67
COL %............	3.2%	2.1%	0.4%	0.8%	6.1%	0.6%	1.8%	1.3%	4.0%	8.2%	0.9%
ALL OTHER PUBLIC.	9,078	426	787	934	2,283	1,290	804	471	405	1,175	503
COL %............	4.2%	4.8%	4.5%	4.0%	4.6%	4.0%	2.7%	4.1%	4.9%	4.5%	6.9%
OTHER PRIVATE ORG...	10,961	607	1,081	1,435	2,297	1,377	1,566	879	309	1,043	367
COL %............	5.1%	6.8%	6.2%	6.2%	4.6%	4.3%	5.3%	7.6%	3.7%	4.0%	5.1%
ARTIFICIAL APPL. COMPANY.......	2,723	220	300	653	295	276	506	361	14	91	7
COL %............	1.3%	2.5%	1.7%	2.8%	0.6%	0.9%	1.7%	3.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
PRIVATE EMPLOYER.	1,636	29	168	122	857	134	174	38	25	58	31
COL %............	0.8%	0.3%	1.0%	0.5%	1.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%
ALL OTHER PRIVATE	6,602	358	613	660	1,145	967	886	480	270	894	329
COL %............	3.1%	4.0%	3.5%	2.8%	2.3%	3.0%	3.0%	4.1%	3.3%	3.4%	4.5%
SELF-REFERRED PERSON	49,453	2,547	3,926	5,883	9,195	8,293	8,167	2,688	1,613	5,108	2,033
COL %............	23.1%	28.7%	22.6%	25.4%	18.4%	25.7%	27.8%	23.2%	19.6%	19.5%	28.0%
PHYSICIAN...........	15,976	440	1,034	1,876	6,121	1,436	3,164	444	411	761	289
COL %............	7.5%	5.0%	6.0%	8.1%	12.2%	4.4%	10.8%	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%	4.0%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T029 : SOURCES OF REFERRAL (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

REFERRAL SOURCE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
OTHER INDIVIDUAL....	26,916	1,296	2,276	2,537	5,246	4,321	4,171	1,397	1,447	3,326	899
COL %.............	12.6%	14.6%	13.1%	10.9%	10.5%	13.4%	14.2%	12.1%	17.6%	12.7%	12.4%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T030 : CASE SERVICE COST-TOTAL BY REGION

TOTAL COST OF CASE SERVICES	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL..............	208,764	7,378	17,381	23,259	49,034	32,348	25,956	11,692	8,263	26,199	7,254
COL %..............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO COST..............	9,436	950	623	1,714	2,539	831	610	522	377	613	657
COL %..............	4.5%	12.9%	3.6%	7.4%	5.2%	2.6%	2.4%	4.5%	4.6%	2.3%	9.1%
SOME COST..............	199,328	6,428	16,758	21,545	46,495	31,517	25,346	11,170	7,886	25,586	6,597
COL %..............	95.5%	87.1%	96.4%	92.6%	94.8%	97.4%	97.6%	95.5%	95.4%	97.7%	90.9%
UNDER $100.......	16,652	821	759	1,920	6,452	1,415	1,126	850	541	2,530	238
COL %..............	8.0%	11.1%	4.4%	8.3%	13.2%	4.4%	4.3%	7.3%	6.5%	9.7%	3.3%
$100 - $499......	42,965	1,516	2,167	4,701	11,994	5,051	3,983	1,606	1,541	9,585	821
COL %..............	20.6%	20.5%	12.5%	20.2%	24.5%	15.6%	15.3%	13.7%	18.6%	36.6%	11.3%
$500 - $999......	33,886	1,433	2,321	4,260	6,462	5,684	4,533	1,728	1,435	5,098	932
COL %..............	16.2%	19.4%	13.4%	18.3%	13.2%	17.6%	17.5%	14.8%	17.4%	19.5%	12.8%
$1,000 - $1,999..	35,312	879	3,029	3,271	6,966	6,262	5,967	2,327	1,719	3,486	1,406
COL %..............	16.9%	11.9%	17.4%	14.1%	14.2%	19.4%	23.0%	19.9%	20.8%	13.3%	19.4%
$2,000 - $2,999..	21,151	523	2,221	2,199	4,395	3,895	3,337	1,308	845	1,531	897
COL %..............	10.1%	7.1%	12.8%	9.5%	9.0%	12.0%	12.9%	11.2%	10.2%	5.8%	12.4%
$3,000 - $3,999..	14,773	335	2,193	1,358	2,913	2,621	2,069	842	631	1,101	710
COL %..............	7.1%	4.5%	12.6%	5.8%	5.9%	8.1%	8.0%	7.2%	7.6%	4.2%	9.8%
$4,000 - $4,999..	9,660	233	1,418	912	1,952	1,710	1,312	618	355	715	435
COL %..............	4.6%	3.2%	8.2%	3.9%	4.0%	5.3%	5.1%	5.3%	4.3%	2.7%	6.0%
$5,000 - $9,999..	17,499	432	1,913	1,664	3,690	3,553	2,173	1,428	653	1,236	757
COL %..............	8.4%	5.9%	11.0%	7.2%	7.5%	11.0%	8.4%	12.2%	7.9%	4.7%	10.4%
$10,000 AND OVER.	7,430	256	737	1,260	1,671	1,326	846	463	166	304	401
COL %..............	3.6%	3.5%	4.2%	5.4%	3.4%	4.1%	3.3%	4.0%	2.0%	1.2%	5.5%
MEAN COST-ALL CLIENTS..........	2334.96	1928.98	3013.28	2514.59	2137.97	2700.83	2503.16	2655.99	2035.99	1357.84	2996.97
MEAN COST-$1 OR MORE	2445.49	2214.06	3125.30	2714.64	2254.72	2772.04	2563.40	2780.11	2133.33	1390.37	3295.44
MEDIAN COST-$1 OR MORE.............	1117.34	759.05	2038.33	977.21	844.14	1508.21	1393.09	1487.75	1161.75	544.23	1916.13
STANDARD DEVIATION - $1 OR MORE.......	4221.6	4722.4	4503.2	5109.9	4492.0	3976.9	4269.6	3742.5	3145.1	2514.4	4945.9
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION - $1 OR MORE.............	172.6	213.3	144.1	188.2	199.2	143.5	166.6	134.6	147.4	180.8	150.1

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T031 : SERVICES PROVIDED BY REGION

SERVICES PROVIDED (EXCLUDING COUNSELING)	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL..............	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO SERVICES RECORDED	8,316	453	536	86	560	6,054	341	68	196	21	1
COL %.............	3.9%	5.0%	3.1%	0.4%	1.1%	18.7%	1.2%	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%	>0
1 SERVICE RECORDED	16,080	730	2,021	1,567	4,824	1,593	2,645	1,177	392	934	197
COL %.............	7.5%	8.1%	11.6%	6.7%	9.6%	4.9%	9.0%	10.1%	4.7%	3.6%	2.7%
2 SERVICES RECORDED	52,907	2,364	5,186	8,566	13,932	6,804	6,586	3,187	1,973	3,721	588
COL %.............	24.6%	26.2%	29.8%	36.8%	27.9%	21.0%	22.4%	27.3%	23.8%	14.2%	8.1%
3+ SERVICES RECORDED	137,506	5,434	9,638	13,038	30,674	17,897	19,867	7,260	5,705	21,523	6,470
COL %.............	64.0%	60.2%	55.5%	56.1%	61.4%	55.3%	67.5%	62.1%	68.9%	82.2%	89.2%
SERVICES NOT REPORTED.........	65	48	0	2	0	0	0	0	15	0	0
COL %.............	>0	0.5%	0.0%	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
DIAGNOSTIC & EVALUATION.......	197,282	8,080	15,348	22,735	47,128	25,429	26,898	11,396	7,711	25,331	7,226
COL %.............	91.8%	89.5%	88.3%	97.7%	94.3%	78.6%	91.4%	97.5%	93.1%	96.7%	99.6%
RESTORATION.........	83,189	4,082	5,373	12,695	26,103	9,013	13,282	2,999	3,242	4,744	1,656
COL %.............	38.7%	45.2%	30.9%	54.6%	52.2%	27.9%	45.1%	25.7%	39.1%	18.1%	22.8%
TRAINING OF ANY KIND	112,968	4,543	9,646	10,167	22,228	15,924	19,449	6,771	5,484	14,184	4,572
COL %.............	52.6%	50.3%	55.5%	43.7%	44.5%	49.2%	66.1%	57.9%	66.2%	54.1%	63.0%
COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY....	24,259	1,488	1,841	1,994	3,856	2,861	4,341	2,048	1,719	3,149	962
COL %.............	11.3%	16.5%	10.6%	8.6%	7.7%	8.8%	14.7%	17.5%	20.8%	12.0%	13.3%
BUSINESS/VOCATIONAL...........	28,500	914	1,789	2,460	4,879	4,438	5,988	1,508	1,800	3,893	831
COL %.............	13.3%	10.1%	10.3%	10.6%	9.8%	13.7%	20.3%	12.9%	21.7%	14.9%	11.5%
ADJUSTMENT TRAINING......	47,828	1,930	4,281	3,720	11,584	6,075	9,458	1,840	1,283	5,809	1,848
COL %.............	22.3%	21.4%	24.6%	16.0%	23.2%	18.8%	32.1%	15.7%	15.5%	22.2%	25.5%
ON-THE-JOB TRAINING......	17,734	850	487	1,383	3,620	2,454	3,558	1,176	1,308	2,003	895
COL %.............	8.3%	9.4%	2.8%	5.9%	7.2%	7.6%	12.1%	10.1%	15.8%	7.6%	12.3%
MISC TRAINING....	30,851	1,210	2,656	2,870	5,681	4,538	5,782	1,842	1,066	3,953	1,253
COL %.............	14.4%	13.4%	15.3%	12.3%	11.4%	14.0%	19.6%	15.8%	12.9%	15.1%	17.3%
JOB REFERRAL........	76,347	3,679	3,002	9,923	17,918	8,977	10,606	4,251	2,090	10,632	5,269
COL %.............	35.5%	40.7%	17.3%	42.7%	35.8%	27.8%	36.0%	36.4%	25.2%	40.6%	72.6%
JOB PLACEMENT.......	68,613	2,514	2,859	8,255	14,498	7,636	10,273	3,498	3,148	10,698	5,234
COL %.............	31.9%	27.8%	16.4%	35.5%	29.0%	23.6%	34.9%	29.9%	38.0%	40.8%	72.1%
TRANSPORTATION......	67,963	1,487	6,764	4,384	14,714	7,945	9,321	4,144	2,425	14,800	1,979
COL %.............	31.6%	16.5%	38.9%	18.8%	29.4%	24.6%	31.7%	35.4%	29.3%	56.5%	27.3%
MAINTENANCE.........	46,888	1,514	4,736	2,291	11,686	6,617	7,813	3,683	1,675	4,881	1,992
COL %.............	21.8%	16.8%	27.2%	9.8%	23.4%	20.5%	26.5%	31.5%	20.2%	18.6%	27.5%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T031 : SERVICES PROVIDED BY REGION

SERVICES PROVIDED (EXCLUDING COUNSELING)	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
OTHER SERVICE.......	56,075	2,097	4,566	2,850	9,002	9,534	8,783	1,606	2,178	12,813	2,646
COL %.............	26.1%	23.2%	26.3%	12.3%	18.0%	29.5%	29.8%	13.7%	26.3%	48.9%	36.5%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T032 : HOW SERVICES PROVIDED BY REGION

HOW SERVICES PROVIDED (EXCLUDING COUNSELING)	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %...............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO SERVICES RECORDED	8,316	453	536	86	560	6,054	341	68	196	21	1
COL %............	3.9%	5.0%	3.1%	0.4%	1.1%	18.7%	1.2%	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%	>0
PROVIDED DIRECTLY BY AGENCY...........	103,359	6,058	4,237	11,690	25,394	13,355	10,245	6,149	3,177	17,075	5,979
COL %............	48.1%	67.1%	24.4%	50.3%	50.8%	41.3%	34.8%	52.6%	38.4%	65.2%	82.4%
PURCHASED BY AGENCY.	100,962	2,259	12,588	10,964	23,555	12,571	18,546	5,369	4,819	9,015	1,276
COL %............	47.0%	25.0%	72.4%	47.1%	47.1%	38.9%	63.0%	45.9%	58.2%	34.4%	17.6%
COMPARABLE BENEFIT OBTAINED.........	2,160	206	20	517	480	367	304	106	74	86	0
COL %............	1.0%	2.3%	0.1%	2.2%	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%
NOT SPECIFIED.......	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	>0	0.0%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T033 : COUNSELING & GUIDANCE BY REGION

COUNSELING & GUIDANCE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,809	8,981	17,381	23,257	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,266	26,199	7,256
COL %............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
COUNSELING NOT RECORDED.........	21,312	2,083	650	2,671	418	6,874	896	2,088	1,839	3,248	545
COL %............	9.9%	23.2%	3.7%	11.5%	0.8%	21.3%	3.0%	17.9%	22.2%	12.4%	7.5%
PROVIDED DIRECTLY BY AGENCY...........	183,341	6,703	16,675	20,346	49,380	19,593	26,399	9,383	6,200	22,111	6,551
COL %............	85.4%	74.6%	95.9%	87.5%	98.8%	60.6%	89.7%	80.3%	75.0%	84.4%	90.3%
PURCHASED BY AGENCY.	6,893	111	176	464	594	806	2,250	515	153	1,399	425
COL %............	3.2%	1.2%	1.0%	2.0%	1.2%	2.5%	7.6%	4.4%	1.9%	5.3%	5.9%
COMPARABLE BENEFIT OBTAINED.........	9,138	615	56	368	1,537	2,209	1,084	1,248	384	1,307	330
COL %............	4.3%	6.8%	0.3%	1.6%	3.1%	6.8%	3.7%	10.7%	4.6%	5.0%	4.5%
NOT SPECIFIED.......	5,339	0	0	0	0	5,338	0	0	0	1	0
COL %............	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	>0	0.0%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T034 : SOURCES FURNISHING SERVICES BY REGION

SOURCES FURNISHING SERVICES	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO SOURCE RECORDED......	13,125	1,512	2,641	747	4,549	1,286	29	761	215	139	1,246
COL %............	6.1%	16.7%	15.2%	3.2%	9.1%	4.0%	0.1%	6.5%	2.6%	0.5%	17.2%
1 SOURCE RECORDED......	54,554	2,368	5,101	5,821	13,223	3,809	6,118	3,614	2,480	9,777	2,243
COL %............	25.4%	26.2%	29.3%	25.0%	26.5%	11.8%	20.8%	30.9%	29.9%	37.3%	30.9%
2+ SOURCES RECORDED......	147,195	5,149	9,639	16,691	32,218	27,253	23,292	7,317	5,586	16,283	3,767
COL %............	68.5%	57.0%	55.5%	71.8%	64.4%	84.2%	79.1%	62.6%	67.5%	62.2%	51.9%
EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION......	34,929	1,569	1,968	3,325	8,635	5,088	4,792	2,560	1,654	4,379	959
COL %............	16.3%	17.4%	11.3%	14.3%	17.3%	15.7%	16.3%	21.9%	20.0%	16.7%	13.2%
BUSINESS/VOCATIONAL SCHOOL...........	21,481	530	1,787	1,782	4,660	3,702	2,597	2,075	1,655	2,389	304
COL %............	10.0%	5.9%	10.3%	7.7%	9.3%	11.4%	8.8%	17.7%	20.0%	9.1%	4.2%
HOSPITAL/SANATORIUM.	32,886	1,447	931	4,984	13,505	2,843	5,462	1,439	726	1,332	217
COL %............	15.3%	16.0%	5.4%	21.4%	27.0%	8.8%	18.6%	12.3%	8.8%	5.1%	3.0%
HEALTH ORGANIZATION.	33,266	1,590	2,223	3,444	8,593	6,085	3,247	1,155	1,487	4,015	1,427
COL %............	15.5%	17.6%	12.8%	14.8%	17.2%	18.8%	11.0%	9.9%	18.0%	15.3%	19.7%
REHABILITATION FACILITY.........	62,821	2,664	7,591	6,177	14,364	11,141	5,211	3,852	1,588	7,627	2,606
COL %............	29.2%	29.5%	43.7%	26.6%	28.7%	34.4%	17.7%	32.9%	19.2%	29.1%	35.9%
WELFARE AGENCY......	13,793	745	543	1,020	3,935	2,015	1,044	1,006	537	1,187	1,761
COL %............	6.4%	8.3%	3.1%	4.4%	7.9%	6.2%	3.5%	8.6%	6.5%	4.5%	24.3%
OTHER PUBLIC AGENCY.	99,982	3,104	2,797	13,687	17,553	11,013	21,886	6,724	5,387	16,940	891
COL %............	46.5%	34.4%	16.1%	58.8%	35.1%	34.0%	74.3%	57.5%	65.1%	64.7%	12.3%
OTHER PRIVATE AGENCY	46,202	2,232	4,129	5,869	8,718	6,714	3,770	2,737	3,171	7,563	1,299
COL %............	21.5%	24.7%	23.8%	25.2%	17.4%	20.8%	12.8%	23.4%	38.3%	28.9%	17.9%
INDIVIDUAL(PRIVATE).	86,140	2,917	9,138	12,329	26,443	9,309	8,003	4,000	1,748	9,471	2,782
COL %............	40.1%	32.3%	52.6%	53.0%	52.9%	28.8%	27.2%	34.2%	21.1%	36.2%	38.3%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T035 : PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SOURCES FURNISHING SERVICES BY REGION

PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SOURCES FURNISHING SERVICES	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %..............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO SOURCE RECORDED..	13,125	1,512	2,641	747	4,549	1,286	29	761	215	139	1,246
COL %..............	6.1%	16.7%	15.2%	3.2%	9.1%	4.0%	0.1%	6.5%	2.6%	0.5%	17.2%
PUBLIC SOURCES ONLY.	139,506	4,961	5,603	17,547	32,018	17,108	22,083	8,801	6,101	21,413	3,871
COL %..............	64.9%	54.9%	32.2%	75.4%	64.0%	52.9%	75.0%	75.3%	73.7%	81.7%	53.3%
PRIVATE SOURCES ONLY	39,116	2,371	8,909	4,723	10,546	2,094	570	1,967	1,709	4,093	2,134
COL %..............	18.2%	26.3%	51.3%	20.3%	21.1%	6.5%	1.9%	16.8%	20.6%	15.6%	29.4%
BOTH SOURCES.........	4,419	116	163	240	2,876	245	40	163	21	550	5
COL %..............	2.1%	1.3%	0.9%	1.0%	5.8%	0.8%	0.1%	1.4%	0.3%	2.1%	0.1%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T036 : MONTHS IN VR FROM APP TO CLO BY REGION

MONTHS IN VR FROM APP TO CLO	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %................	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
UNDER 4 MONTHS......	6,018	128	116	266	2,323	326	959	153	61	1,621	65
COL %..............	2.8%	1.4%	0.7%	1.1%	4.6%	1.0%	3.3%	1.3%	0.7%	6.2%	0.9%
4 TO 6 MONTHS.......	24,469	608	983	2,380	7,107	2,673	3,523	1,372	535	4,760	528
COL %..............	11.4%	6.7%	5.7%	10.2%	14.2%	8.3%	12.0%	11.7%	6.5%	18.2%	7.3%
7 TO 9 MONTHS.......	28,780	1,034	1,677	3,399	7,342	4,192	3,745	1,502	775	4,135	979
COL %..............	13.4%	11.5%	9.6%	14.6%	14.7%	13.0%	12.7%	12.8%	9.4%	15.8%	13.5%
10 TO 12 MONTHS.....	26,130	1,044	2,140	3,139	6,140	4,005	3,337	1,268	845	3,248	964
COL %..............	12.2%	11.6%	12.3%	13.5%	12.3%	12.4%	11.3%	10.8%	10.2%	12.4%	13.3%
13 TO 18 MONTHS.....	39,865	1,686	3,788	4,425	8,612	6,147	5,507	2,025	1,387	4,742	1,546
COL %..............	18.6%	18.7%	21.8%	19.0%	17.2%	19.0%	18.7%	17.3%	16.7%	18.1%	21.3%
19 TO 24 MONTHS.....	25,725	1,263	2,416	2,705	5,269	4,165	3,597	1,467	1,099	2,736	1,008
COL %..............	12.0%	14.0%	13.9%	11.6%	10.5%	12.9%	12.2%	12.5%	13.3%	10.4%	13.9%
25 TO 36 MONTHS.....	28,594	1,395	2,300	3,302	5,985	4,707	4,069	1,783	1,407	2,506	1,140
COL %..............	13.3%	15.5%	13.2%	14.2%	12.0%	14.6%	13.8%	15.2%	17.0%	9.6%	15.7%
37 TO 48 MONTHS.....	14,679	735	1,241	1,541	3,364	2,613	1,927	834	833	1,090	501
COL %..............	6.8%	8.1%	7.1%	6.6%	6.7%	8.1%	6.5%	7.1%	10.1%	4.2%	6.9%
49 OR MORE MONTHS...	19,525	1,038	2,670	2,076	3,737	3,493	2,706	1,273	989	1,035	508
COL %..............	9.1%	11.5%	15.4%	8.9%	7.5%	10.8%	9.2%	10.9%	11.9%	4.0%	7.0%
MEAN MONTHS IN VR...	21.7	24.8	27.3	21.9	19.8	23.7	21.7	23.1	26.2	16.2	21.4
MEDIAN MONTHS IN VR.	15.0	18.0	18.0	15.0	14.0	17.0	16.0	17.0	20.0	12.0	16.0

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T036 : MONTHS IN VR FROM APP TO CLO BY REGION

MONTHS IN VR FROM APP TO CLO	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
STANDARD DEVIATION..	19.3	20.7	24.2	19.1	18.1	19.7	19.2	19.6	20.1	15.1	16.6
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	88.8	83.4	88.6	87.4	91.2	83.3	88.6	84.7	76.6	93.5	77.6

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T037 : SPECIAL PROGRAM CATEGORIES BY REGION

SPECIAL PROGRAM CATEGORIES	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,874	9,029	17,381	23,259	49,990	32,348	29,439	11,692	8,281	26,199	7,256
COL %...............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
MIGRATORY AGRICULTURAL WORKERS..........	741	2	17	3	6	50	269	0	132	165	97
COL %.............	0.3%	>0	0.1%	>0	>0	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.6%	1.3%
IN PROJECT-WITH-INDUSTRY............	2,327	108	2	224	538	539	377	323	9	60	147
COL %.............	1.1%	1.2%	>0	1.0%	1.1%	1.7%	1.3%	2.8%	0.1%	0.2%	2.0%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T038 : SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT STATUS BY REGION

SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT STATUS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	169,222	2,570	14,072	19,020	30,193	27,010	26,838	11,417	5,467	25,540	7,095
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NOT A SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT CASE..	159,868	2,324	13,307	18,166	29,118	25,328	25,985	10,847	5,050	23,108	6,635
COL %.............	94.5%	90.4%	94.6%	95.5%	96.4%	93.8%	96.8%	95.0%	92.4%	90.5%	93.5%
SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT CASE.............	9,354	246	765	854	1,075	1,682	853	570	417	2,432	460
COL %.............	5.5%	9.6%	5.4%	4.5%	3.6%	6.2%	3.2%	5.0%	7.6%	9.5%	6.5%
TITLE VI-C.......	6,709	199	198	653	627	947	770	469	343	2,201	302
COL %.............	4.0%	7.7%	1.4%	3.4%	2.1%	3.5%	2.9%	4.1%	6.3%	8.6%	4.3%
NOT TITLE VI-C...	2,645	47	567	201	448	735	83	101	74	231	158
COL %.............	1.6%	1.8%	4.0%	1.1%	1.5%	2.7%	0.3%	0.9%	1.4%	0.9%	2.2%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T039 : PREVIOUS CLOSURE STATUS BY REGION

PREVIOUS CLOSURE STATUS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	213,066	7,460	17,381	23,210	49,962	32,348	29,356	11,691	8,269	26,144	7,245
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO PREVIOUS CLOSURE WITHIN 36 MONTHS.	196,842	6,589	15,309	20,601	47,509	29,467	27,082	10,566	7,625	25,572	6,522
COL %.............	92.4%	88.3%	88.1%	88.8%	95.1%	91.1%	92.3%	90.4%	92.2%	97.8%	90.0%
PREVIOUS CLOSURE - REHABILITATED....	11,846	581	1,430	1,829	1,912	2,083	1,828	842	490	388	463
COL %.............	5.6%	7.8%	8.2%	7.9%	3.8%	6.4%	6.2%	7.2%	5.9%	1.5%	6.4%
PREVIOUS CLOSURE - NOT REHABILITATED	4,378	290	642	780	541	798	446	283	154	184	260
COL %.............	2.1%	3.9%	3.7%	3.4%	1.1%	2.5%	1.5%	2.4%	1.9%	0.7%	3.6%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T040 : WORK STATUS AT CLOSURE BY REGION

WORK STATUS AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	213,348	8,155	17,380	23,254	49,944	32,347	29,396	11,597	8,033	26,179	7,063
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SALARIED WORKERS....	188,371	7,221	14,444	19,234	45,293	27,941	25,472	10,197	7,176	24,650	6,743
COL %.............	88.3%	88.5%	83.1%	82.7%	90.7%	86.4%	86.7%	87.9%	89.3%	94.2%	95.5%
COMPETITIVE LABOR MARKET.........	176,766	6,733	11,614	18,099	44,293	25,134	24,408	8,780	6,927	24,333	6,445
COL %.............	82.9%	82.6%	66.8%	77.8%	88.7%	77.7%	83.0%	75.7%	86.2%	92.9%	91.3%
SHELTERED WORKSHOP......	11,605	488	2,830	1,135	1,000	2,807	1,064	1,417	249	317	298
COL %.............	5.4%	6.0%	16.3%	4.9%	2.0%	8.7%	3.6%	12.2%	3.1%	1.2%	4.2%
SELF-EMPLOYED.......	4,840	115	803	380	1,168	664	811	380	191	186	142
COL %.............	2.3%	1.4%	4.6%	1.6%	2.3%	2.1%	2.8%	3.3%	2.4%	0.7%	2.0%
BUS. ENTERPRISE PROGRAM..........	353	32	84	28	71	59	25	11	22	17	4
COL %.............	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%
HOMEMAKER...........	18,915	771	1,957	3,559	2,997	3,617	2,975	971	612	1,302	154
COL %.............	8.9%	9.5%	11.3%	15.3%	6.0%	11.2%	10.1%	8.4%	7.6%	5.0%	2.2%
UNPAID FAMILY WORKER	869	16	92	53	415	66	113	38	32	24	20
COL %.............	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T041 : OCCUPATION AT CLOSURE (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

OCCUPATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	214,867	9,028	17,381	23,259	49,986	32,348	29,439	11,691	8,280	26,199	7,256
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
PROFESSIONAL........	30,027	1,693	2,541	3,096	4,698	4,828	4,554	1,924	1,752	3,684	1,257
COL %.............	14.0%	18.8%	14.6%	13.3%	9.4%	14.9%	15.5%	16.5%	21.2%	14.1%	17.3%
ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING......	4,232	235	314	396	484	628	619	276	596	474	210
COL %.............	2.0%	2.6%	1.8%	1.7%	1.0%	1.9%	2.1%	2.4%	7.2%	1.8%	2.9%
MEDICINE & HEALTH	4,492	213	330	493	847	710	850	329	170	430	120
COL %.............	2.1%	2.4%	1.9%	2.1%	1.7%	2.2%	2.9%	2.8%	2.1%	1.6%	1.7%
EDUCATION........	3,616	194	336	344	524	583	688	238	186	408	115
COL %.............	1.7%	2.1%	1.9%	1.5%	1.0%	1.8%	2.3%	2.0%	2.2%	1.6%	1.6%
ADMIN. SPECIALTIES...	3,671	178	319	467	543	583	501	227	161	506	186
COL %.............	1.7%	2.0%	1.8%	2.0%	1.1%	1.8%	1.7%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	2.6%
MANAGERS & OFFICIALS NEC.	4,685	214	320	414	1,037	718	714	308	212	572	176
COL %.............	2.2%	2.4%	1.8%	1.8%	2.1%	2.2%	2.4%	2.6%	2.6%	2.2%	2.4%
VENDING STAND OPERATORS.....	123	6	6	3	28	54	7	1	4	14	0
COL %.............	0.1%	0.1%	>0	>0	0.1%	0.2%	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	0.0%
ALL OTHER PROFESSIONALS.	9,208	653	916	979	1,235	1,552	1,175	545	423	1,280	450
COL %.............	4.3%	7.2%	5.3%	4.2%	2.5%	4.8%	4.0%	4.7%	5.1%	4.9%	6.2%
CLERICAL............	31,630	1,338	2,906	3,536	6,017	4,201	3,983	1,489	1,198	5,601	1,361
COL %.............	14.7%	14.8%	16.7%	15.2%	12.0%	13.0%	13.5%	12.7%	14.5%	21.4%	18.8%
STENO/TYPING/FILING...........	12,369	562	1,476	1,426	1,932	1,745	1,383	612	443	2,245	545
COL %.............	5.8%	6.2%	8.5%	6.1%	3.9%	5.4%	4.7%	5.2%	5.4%	8.6%	7.5%
PROCESSING/COMPUTING..........	10,266	382	720	1,114	2,343	1,259	1,417	440	381	1,758	452
COL %.............	4.8%	4.2%	4.1%	4.8%	4.7%	3.9%	4.8%	3.8%	4.6%	6.7%	6.2%
OTHER CLERICAL...	8,995	394	710	996	1,742	1,197	1,183	437	374	1,598	364
COL %.............	4.2%	4.4%	4.1%	4.3%	3.5%	3.7%	4.0%	3.7%	4.5%	6.1%	5.0%
SALES...............	11,173	416	852	1,094	2,556	1,562	1,696	574	401	1,635	387
COL %.............	5.2%	4.6%	4.9%	4.7%	5.1%	4.8%	5.8%	4.9%	4.8%	6.2%	5.3%
VENDING STAND CLERK.........	276	12	9	70	50	62	30	7	8	18	10
COL %.............	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
ALL OTHER SALES..	10,897	404	843	1,024	2,506	1,500	1,666	567	393	1,617	377
COL %.............	5.1%	4.5%	4.9%	4.4%	5.0%	4.6%	5.7%	4.8%	4.7%	6.2%	5.2%
SERVICES............	49,226	1,625	2,899	5,272	13,378	7,489	6,953	2,610	1,846	5,547	1,607
COL %.............	22.9%	18.0%	16.7%	22.7%	26.8%	23.2%	23.6%	22.3%	22.3%	21.2%	22.1%
DOMESTIC SERVICE.	2,983	57	150	387	1,166	282	466	91	78	256	50
COL %.............	1.4%	0.6%	0.9%	1.7%	2.3%	0.9%	1.6%	0.8%	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T041 : OCCUPATION AT CLOSURE (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY REGION

OCCUPATION	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
FOOD & BEVERAGE PREP..........	17,767	596	1,001	1,787	5,299	2,720	2,189	971	659	2,008	537
COL %.............	8.3%	6.6%	5.8%	7.7%	10.6%	8.4%	7.4%	8.3%	8.0%	7.7%	7.4%
BUILDING SERVICE.	11,327	315	646	1,446	2,448	2,130	1,390	581	500	1,371	500
COL %.............	5.3%	3.5%	3.7%	6.2%	4.9%	6.6%	4.7%	5.0%	6.0%	5.2%	6.9%
ALL OTHER SERVICES......	17,149	657	1,102	1,652	4,465	2,357	2,908	967	609	1,912	520
COL %.............	8.0%	7.3%	6.3%	7.1%	8.9%	7.3%	9.9%	8.3%	7.4%	7.3%	7.2%
AGRICULTURAL........	5,686	160	253	415	1,849	545	791	279	227	954	213
COL %.............	2.6%	1.8%	1.5%	1.8%	3.7%	1.7%	2.7%	2.4%	2.7%	3.6%	2.9%
INDUSTRIAL..........	56,707	2,103	3,114	5,333	17,538	7,468	7,281	2,648	1,878	7,315	2,029
COL %.............	26.4%	23.3%	17.9%	22.9%	35.1%	23.1%	24.7%	22.6%	22.7%	27.9%	28.0%
PROCESSING.......	3,967	101	213	599	1,232	568	478	199	151	277	149
COL %.............	1.8%	1.1%	1.2%	2.6%	2.5%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	1.1%	2.1%
MACHINE TRADES...	11,461	396	646	981	3,394	1,741	1,550	558	402	1,312	481
COL %.............	5.3%	4.4%	3.7%	4.2%	6.8%	5.4%	5.3%	4.8%	4.9%	5.0%	6.6%
BENCHWORK........	10,521	523	668	884	3,149	1,744	1,188	607	356	979	423
COL %.............	4.9%	5.8%	3.8%	3.8%	6.3%	5.4%	4.0%	5.2%	4.3%	3.7%	5.8%
STRUCTURAL.......	15,282	484	766	1,563	5,114	1,480	2,086	583	480	2,353	373
COL %.............	7.1%	5.4%	4.4%	6.7%	10.2%	4.6%	7.1%	5.0%	5.8%	9.0%	5.1%
MISCELLANEOUS....	15,476	599	821	1,306	4,649	1,935	1,979	701	489	2,394	603
COL %.............	7.2%	6.6%	4.7%	5.6%	9.3%	6.0%	6.7%	6.0%	5.9%	9.1%	8.3%
HOMEMAKERS..........	19,225	1,103	1,957	3,559	3,001	3,619	2,986	977	568	1,301	154
COL %.............	8.9%	12.2%	11.3%	15.3%	6.0%	11.2%	10.1%	8.4%	6.9%	5.0%	2.1%
SHELTERED WORKSHOP WORKERS, N.E.C...	9,177	281	2,749	758	568	2,304	808	1,171	167	175	196
COL %.............	4.3%	3.1%	15.8%	3.3%	1.1%	7.1%	2.7%	10.0%	2.0%	0.7%	2.7%
UNPAID FAMILY WORKERS, N.E.C...	693	7	79	42	362	33	104	29	21	12	4
COL %.............	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	>0	0.1%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T042 : WEEKLY EARNINGS AT CLOSURE BY REGION

WEEKLY EARNINGS AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	208,434	8,382	17,369	23,251	49,967	32,222	25,326	11,688	8,127	26,193	5,909
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO EARNINGS.........	19,376	1,000	2,051	3,608	3,435	3,678	2,306	1,015	704	1,322	257
COL %.............	9.3%	11.9%	11.8%	15.5%	6.9%	11.4%	9.1%	8.7%	8.7%	5.0%	4.3%
SOME EARNINGS.......	188,992	7,382	15,317	19,637	46,518	28,533	23,017	10,659	7,413	24,868	5,648
COL %.............	90.7%	88.1%	88.2%	84.5%	93.1%	88.6%	90.9%	91.2%	91.2%	94.9%	95.6%
LESS THAN $100...	30,270	1,380	4,582	2,771	4,830	6,473	3,006	2,610	1,283	2,412	923
COL %.............	14.5%	16.5%	26.4%	11.9%	9.7%	20.1%	11.9%	22.3%	15.8%	9.2%	15.6%
$100 - $149......	28,884	784	2,148	2,975	8,625	4,394	4,381	1,572	1,009	2,391	605
COL %.............	13.9%	9.4%	12.4%	12.8%	17.3%	13.6%	17.3%	13.4%	12.4%	9.1%	10.2%
$150 - $199......	37,475	756	1,790	3,803	12,732	4,931	5,186	2,024	1,479	3,864	910
COL %.............	18.0%	9.0%	10.3%	16.4%	25.5%	15.3%	20.5%	17.3%	18.2%	14.8%	15.4%
$200 - $249......	35,469	1,161	2,059	3,837	9,615	4,399	4,282	1,853	1,443	5,650	1,170
COL %.............	17.0%	13.9%	11.9%	16.5%	19.2%	13.7%	16.9%	15.9%	17.8%	21.6%	19.8%
$250 - $299......	18,378	993	1,339	2,115	4,172	2,453	1,959	921	720	3,084	622
COL %.............	8.8%	11.8%	7.7%	9.1%	8.3%	7.6%	7.7%	7.9%	8.9%	11.8%	10.5%
$300 - $399......	21,227	1,327	1,668	2,294	3,840	3,084	2,400	980	832	4,028	774
COL %.............	10.2%	15.8%	9.6%	9.9%	7.7%	9.6%	9.5%	8.4%	10.2%	15.4%	13.1%
$400 - $499......	9,410	569	839	1,000	1,524	1,440	1,025	411	368	1,880	354
COL %.............	4.5%	6.8%	4.8%	4.3%	3.1%	4.5%	4.0%	3.5%	4.5%	7.2%	6.0%
$500 AND OVER....	7,879	412	892	842	1,180	1,359	778	288	279	1,559	290
COL %.............	3.8%	4.9%	5.1%	3.6%	2.4%	4.2%	3.1%	2.5%	3.4%	6.0%	4.9%
PROFIT OF FARMERS...	66	0	1	6	14	11	3	14	10	3	4
COL %.............	>0	0.0%	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.1%
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS-ALL CLIENTS..........	192.63	208.73	175.83	184.46	187.26	181.90	185.46	170.36	191.03	240.74	219.01
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS-$1 OR MORE.............	212.38	237.01	199.38	218.35	201.09	205.35	204.04	186.58	209.17	253.54	228.97
MEDIAN WEEKLY EARN-$1 OR MORE..	190.04	230.01	165.04	200.01	180.02	178.02	180.01	170.02	190.05	225.05	200.05
STANDARD DEVIATION..	134.6	150.6	161.3	132.6	111.4	145.8	121.1	127.6	131.1	143.4	142.2
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	63.4	63.6	80.9	60.7	55.4	71.0	59.4	68.4	62.7	56.6	62.1

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T043 : WEEKLY HOURS WORKED AT CLOSURE BY REGION

WEEKLY HOURS WORKED AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	195,347	8,269	17,363	23,245	49,928	22,370	24,426	11,684	5,976	26,183	5,903
COL %....	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	19,376	1,000	2,051	3,608	3,435	3,678	2,306	1,015	704	1,322	257
COL %.............	9.9%	12.1%	11.8%	15.5%	6.9%	16.4%	9.4%	8.7%	11.8%	5.0%	4.4%
WORKED..............	175,971	7,269	15,312	19,637	46,493	18,692	22,120	10,669	5,272	24,861	5,646
COL %.............	90.1%	87.9%	88.2%	84.5%	93.1%	83.6%	90.6%	91.3%	88.2%	95.0%	95.6%
PART-TIME........	45,901	2,629	5,770	5,015	9,157	6,422	4,821	3,380	1,257	5,880	1,570
COL %.............	23.5%	31.8%	33.2%	21.6%	18.3%	28.7%	19.7%	28.9%	21.0%	22.5%	26.6%
LESS THAN 20 HOURS........	5,400	628	606	604	853	826	608	398	150	518	209
COL %.............	2.8%	7.6%	3.5%	2.6%	1.7%	3.7%	2.5%	3.4%	2.5%	2.0%	3.5%
20 - 34 HOURS...	40,501	2,001	5,164	4,411	8,304	5,596	4,213	2,982	1,107	5,362	1,361
COL %.............	20.7%	24.2%	29.7%	19.0%	16.6%	25.0%	17.2%	25.5%	18.5%	20.5%	23.1%
FULL-TIME........	130,070	4,640	9,542	14,622	37,336	12,270	17,299	7,289	4,015	18,981	4,076
COL %.............	66.6%	56.1%	55.0%	62.9%	74.8%	54.9%	70.8%	62.4%	67.2%	72.5%	69.0%
35 - 44 HOURS...	125,379	4,432	9,319	14,192	35,681	11,699	16,590	6,914	3,879	18,667	4,006
COL %.............	64.2%	53.6%	53.7%	61.1%	71.5%	52.3%	67.9%	59.2%	64.9%	71.3%	67.9%
45 HOURS OR MORE	4,691	208	223	430	1,655	571	709	375	136	314	70
COL %.............	2.4%	2.5%	1.3%	1.8%	3.3%	2.6%	2.9%	3.2%	2.3%	1.2%	1.2%
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-ALL CLIENTS	29.4	27.0	29.6	30.4	34.6	19.9	27.6	32.4	23.1	34.4	27.5
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..........	35.9	33.5	33.6	36.0	37.2	34.5	36.8	35.5	36.2	36.3	35.4
MEDIAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..	40.0	40.0	38.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0
STANDARD DEVIATION..	8.0	9.9	8.6	7.7	7.1	9.1	7.6	8.4	8.1	7.5	8.5
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	22.4	29.6	25.5	21.5	19.1	26.4	20.7	23.7	22.2	20.8	23.9

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T044 : HOURLY WAGE RATE AT CLOSURE BY REGION

HOURLY WAGE RATE AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL..............	195,270	8,261	17,361	23,237	49,914	22,366	24,416	11,667	5,972	26,179	5,897
COL %............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	19,376	1,000	2,051	3,608	3,435	3,678	2,306	1,015	704	1,322	257
COL %............	9.9%	12.1%	11.8%	15.5%	6.9%	16.4%	9.4%	8.7%	11.8%	5.0%	4.4%
WORKED..............	175,894	7,261	15,310	19,629	46,479	18,688	22,110	10,652	5,268	24,857	5,640
COL %............	90.1%	87.9%	88.2%	84.5%	93.1%	83.6%	90.6%	91.3%	88.2%	95.0%	95.6%
UNDER $1.........	3,976	182	1,236	351	362	370	399	776	75	158	67
COL %............	2.0%	2.2%	7.1%	1.5%	0.7%	1.7%	1.6%	6.7%	1.3%	0.6%	1.1%
$1 - $1.99.......	5,627	276	1,349	587	735	887	483	598	99	436	177
COL %............	2.9%	3.3%	7.8%	2.5%	1.5%	4.0%	2.0%	5.1%	1.7%	1.7%	3.0%
$2 - $2.99.......	6,274	222	1,021	617	1,469	828	891	420	148	458	200
COL %............	3.2%	2.7%	5.9%	2.7%	2.9%	3.7%	3.6%	3.6%	2.5%	1.7%	3.4%
$3 - $3.99.......	28,104	390	1,995	2,833	10,307	3,225	5,075	1,917	880	996	486
COL %............	14.4%	4.7%	11.5%	12.2%	20.6%	14.4%	20.8%	16.4%	14.7%	3.8%	8.2%
$4 - $4.99.......	34,974	708	1,818	3,840	11,697	3,803	4,256	2,042	1,013	4,689	1,108
COL %............	17.9%	8.6%	10.5%	16.5%	23.4%	17.0%	17.4%	17.5%	17.0%	17.9%	18.8%
$5 - $5.99.......	31,460	1,280	1,954	3,657	8,944	2,966	3,873	1,764	990	4,939	1,093
COL %............	16.1%	15.5%	11.3%	15.7%	17.9%	13.3%	15.9%	15.1%	16.6%	18.9%	18.5%
$6 - $6.99.......	20,562	1,104	1,527	2,515	4,935	1,933	2,334	1,099	681	3,665	769
COL %............	10.5%	13.4%	8.8%	10.8%	9.9%	8.6%	9.6%	9.4%	11.4%	14.0%	13.0%
$7 - $7.99.......	13,459	942	1,227	1,620	2,807	1,253	1,449	611	407	2,659	484
COL %............	6.9%	11.4%	7.1%	7.0%	5.6%	5.6%	5.9%	5.2%	6.8%	10.2%	8.2%
$8 - $8.99.......	9,092	682	784	1,035	1,608	909	1,038	439	280	1,974	343
COL %............	4.7%	8.3%	4.5%	4.5%	3.2%	4.1%	4.3%	3.8%	4.7%	7.5%	5.8%
$9 - $9.99.......	4,998	331	441	600	791	648	487	262	131	1,098	209
COL %............	2.6%	4.0%	2.5%	2.6%	1.6%	2.9%	2.0%	2.2%	2.2%	4.2%	3.5%
$10 AND OVER.....	17,368	1,144	1,958	1,974	2,824	1,866	1,825	724	564	3,785	704
COL %............	8.9%	13.8%	11.3%	8.5%	5.7%	8.3%	7.5%	6.2%	9.4%	14.5%	11.9%
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-ALL CLIENTS.	5.82	6.85	5.63	5.93	5.35	5.80	5.48	5.06	6.06	6.93	6.32
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS............	5.95	7.02	6.07	6.03	5.39	5.91	5.57	5.42	6.15	6.97	6.40
MEDIAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS............	5.00	6.38	5.00	5.00	4.70	5.00	5.00	4.88	5.00	6.00	5.50
STANDARD DEVIATION..	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.3	3.1	4.6	3.0	3.0	5.4	4.6	4.4
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	64.1	54.1	69.0	54.9	57.0	77.7	53.7	54.8	88.7	65.6	69.3

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T045 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WHOLE COHORT BY REGION

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WHOLE COHORT	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	194,736	8,244	17,354	23,213	49,873	22,350	24,388	11,656	5,909	25,864	5,885
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	19,294	994	2,051	3,608	3,435	3,678	2,305	1,014	647	1,305	257
COL %.............	9.9%	12.1%	11.8%	15.5%	6.9%	16.5%	9.5%	8.7%	10.9%	5.0%	4.4%
WORKED..............	175,442	7,250	15,303	19,605	46,438	18,672	22,083	10,642	5,262	24,559	5,628
COL %.............	90.1%	87.9%	88.2%	84.5%	93.1%	83.5%	90.5%	91.3%	89.1%	95.0%	95.6%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.....	26,317	914	4,715	2,581	5,931	3,185	3,707	2,497	665	1,499	623
COL %.............	13.5%	11.1%	27.2%	11.1%	11.9%	14.3%	15.2%	21.4%	11.3%	5.8%	10.6%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE..........	149,125	6,336	10,588	17,024	40,507	15,487	18,376	8,145	4,597	23,060	5,005
COL %.............	76.6%	76.9%	61.0%	73.3%	81.2%	69.3%	75.3%	69.9%	77.8%	89.2%	85.0%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T046 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WORKERS ONLY BY REGION

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WORKERS ONLY	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	175,442	7,250	15,303	19,605	46,438	18,672	22,083	10,642	5,262	24,559	5,628
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.............	26,317	914	4,715	2,581	5,931	3,185	3,707	2,497	665	1,499	623
COL %.............	15.0%	12.6%	30.8%	13.2%	12.8%	17.1%	16.8%	23.5%	12.6%	6.1%	11.1%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMIM WAGE RATE........	149,125	6,336	10,588	17,024	40,507	15,487	18,376	8,145	4,597	23,060	5,005
COL %.............	85.0%	87.4%	69.2%	86.8%	87.2%	82.9%	83.2%	76.5%	87.4%	93.9%	88.9%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T047 : SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT OUTCOME BY REGION

SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT OUTCOME	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL SUPPORTED EMPL CASES............	9,354	246	765	854	1,075	1,682	853	570	417	2,432	460
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ALL CRITERIA MET....	6,966	62	537	712	675	1,246	641	417	195	2,155	326
COL %.............	74.5%	25.2%	70.2%	83.4%	62.8%	74.1%	75.1%	73.2%	46.8%	88.6%	70.9%
SOME CRITERIA MET...	896	23	38	69	64	283	81	71	37	186	44
COL %.............	9.6%	9.3%	5.0%	8.1%	6.0%	16.8%	9.5%	12.5%	8.9%	7.6%	9.6%
NOT COMPETITIVELY EMPLOYED.........	149	38	4	4	5	14	55	13	5	10	1
COL %.............	1.6%	15.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.8%	6.4%	2.3%	1.2%	0.4%	0.2%
OUTCOME NOT REPORTED	1,343	123	186	69	331	139	76	69	180	81	89
COL %.............	14.4%	50.0%	24.3%	8.1%	30.8%	8.3%	8.9%	12.1%	43.2%	3.3%	19.3%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T048 : PRIMARY SOURCE OF SUPPORT AT CLOSURE BY REGION

PRIMARY SOURCE OF SUPPORT AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	135,968	6,262	7,627	10,761	31,107	14,481	23,183	8,191	5,387	22,271	6,698
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CURRENT INCOME......	109,493	4,513	5,719	8,074	27,015	9,667	20,294	5,581	4,712	18,386	5,532
COL %.............	80.5%	72.1%	75.0%	75.0%	86.8%	66.8%	87.5%	68.1%	87.5%	82.6%	82.6%
FAMILY & FRIENDS....	11,521	517	623	1,124	2,581	1,671	1,366	1,205	509	1,492	433
COL %.............	8.5%	8.3%	8.2%	10.4%	8.3%	11.5%	5.9%	14.7%	9.4%	6.7%	6.5%
PRIVATE RELIEF AGENCY...........	75	7	5	25	4	8	9	9	4	4	0
COL %.............	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	>0	0.1%	>0	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.0%
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE (FEDERAL)........	7,303	703	241	690	821	1,408	683	789	99	1,453	416
COL %.............	5.4%	11.2%	3.2%	6.4%	2.6%	9.7%	2.9%	9.6%	1.8%	6.5%	6.2%
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE (NON-FEDERAL)....	396	44	9	31	27	135	54	16	3	59	18
COL %.............	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
PUBLIC INSTITUTION..	299	8	24	21	73	22	52	60	0	36	3
COL %.............	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.2%	>0
WORKER'S COMPENSATION.....	130	16	5	24	26	27	18	0	1	7	6
COL %.............	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	>0	>0	0.1%
SSDI BENEFITS.......	3,648	277	381	416	370	867	409	391	42	273	222
COL %.............	2.7%	4.4%	5.0%	3.9%	1.2%	6.0%	1.8%	4.8%	0.8%	1.2%	3.3%
OTHER PUBLIC SOURCES	1,715	68	511	179	80	436	155	90	8	160	28
COL %.............	1.3%	1.1%	6.7%	1.7%	0.3%	3.0%	0.7%	1.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.4%
ALL OTHER SOURCES...	1,388	109	109	177	110	240	143	50	9	401	40
COL %.............	1.0%	1.7%	1.4%	1.6%	0.4%	1.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	1.8%	0.6%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T049 : MONTHLY AMOUNT OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE AT CLOSURE BY REGION

MONTHLY PA AMOUNT AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL WITH POSITIVE PA $.............	20,607	1,345	660	1,668	3,154	4,112	2,004	1,578	197	4,691	1,198
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
$1 - $99............	2,028	152	206	153	586	258	223	173	9	175	93
COL %.............	9.8%	11.3%	31.2%	9.2%	18.6%	6.3%	11.1%	11.0%	4.6%	3.7%	7.8%
$100 - $249.........	4,193	161	130	458	814	904	610	378	52	472	214
COL %.............	20.3%	12.0%	19.7%	27.5%	25.8%	22.0%	30.4%	24.0%	26.4%	10.1%	17.9%
$250 - $499.........	10,088	753	284	887	1,491	2,214	1,068	955	117	1,546	773
COL %.............	49.0%	56.0%	43.0%	53.2%	47.3%	53.8%	53.3%	60.5%	59.4%	33.0%	64.5%
$500 - $749.........	3,677	234	32	137	224	558	78	65	19	2,234	96
COL %.............	17.8%	17.4%	4.8%	8.2%	7.1%	13.6%	3.9%	4.1%	9.6%	47.6%	8.0%
$750 - $999.........	621	45	8	33	39	178	25	7	0	264	22
COL %.............	3.0%	3.3%	1.2%	2.0%	1.2%	4.3%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	5.6%	1.8%
TOTAL PA AMOUNT ($000)...........	7214.98	487.59	156.24	519.47	872.01	1473.68	567.79	458.58	62.56	2219.34	397.73
MEAN PA AMOUNT......	350.12	362.52	236.73	311.43	276.48	358.39	283.33	290.61	317.56	473.10	332.00
MEDIAN PA AMOUNT....	346.07	365.04	241.53	324.04	276.10	349.56	300.01	309.56	301.11	500.12	354.04
STANDARD DEVIATION..	190.2	192.6	177.6	162.1	169.0	187.1	144.3	131.9	123.7	196.4	155.8
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	54.3	53.1	75.0	52.1	61.1	52.2	50.9	45.4	39.0	41.5	46.9

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T050 : MEDICAL INSURANCE COVERAGE AT CLOSURE BY REGION

MEDICAL INSURANCE COVERAGE AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION			
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION IV	REGION X
TOTAL...............	11,257	2,768	4,088	1,272	3,129
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO MEDICAL COVERAGE.	10,397	2,396	4,079	793	3,129
COL %.............	92.4%	86.6%	99.8%	62.3%	100.0%
MEDICAL COVERAGE....	860	372	9	479	0
COL %.............	7.6%	13.4%	0.2%	37.7%	0.0%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T051 : MEDICAL INSURANCE AVAILABLE ON JOB AT CLOSURE BY REGION

MEDICAL INSURANCE AVAILABLE ON JOB CLOSURE	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL...............	35,739	1,764	6,793	4,020	7,897	4,406	3,924	1,400	857	1,529	3,149
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SALARIED WORKER.....	10,762	830	3,857	0	3,246	0	0	0	0	0	2,829
COL %.............	30.1%	47.1%	56.8%	0.0%	41.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.8%
MED INSURANCE NOT AVAILABLE AT JOB...........	10,170	830	3,856	0	2,655	0	0	0	0	0	2,829
COL %.............	28.5%	47.1%	56.8%	0.0%	33.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.8%
MED INSURANCE AVAILABLE AT JOB...........	592	0	1	0	591	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %.............	1.7%	0.0%	>0	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NOT SALARIED........	24,977	934	2,936	4,020	4,651	4,406	3,924	1,400	857	1,529	320
COL %.............	69.9%	52.9%	43.2%	100.0%	58.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	10.2%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T052 : AGENCY LISTING BY REGION

AGENCY COUNTS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL................	214,867	9,028	17,381	23,259	49,986	32,348	29,439	11,691	8,280	26,199	7,256
COL %................	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ALABAMA..............	6,188	0	0	0	6,188	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
ALASKA...............	371	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	371
COL %..............	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
ARIZONA..............	2,385	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,385	0
COL %..............	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
ARKANSAS.............	2,680	0	0	0	0	0	2,680	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CALIFORNIA...........	22,350	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,350	0
COL %..............	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.3%	0.0%
COLORADO.............	2,346	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,346	0	0
COL %..............	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CONNECTICUT..........	1,623	1,623	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	0.8%	18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DELAWARE.............	730	0	0	730	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	890	0	0	890	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
FLORIDA..............	7,127	0	0	0	7,127	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
GEORGIA..............	6,965	0	0	0	6,965	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
GUAM.................	99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0
COL %..............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
HAWAII...............	781	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	781	0
COL %..............	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
IDAHO................	1,128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,128
COL %..............	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%
ILLINOIS.............	6,481	0	0	0	0	6,481	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
INDIANA..............	5,338	0	0	0	0	5,338	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
IOWA.................	2,994	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,994	0	0	0
COL %..............	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
KANSAS...............	1,738	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,738	0	0	0
COL %..............	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
KENTUCKY.............	4,083	0	0	0	4,083	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOUISIANA............	3,172	0	0	0	0	0	3,172	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MAINE................	632	632	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	0.3%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MARYLAND.............	3,308	0	0	3,308	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MASSACHUSETTS........	4,186	4,186	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %..............	1.9%	46.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MICHIGAN.............	5,786	0	0	0	0	5,786	0	0	0	0	0

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T052 : AGENCY LISTING BY REGION

AGENCY COUNTS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
MICHIGAN											
COL %............	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MINNESOTA...........	3,039	0	0	0	0	3,039	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MISSISSIPPI.........	2,681	0	0	0	2,681	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MISSOURI............	5,216	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,216	0	0	0
COL %............	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MONTANA.............	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	900	0	0
COL %............	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
NEBRASKA............	1,469	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,469	0	0	0
COL %............	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NEVADA..............	553	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	553	0
COL %............	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
NEW HAMPSHIRE.......	1,019	1,019	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.5%	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NEW JERSEY..........	3,729	0	3,729	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.7%	0.0%	21.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NEW MEXICO..........	865	0	0	0	0	0	865	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NEW YORK............	9,273	0	9,273	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	4.3%	0.0%	53.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NORTH CAROLINA......	8,541	0	0	0	8,541	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NORTH DAKOTA........	817	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	817	0	0
COL %............	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%
NORTHERN MARIANAS...	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
OHIO................	5,863	0	0	0	0	5,863	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
OKLAHOMA............	3,483	0	0	0	0	0	3,483	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
OREGON..............	2,001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,001
COL %............	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.6%
PALAU...............	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	>0	0.0%
PENNSYLVANIA........	10,878	0	0	10,878	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	46.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
PUERTO RICO.........	3,120	0	3,120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.5%	0.0%	18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RHODE ISLAND........	615	615	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.3%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SOUTH CAROLINA......	8,350	0	0	0	8,350	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SOUTH DAKOTA........	659	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	659	0	0
COL %............	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
TENNESSEE...........	3,839	0	0	0	3,839	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
TEXAS...............	17,110	0	0	0	0	0	17,110	0	0	0	0

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T052 : AGENCY LISTING BY REGION

AGENCY COUNTS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TEXAS											
COL %............	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
UTAH..............	3,128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,128	0	0
COL %............	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.8%	0.0%	0.0%
VERMONT...........	503	503	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.2%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
VIRGINIA..........	4,104	0	0	4,104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
VIRGIN ISLANDS......	18	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
WASHINGTON........	3,503	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,503
COL %............	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48.3%
WEST VIRGINIA.......	2,619	0	0	2,619	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
WISCONSIN.........	4,988	0	0	0	0	4,988	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
WYOMING...........	318	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	318	0	0
COL %............	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CONNECTICUT BLIND...	131	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DELAWARE BLIND......	41	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
FLORIDA BLIND.......	971	0	0	0	971	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
IDAHO BLIND.........	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
IOWA BLIND..........	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	0	0	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
KENTUCKY BLIND......	396	0	0	0	396	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MASSACHUSETTS BLIND.	180	180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MICHIGAN BLIND......	414	0	0	0	0	414	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MINNESOTA BLIND.....	439	0	0	0	0	439	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MISSOURI BLIND......	188	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	0	0	0
COL %............	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NEW JERSEY BLIND....	480	0	480	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.2%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NEW MEXICO BLIND....	53	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	0	0	0
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NEW YORK BLIND......	761	0	761	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.4%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NORTH CAROLINA BLIND	845	0	0	0	845	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
OREGON BLIND........	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67
COL %............	>0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
PENNSYLVANIA BLIND..	449	0	0	449	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T052 : AGENCY LISTING BY REGION

AGENCY COUNTS	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
PENNSYLVANIA BLIND											
COL %............	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RHODE ISLAND BLIND..	54	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	>0	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SOUTH DAKOTA BLIND..	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	112	0	0
COL %............	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
TEXAS BLIND.........	2,076	0	0	0	0	0	2,076	0	0	0	0
COL %............	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
VERMONT BLIND.......	85	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	>0	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
VIRGINIA BLIND......	240	0	0	240	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
WASHINGTON BLIND....	161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	161
COL %............	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T053 : ECONOMIC GAINS DURING VR BY REGION

ECONOMIC GAINS DURING VR	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL IN REHAB BASE.	214,867	9,028	17,381	23,259	49,986	32,348	29,439	11,691	8,280	26,199	7,256
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
AGGREGATE WEEKLY EARNINGS AT APPLICATION ($000)...........	8080.19	562.83	851.35	1066.85	1604.12	1314.27	961.34	391.11	326.54	749.56	252.22
AGGREGATE WEEKLY EARNINGS AT CLOSURE ($000)	40138.47	1749.62	3053.85	4287.79	9354.10	5859.18	4696.36	1988.79	1550.61	6304.94	1293.24
DIFFERENCE ($000)...	+32058.28	+1186.78	+2202.50	+3220.94	+7749.98	+4544.91	+3735.03	+1597.68	+1224.07	+5555.37	+1041.02
COMPETITIVELY/SELF-EMPLOYED AT APP..	39,995	2,341	3,238	5,214	8,930	6,138	5,582	2,549	1,571	3,235	1,197
COL %.............	18.6%	25.9%	18.6%	22.4%	17.9%	19.0%	19.0%	21.8%	19.0%	12.3%	16.5%
COMPETITIVELY/SELF-EMPLOYED AT CLO..	181,606	6,848	12,417	18,479	45,461	25,798	25,219	9,160	7,118	24,519	6,587
COL %.............	84.5%	75.9%	71.4%	79.4%	90.9%	79.8%	85.7%	78.4%	86.0%	93.6%	90.8%
DIFFERENCE IN %'s...	+65.9%	+49.9%	+52.8%	+57.0%	+73.1%	+60.8%	+66.7%	+56.5%	+67.0%	+81.2%	+74.3%
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS AT APPLICATION...	38.96	67.52	49.06	46.07	32.22	41.15	38.15	33.54	40.62	28.74	43.26
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS AT CLOSURE.......	192.63	208.73	175.83	184.46	187.26	181.90	185.46	170.36	191.03	240.74	219.01
DIFFERENCE..........	+153.67	+141.22	+126.77	+138.39	+155.04	+140.75	+147.31	+136.82	+150.41	+212.00	+175.75
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS AT APPLICATION......	5.77	8.20	7.01	7.80	5.81	3.50	5.90	6.99	3.71	4.86	6.33
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS AT CLOSURE..........	29.42	26.99	29.61	30.37	34.59	19.92	27.64	32.37	23.06	34.40	27.51
DIFFERENCE..........	+23.65	+18.79	+22.61	+22.57	+28.78	+16.42	+21.74	+25.38	+19.35	+29.54	+21.17
WORKING FULL-TIME(35+ HOURS) AT APPLICATION......	21,516	1,364	2,259	3,366	5,350	1,787	2,842	1,252	469	2,009	818
COL %.............	10.0%	15.1%	13.0%	14.5%	10.7%	5.5%	9.7%	10.7%	5.7%	7.7%	11.3%
WORKING FULL-TIME(35+ HOURS) AT CLOSURE	130,070	4,640	9,542	14,622	37,336	12,270	17,299	7,289	4,015	18,981	4,076
COL %.............	60.5%	51.4%	54.9%	62.9%	74.7%	37.9%	58.8%	62.3%	48.5%	72.4%	56.2%
DIFFERENCE IN %'s...	+50.5%	+36.3%	+41.9%	+48.4%	+64.0%	+32.4%	+49.1%	+51.6%	+42.8%	+64.8%	+44.9%
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE AT APPLICATION......	1.25	5.76	1.40	1.34	0.93	1.04	0.99	1.09	0.66	0.93	1.34
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE AT CLOSURE..	5.82	6.85	5.63	5.93	5.35	5.80	5.48	5.06	6.06	6.93	6.32
DIFFERENCE	+4.57	+1.09	+4.23	+4.59	+4.42	+4.76	+4.49	+3.97	+5.40	+6.00	+4.98

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T053 : ECONOMIC GAINS DURING VR BY REGION

ECONOMIC GAINS DURING VR	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
WORKING AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE AT APPLICATION...	28954.00	2147.00	2622.00	4322.00	6785.00	2706.00	3760.00	1792.00	700.00	3139.00	981.00
COL %............	13.5%	23.8%	15.1%	18.6%	13.6%	8.4%	12.8%	15.3%	8.5%	12.0%	13.5%
WORKING AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE AT CLOSURE.......	149125.00	6336.00	10588.00	17024.00	40507.00	15487.00	18376.00	8145.00	4597.00	23060.00	5005.00
COL %............	69.4%	70.2%	60.9%	73.2%	81.0%	47.9%	62.4%	69.7%	55.5%	88.0%	69.0%
DIFFERENCE IN %'s...	+55.9%	+46.4%	+45.8%	+54.6%	+67.5%	+39.5%	+49.6%	+54.3%	+47.1%	+76.0%	+55.5%
AGGREGATE PA AMOUNT AT APP ($000)....	9601.33	683.25	251.74	820.96	1066.66	2054.14	727.84	499.29	0.00	2675.34	822.11
AGGREGATE PA AMOUNT AT CLO ($000)....	7214.98	487.59	156.24	519.47	872.01	1473.68	567.79	458.58	62.56	2219.34	397.73
DIFFERENCE ($000)...	-2386.35	-195.67	-95.49	-301.49	-194.66	-580.45	-160.05	-40.71	+62.56	-456.01	-424.38
OWN INCOME AS PRIMARY SOURCE OF SUPPORT AT APP...	39,237	2,116	3,208	5,214	8,923	5,940	6,043	1,717	1,609	3,186	1,281
COL %............	18.3%	23.4%	18.5%	22.4%	17.9%	18.4%	20.5%	14.7%	19.4%	12.2%	17.7%
OWN INCOME AS PRIMARY SOURCE OF SUPPORT AT CLO...	109,493	4,513	5,719	8,074	27,015	9,667	20,294	5,581	4,712	18,386	5,532
COL %............	51.0%	50.0%	32.9%	34.7%	54.0%	29.9%	68.9%	47.7%	56.9%	70.2%	76.2%
DIFFERENCE IN %'s...	+32.7%	+26.6%	+14.4%	+12.3%	+36.2%	+11.5%	+48.4%	+33.1%	+37.5%	+58.0%	+58.6%
MEDICAL INSURANCE AVAILABLE ON JOB AT APPLICATION...	365	0	4	187	79	0	0	95	0	0	0
COL %............	0.2%	0.0%	>0	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MEDICAL INSURANCE AVAILABLE ON JOB AT CLOSURE.......	592	0	1	0	591	0	0	0	0	0	0
COL %............	0.3%	0.0%	>0	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DIFFERENCE IN %'s...	+0.1%	0.0%	-0.0%	-0.8%	+1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

>0 Value too small to display.

Figure 1 - Age at Application of Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990



(Mean age - 33.9 years)

Figure 2 - Major Disabling Conditions of Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990



Figure 3 - Cost of Services Provided to Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990

MEAN COSTS

THOSE SERVED WITH COST - $2,445
ALL CLIENTS REHABILITATED - $2,336



Costs are expenditures made for the purchase of services for clients over the life-of-the-case. They do not include administrative costs and counselor and other staff salaries.

Figure 4A - Type of Services Provided to Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990



NOTE: Services provided encompass the receipt of services by individuals regardless of the source of funding. Percentages are not additive because many individuals receive multiple services. Counseling & guidance services are excluded because all rehabilitated persons receive them.

Figure 4B - Type of Training Services Provided to Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990



NOTE: Percentages are not additive because many individuals receive multiple training services.

Figure 5 - Economic Gains During VR Experienced by Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990



Full-time is 35 hours or more per week.

Figure 5 - Economic Gains During VR Experienced by Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990 (continued)



Economic status

Means based on total number of persons rehabilitated.

Figure 6 - Occupation at Closure for Persons Rehabilitated in FY 1990



*Includes vending stand personnel under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS NOT ACCEPTED FOR VR, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T054 : REASONS FOR CLOSURE NOT ACCEPTED BY REGION

REASONS FOR CLOSURE NOT ACCEPTED	TOTAL	REGION										
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X	NOT REPORTED
TOTAL...............	265,761	10,048	24,152	27,403	68,930	39,262	26,971	17,246	10,516	31,820	9,412	1
COL %..............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CANNOT LOCATE/MOVED.	46,004	1,923	3,717	4,171	10,794	4,295	3,369	2,141	2,225	11,223	2,146	0
COL %..............	17.3%	19.1%	15.4%	15.2%	15.7%	10.9%	12.5%	12.4%	21.2%	35.3%	22.8%	0.0%
HANDICAP TOO SEVERE.	16,158	282	1,456	1,856	3,495	2,478	1,076	918	665	3,218	714	0
COL %..............	6.1%	2.8%	6.0%	6.8%	5.1%	6.3%	4.0%	5.3%	6.3%	10.1%	7.6%	0.0%
REFUSED SERVICE.....	72,793	4,277	8,867	7,793	20,825	9,193	5,618	5,174	3,088	5,607	2,351	0
COL %..............	27.4%	42.6%	36.7%	28.4%	30.2%	23.4%	20.8%	30.0%	29.4%	17.6%	25.0%	0.0%
DEATH...............	1,486	59	146	187	374	272	147	74	59	121	47	0
COL %..............	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
INSTITUTIONALIZED...	3,755	121	206	311	913	448	234	261	269	866	126	0
COL %..............	1.4%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%	1.3%	1.1%	0.9%	1.5%	2.6%	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%
TRANSFER TO OTHER AGENCY..........	4,053	176	677	328	887	485	444	81	138	530	307	0
COL %..............	1.5%	1.8%	2.8%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	1.6%	0.5%	1.3%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%
FAILURE TO COOPERATE	56,231	2,045	4,509	5,330	15,694	11,256	7,493	4,001	1,398	2,967	1,538	0
COL %..............	21.2%	20.4%	18.7%	19.5%	22.8%	28.7%	27.8%	23.2%	13.3%	9.3%	16.3%	0.0%
NO DISABLING CONDITION.........	10,800	231	709	1,585	2,648	1,219	2,320	1,081	355	357	295	0
COL %..............	4.1%	2.3%	2.9%	5.8%	3.8%	3.1%	8.6%	6.3%	3.4%	1.1%	3.1%	0.0%
NO VOCATIONAL HANDICAP..........	16,111	279	768	2,374	3,867	3,189	2,311	1,194	792	734	603	0
COL %..............	6.1%	2.8%	3.2%	8.7%	5.6%	8.1%	8.6%	6.9%	7.5%	2.3%	6.4%	0.0%
TRANSPORTATION NOT FEASIBLE.........	845	5	34	70	215	48	136	48	16	260	12	1
COL %..............	0.3%	>0	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%	100.0%
NOT PRIORITY SELECT.	454	0	30	57	205	38	14	1	69	34	6	0
COL %..............	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	>0	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
ALL OTHER REASONS...	33,770	347	3,029	3,325	7,419	6,151	3,595	2,270	500	5,903	1,231	0
COL %..............	12.7%	3.5%	12.5%	12.1%	10.8%	15.7%	13.3%	13.2%	4.8%	18.6%	13.1%	0.0%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS NOT REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T055 : REASONS FOR CLOSURE NOT REHABILITATED BY REGION

REASONS FOR CLOSURE NOT REHABILITATED	TOTAL	REGION									
		REGION I	REGION II	REGION III	REGION IV	REGION V	REGION VI	REGION VII	REGION VIII	REGION IX	REGION X
TOTAL..............	129,310	7,096	9,649	16,240	25,976	23,470	17,994	5,742	4,445	14,865	3,833
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CANNOT LOCATE/MOVED.	34,478	1,809	2,230	3,588	7,462	4,627	5,106	1,258	1,383	5,878	1,137
COL %.............	26.7%	25.5%	23.1%	22.1%	28.7%	19.7%	28.4%	21.9%	31.1%	39.5%	29.7%
HANDICAP TOO SEVERE.	9,716	175	905	1,833	1,656	1,494	749	393	339	1,970	202
COL %.............	7.5%	2.5%	9.4%	11.3%	6.4%	6.4%	4.2%	6.8%	7.6%	13.3%	5.3%
REFUSED SERVICE.....	31,877	2,971	3,330	4,633	5,998	5,490	3,443	1,519	1,446	2,041	1,006
COL %.............	24.7%	41.9%	34.5%	28.5%	23.1%	23.4%	19.1%	26.5%	32.5%	13.7%	26.2%
DEATH..............	2,646	153	237	339	601	460	380	105	100	229	42
COL %.............	2.0%	2.2%	2.5%	2.1%	2.3%	2.0%	2.1%	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%	1.1%
INSTITUTIONALIZED...	3,449	107	134	340	1,069	350	384	162	139	711	53
COL %.............	2.7%	1.5%	1.4%	2.1%	4.1%	1.5%	2.1%	2.8%	3.1%	4.8%	1.4%
TRANSFER TO OTHER AGENCY...........	1,780	120	272	182	184	292	94	41	72	396	127
COL %.............	1.4%	1.7%	2.8%	1.1%	0.7%	1.2%	0.5%	0.7%	1.6%	2.7%	3.3%
FAILURE TO COOPERATE	28,396	1,477	1,484	2,973	6,290	6,643	5,450	1,398	650	1,346	685
COL %.............	22.0%	20.8%	15.4%	18.3%	24.2%	28.3%	30.3%	24.3%	14.6%	9.1%	17.9%
TRANSPORTATION NOT FEASIBLE.........	564	10	12	107	86	56	102	43	0	144	4
COL %.............	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	1.0%	0.1%
ALL OTHER REASONS...	16,404	274	1,045	2,245	2,630	4,058	2,286	823	316	2,150	577
COL %.............	12.7%	3.9%	10.8%	13.8%	10.1%	17.3%	12.7%	14.3%	7.1%	14.5%	15.1%

Figure 7 - Reasons for Closure for Persons Not Accepted for Services in FY 1990



Composed of closures from applicant and extended evaluation statuses.

Figure 8 - Reasons for Closure for Persons Not Rehabilitated in FY 1990



E

Post-employment Services and Annual Reviews of Ineligibility Determinations and Workshop Placements

Post-Employment Services and Annual Reviews of Ineligibility Determinations and Workshop Placements, FY 1981 to 1991

The report on Post-Employment Services and Annual Reviews (Form RSA-62) submitted each year by State VR agencies contains statistical information on three separate activities conducted under Title I of the Act. These activities are (a) the delivery of post-employment services to previously rehabilitated persons; (b) the conduct of ineligibility determination reviews; and (c) the conduct of reviews of placements into extended employment, especially sheltered workshops. A decade-long summary of activity in these three areas at the national level is presented below and in four tables in Appendix E. (New Mexico-General has not yet filed its FY 1991 report. Therefore its FY 1990 figures were used as substitutes in this report).

Post-employment services (Appendix E, Tables 1 and 2)

Section 103(a)(2) of the Act identifies the many types of VR services which can be provided to individuals with handicaps. Included among these services are post-employment services necessary to assist previously rehabilitated clients to maintain or regain employment. State agencies can provide these relatively minor services, such as medical treatment and transportation assistance, without having to re-open the recipient's case.

The number of rehabilitated persons receiving post-employment services (PES) increased steadily from FY 1983 through FY 1990, but has remained virtually without any change during 1990-91. It is too soon to say whether the 1990-91 trend of stability is going to continue. Immediately prior to FY 1983, the number declined drastically, after a seemingly steady trend of increase through FY 1981. Of those who received PES in FY 1991 (19,404), nearly 83 percent were severely disabled. The primary goal of post-employment services, maintaining or regaining employment, was met by a little over three-fourths of all individuals for whom the services were completed or terminated (in FY 1991, a total of 10,019 cases were terminated of whom 8,279 were severely disabled).

The utilization rate, or the number of persons getting post-employment services as a percent of the number rehabilitated in the previous fiscal year, has increased, maintaining the trend noted since FY 1982. Individuals receiving post-employment services in FY 1991 (19,404) were 9.0 percent of all individuals rehabilitated in FY 1990 (216,112). The utilization rate was 11.0 percent for individuals with severe disabilities and only 4.7 percent for those with non-severe disabilities. By type of State agency, the rate was 23.9 percent for agencies for the blind and 8.3 percent for general/combined agencies.

Review of ineligibility determinations (Appendix E, Table 3)

State agencies are required by Section 101(a)(9)(c) of the Act to review each determination of ineligibility no later than 12 months following such determination. The review makes it possible for some individuals to be accepted for rehabilitation services after previously being declared ineligible for such services. This provision in law was designed to be of particular assistance to persons with severe handicaps, to afford them a "second chance" to receive services.

There were 23,551 reviews of ineligibility determinations conducted during FY 1991, a 17 percent decline from FY 1990 but well below the totals experienced in the early 1980's. Despite the change in total reviews, however, the results of the reviews have changed very little in the last decade.

Only 2.2 percent of the individuals whose reviews were completed in FY 1991 were accepted for services; another 3.1 percent were, once again, not accepted for services; and 2.5 percent had re-entered the rehabilitation process, but their eligibility for services had not yet been determined. The overwhelming majority, 92.2 percent, of the reviews completed required no further consideration from the State agency.

Reviews of extended employment in rehabilitation facilities (including workshops) (Appendix E, Table 4)

Section 101(a)(16) of the Act requires State agencies to conduct periodic reviews and reevaluations of the status of rehabilitated persons placed into extended employment in rehabilitation facilities (including sheltered workshops) to determine the feasibility of their employment in the competitive labor market.

There were 40,227 reviews of non-competitive employment placement conducted by State VR agencies in FY 1991, a 9 percent decrease from FY 1990. Of the 38,007 reviews/reevaluations completed in FY 1991, 6.0 percent resulted in placements into competitive or self-employment, the highest rate of success in this regard during the last decade. Over four out of five individuals, or 82.6 percent, had to be maintained in non-competitive employment. Most of the remaining individuals were not available for a review (8.8 percent) while a few (3.3 percent) had re-entered the VR process.

POST-EMPLOYMENT SERVICES AND ANNUAL REVIEWS OF INELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS AND WORKSHOP PLACEMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 1991

Listing of Statistical Tables

Table 1. Number and percent of rehabilitated persons receiving post-employment services (PES) and percent maintaining employment, Fiscal Years 1981 - 1991 325

Table 2. Number and percent of rehabilitated persons receiving post-employment services (PES), by type of agency and severity of disability, Fiscal Year 1991 326

Table 3. Number of ineligibility determination reviews conducted by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, and percent resulting in acceptance for services, Fiscal Years 1981 - 1991 327

Table 4. Number of workshop reviews conducted by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, and percent resulting in placements into competitive and self-employment, Fiscal Years 1981 - 1991 328

Table 1 - Number and percent of rehabilitated persons receiving post-employment services (PES), and percent maintaining employment, Fiscal Years 1981 - 1991

Fiscal Year	Persons getting PES		Percent getting PES 1/		Percent maintaining employment 2/	
	Total	Severely disabled	Total	Severely disabled	Total	Severely disabled
1991	19,404	16,098	9.0	11.0	76.0	76.0
1990	19,403	15,704	8.8	10.7	80.7	80.7
1989	17,655	13,961	8.1	9.9	81.2	81.1
1988	14,520	11,033	6.6	8.1	78.2	78.4
1987	12,705	9,429	5.7	7.0	79.1	79.6
1986	11,655	8,544	5.1	6.3	76.5	76.3
1985	10,982	7,998	4.9	6.0	76.0	75.8
1984	9,712	7,091	4.5	5.7	76.8	77.2
1983	9,277	6,606	4.1	5.1	74.0	73.7
1982	9,323	6,358	3.7	4.6	75.0	75.0
1981	13,360	9,138	4.8	6.4	73.8	75.6

1/ Percents are based on the number of persons rehabilitated by state vocational rehabilitation agencies in the previous fiscal year. They are referred to as the utilization rates.

2/ Maintaining or regaining current employment is the goal of post-employment services (PES). The percent is based on the number of cases for which PES have been completed or terminated which in Fiscal Year 1991 was 10,019 cases, of which 8,279 were severely disabled.

NOTE: 1) New Mexico-General has not yet filed its FY 1991 report, Therefore its FY 1990 figures were used as substitutes in this report.

2) The figures for FY 1990 presented here are updates of those presented in the previous year's report.

Table 2 - Number and percent of rehabilitated persons receiving post-employment services (PES), by type of agency and severity of disability, Fiscal Year 1991

Item	Total	Severity Status	
		Severely disabled	Non-severely disabled
1. Persons getting PES			
Type of agency			
Total	19,404	16,098	3,306
General/combined agency	17,183	14,167	3,016
Agency for the blind	2,221	1,931	290
2. Percent getting PES 1/			
Type of agency			
Total	9.0	11.0	4.7
General/combined agency	8.3	10.2	4.4
Agency for the blind	23.9	24.6	19.7

1/ Percents are based on the number of persons rehabilitated by State Vocational rehabilitation agencies in the previous fiscal year. They are referred to as the utilization rates.

NOTE: New Mexico-General has not yet filed its FY 1991 report. Therefore its FY 1990 figures were used as substitutes in this report.

Table 3 - Number of ineligibility determination reviews conducted by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, and percent resulting in acceptance for services, Fiscal Years 1981-1991

Fiscal Year	Ineligibility reviews considered	Ineligibility reviews completed	Percent accepted for VR	Percent Pre-acceptance 1/	Percent not-accepted for VR	Percent not further considered 2/
1991	23,551	19,900	2.2	2.5	3.1	92.2
1990	28,214	25,000	2.3	2.2	2.7	92.8
1989	28,531	22,721	2.5	2.6	3.0	91.9
1988	31,807	26,120	2.8	2.3	2.9	92.0
1987	32,210	25,242	2.9	2.7	2.8	91.7
1986	31,294	25,896	2.6	2.1	2.7	92.6
1985	34,837	28,129	2.2	2.3	2.3	93.1
1984	35,893	29,896	2.1	1.9	4.6	91.4
1983	40,855	34,372	2.7	2.1	2.8	92.4
1982	56,100 3/	48,736	2.8	1.8	2.5	93.0
1981	48,800 3/	39,242	3.5	1.2	3.3	92.0

1/ Case review resulted in re-entry into VR process, but no eligibility decision had been made as of the end of the fiscal year.

2/ Review took place, but no further consideration was required for reasons such as person moved, was not interested in services, died, was institutionalized, etc.

3/ Estimated.

NOTE:
1. Percents are based on the number of reviews completed.
2. New Mexico General has not yet filed its FY 1991 report. Therefore its FY 1990 figures were used as substitutes in this report.
3. The figures for FY 1990 shown here are updates of those presented in the previous year's report.

Table 4 - Number of workshop reviews conducted by State vocational rehabilitation agencies, and percent resulting in placements into competitive and self-employment, Fiscal Years 1981-1991

Fiscal Year	Workshop reviews		Percent placed competitively 1/	Percent pre-acceptance/PES 2/	Percent maintained in workshop	Percent not available 3/
	considered	completed				
1991	40,227	38,007	5.3	3.3	82.6	8.8
1990	44,187	41,783	6.0	3.3	81.9	8.8
1989	42,913	40,504	6.7	3.6	80.5	9.2
1988	45,385	43,139	5.8	2.9	80.3	11.0
1987	47,007	43,968	4.7	6.0	80.8	8.6
1986	47,841	43,297	5.0	2.2	83.7	9.2
1985	45,807	41,927	5.2	2.0	83.5	9.3
1984	40,965	36,571	3.4	2.3	84.0	10.3
1983	38,055	34,052	4.2	2.1	84.2	9.6
1982	38,900 4/	35,018	4.5	3.5	84.2	7.8
1981	37,300 4/	33,573	5.1	1.9	85.5	7.6

1/ Case review resulted in placement into the competitive labor market of self-employment.

2/ Case review resulted in re-entry into the VR process or the delivery of post-employment services to maintain workshop employment.

3/ For whatever reason, the individual was not available for a review to take place.

4/ Estimated.

NOTE:
1. Percents are based on the number of reviews completed.
2. New Mexico-General has not yet filed its FY 1991 report. Therefore its FY 1990 figures were used as substitutes in this report.
3. The figures for FY 1990 presented here are updates of those presented in the previous year's report.

F

Client Assistance Program

CLIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
FY 1991

Listing of Statistical Tables

Page

Table 1. Non-cases (informational/referral services) and cases handled by State Client Assistance Program (CAP) agencies: Number and percent change from previous year, FY 1987 - 1991 330

Table 2. Total number of individuals served, non-cases (informational/referral services) and cases handled by State Client Assistance Program (CAP) agencies, FY 1985 - 1991 331

Figure 1. Number of individuals served by State CAP agencies, FY 1985 - 1991 336

Table 3. Total cases handled by State Client Assistance Program (CAP) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1989 - 1991 332

Table 4. Percent distribution of program data items, FY 1991 333

Figure 2. Types of individuals served by State CAP agencies, FY 1991 337

Figure 3. Source of person's concern for CAP cases handled during FY 1991 338

Figure 4. Problem areas for CAP cases handled during FY 1991. 339

Figure 5. Major disabling conditions of cases handled by CAP agencies during FY 1991 340

Figure 6. Types of services provided to CAP cases during FY 1991 341

Figure 7. Age, sex, race and ethnicity of cases handled by CAP agencies during FY 1991 342

Table 1 - Non-cases (informational/referral services) and cases handled by State ClientAssistance Program (CAP) agencies: Number and percent change from previous year, Fiscal Years 1987 - 1991 1/

Item	1991 1/		1990		1989		1988		1987	
	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year
Non-cases (informational/referral services)	39,866	- 3.5	41,302	+ 7.8	38,325	+ 10.4	34,721	+ 11.5	31,133	+ 22.0
Total cases handled										
Number available	11,504	- 2.0	11,742	- 2.2	12,008	- 5.3	12,683	- 6.6	13,578	+ 0.3
On hand, Oct. 1	3,039	+ 8.3	2,806	- 1.4	2,847	- 2.9	2,933	- 1.0	2,964	+ 20.7
New since Oct. 1	8,465	- 5.3	8,936	- 2.5	9,161	- 6.0	9,750	- 8.1	10,611	- 4.2
Transferred from CAP Project	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	3	2/
Cases closed during year	8,400	- 3.9	8,743	- 2.5	8,966	- 8.4	9,793	- 5.6	10,371	+ 0.1
Cases pending, Sept. 30	3,104	+ 3.5	2,999	- 1.4	3,042	+ 5.3	2,890	- 9.9	3,207	+ 1.2

1/ Preliminary data; information reflects actual data from 54 agencies and estimates for the other two.

2/ Percent change not shown for numbers less than 10.

Table 2 - Total number of individuals served, non-cases (informational/referral services) and cases handled by State Client Assistance Program (CAP) agencies, Fiscal Years 1985 - 1991 1/

Fiscal Year	Total individuals served		Non-cases (informational/referral services)		Cases handled	
	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year	Number	Percent change from prior year
1991 1/	51,370	- 3.2	39,866	- 3.5	11,504	- 2.0
1990	53,044	+ 5.4	41,302	+ 7.8	11,742	- 2.2
1989	50,333	+ 6.2	38,325	+ 10.4	12,008	- 5.3
1988	47,404	+ 6.0	34,721	+ 11.5	12,683	- 6.6
1987	44,711	+ 14.5	31,133	+ 22.0	13,578	+ 0.3
1986	39,054	+ 31.7	25,523	+ 43.0	13,531	+ 14.7
1985	29,646	2/	17,848	2/	11,798	2/

1/ Preliminary data; information reflects actual data from 54 agencies and estimates for the other two.

2/ Initial reporting period.

Table 3 - Total cases handled by State Client Assistance Program (CAP) agencies: Number and percent distribution, Fiscal Years 1989 - 1991 1/

Item	Fiscal Year					
	1991 1/		1990		1989	
	Number	Percent distribution	Number	Percent distribution	Number	Percent distribution
Number available	11,504	100.0	11,742	100.0	12,008	100.0
On hand, Oct. 1	3,039	26.4	2,806	23.9	2,847	23.7
New since Oct. 1	8,465	73.6	8,936	76.1	9,161	76.3
Cases closed during year	8,400	73.0	8,743	74.5	8,966	74.7
Cases pending, Sept. 30	3,104	27.0	2,999	25.5	3,042	25.3

1/ Preliminary data; information reflects actual data from 54 agencies and estimates for the other two.

Table 4 - Percent distribution of **program data items**, Fiscal Year 1991 1/

Program data item	Number	Percent of total
Total cases handled	11,504	100.0
Age (as of October 1)		
Under 21 years	865	7.5
21 - 25 years	1,448	12.6
26 - 40 years	5,031	43.7
41 - 59 years	2,602	22.6
60 - 64 years	197	1.7
65 years and over	90	0.8
Unknown/not reported	1,271	11.0
Sex		
Females	4,457	38.7
Males	6,273	54.5
Unknown/not reported	774	6.7
Race/ethnicity 2/		
White	7,878	68.5
Black (African American)	1,447	12.6
American Indian/Alaskan Native	116	1.0
Asian or Pacific Islander	153	1.3
Unknown/not reported	1,910	16.6
Hispanic	572	5.0
Types of individuals served 3/		
Applicants/clients of VR	11,097	96.4
Applicants/clients of IL	343	3.0
Applicants/clients of Special Projects	118	1.0
Applicants/clients of Non-VR facilities	129	1.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4 - Percent distribution of program data items, Fiscal Year 1991 1/ (continued)

Program data item	Number	Percent of total
Total cases handled	11,504	100.0
Source of person's concern 3/		
State VR agency only	9,935	86.4
Other Rehab Act sources only	445	3.9
Both VR agency and other sources (Rehab Act)	1,124	9.8
Problem areas 3/		
Information desired	3,955	34.4
Client-staff conflict	2,401	20.9
Communication related	1,616	14.0
Service related	5,899	51.3
Related to client's eligibility/application	2,351	20.4
Other problems	706	6.1
Non-Rehab Act related	406	3.5
Major disabling conditions		
Visual impairments	1,163	10.1
Hearing impairments	710	6.2
Orthopedic impairments	3,116	27.1
Absence of extremities	237	2.1
Mental illness	1,782	15.5
Substance abuse	562	4.9
Mental retardation	391	3.4
Specific learning disorders (SLD)	753	6.5
Neurological disorders	1,052	9.1
Respiratory/heart conditions	357	3.1
All other disabilities	984	8.5
Disability unknown	397	3.5
Traumatic brain injured	496	4.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4 - Percent distribution of program data items, Fiscal Year 1991 1/ (continued)

Program data item	Number	Percent of total
Total cases handled	11,504	100.0
Types of services provided 3/		
Informational/referral	7,626	66.3
Advisory/interpretational	8,313	72.3
Mediation/negotiation	5,630	48.9
Administrative (informal reviews)	1,073	9.3
Formal appeals procedures/fair hearings	240	2.1
Legal	75	0.7
Transportation	138	1.2

1/ Preliminary data; information reflects actual data from 54 agencies and estimates for the two which did not report complete information.

2/ Percentages are not additive because Hispanics are also identified by race.

3/ Percentages are not additive because individuals may report more than one type of program affiliation, problem area or service provided.

Figure 1 - Number of Individuals Served by State CAP Agencies, FY 1985 - 1991



Figure 2 - Types of Individuals Served by State CAP Agencies, FY 1991

Applicants/Clients of



Percentages are not additive because individuals may be applicants/clients of more than one Rehab Act program when they come to CAP agencies.

Figure 3 - Source of Person's Concern for CAP Cases Handled During FY 1991



Figure 4 - Problem Areas for CAP Cases Handled During FY 1991



Percentages are not additive because client may report more than one problem area.

Figure 5 - Major Disabling Conditions of Cases Handled by CAP Agencies During FY 1991



Traumatic brain injury is a cause
of disabilities and not a condition.

Figure 6 - Types of Services Provided to CAP Cases During FY 1991



Percentages are not additive because client may report more than one service.

Figure 7 - Age, Sex, Race, and Ethnicity of Cases Handled by CAP Agencies During FY 1991



Hispanics accounted for 5.8% of the total cases handled, and are also identified by race.

G

Client/Applicant Appeals

APPLICANT/CLIENT APPEALS
FY 1991

Listing of Statistical Tables

Page

Table 1. Appeals handled by impartial hearing officers (IHO) in State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991........... 344

Table 2. Impartial hearing officer (IHO) decisions handled by State directors of vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991.. 345

Table 3. A. Types of complaints/issues for appeals handled by impartial hearing officers (IHO) in State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991...................... 346

B. Types of complaints/issues for impartial hearing officer (IHO) decisions handled by State directors of vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991........... 346

Figure 1. A. Types of complaints/issues for appeals handled by IHO during FY 1991............................. 347

B. Types of complaints/issues for IHO decisions handled by State directors during FY 1991.......... 348

Table 4. A. Appeals handled by impartial hearing officers (IHO) in State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent handled with assistance From Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991.. 349

B. Impartial hearing officer (IHO) decisions handled by State directors of vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent handled with assistance from Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991.................................... 350

Figure 2. A. Appeals handled by IHO with assistance from Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991........... 351

B. IHO decisions handled by State directors with assistance from Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991.. 352

Table 1 - Appeals handled by impartial hearing officers (IHO) in State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991 1/

Appeals handled by impartial hearing officer (IHO)	Number	Percent distribution	
Appeals in process, Oct. 1	228	26.1	--
New appeals since Oct. 1	647	73.9	--
Total available during year	875	100.0	--
Total resolved during year	732	83.7	100.0
Decisions favoring individual	117	13.4	16.0
Decisions favoring agency	303	34.6	41.4
Appeals resolved not requiring IHO decision	312	35.7	42.6
Appeals in process, Sept. 30	143	16.3	--

1/ Figures shown reflect data from the 54 State VR agencies that reported activity involving appeals for FY 1991.

Table 2 - Impartial hearing officer (IHO) decisions handled by State directors of vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991 1/

IHO decisions handled by State director	Number	Percent distribution	
IHO decisions in process, Oct. 1	24	6.5	--
New IHO decisions since Oct. 1	343	93.5	--
Total available during year	367	100.0	--
Total concluded during year	346	94.3	100.0
IHO decisions not reviewed	167	45.5	48.3
IHO decisions sustained or reversed	170	46.3	49.1
Those favoring individual sustained	45	12.3	13.0
Those favoring individual reversed	5	1.4	1.4
Those favoring agency sustained	113	30.8	32.7
Those favoring agency reversed	7	1.9	2.0
IHO decisions concluded - no Director decision	9	2.5	2.6
IHO decisions in process, Sept. 30	21	5.7	--

1/ Figures shown reflect data from the 54 State VR agencies that reported activity involving appeals for FY 1991.

Table 3A - Types of complaints/issues for appeals handled by impartial hearing officers (IHO) in State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991 1/

Types of complaints/issues	Number	Percent distribution
Total	875	100.0
Applicant eligibility for VR/EE	207	23.7
Client eligibility for further services	130	14.9
Nature/contents of IWRP	122	13.9
Delivery/quality of counseling service	11	1.3
Delivery/quality of other services	92	10.5
Cost of services	84	9.6
Nature/quality of rehabilitation closure	41	4.7
All other complaints/issues or complaints/issues not reported	188	21.5

Table 3B - Types of complaints/issues for impartial hearing officer (IHO) decisions handled by State directors of vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent distribution, FY 1991 1/

Types of complaints/issues	Number	Percent distribution
Total	367	100.0
Applicant eligibility for VR/EE	92	25.1
Client eligibility for further services	53	14.4
Nature/contents of IWRP	46	12.5
Delivery/quality of counseling service	2	0.5
Delivery/quality of other services	31	8.4
Cost of services	52	14.2
Nature/quality of rehabilitation closure	12	3.3
All other complaints/issues or complaints/issues not reported	79	21.5

1/ Figures shown reflect data from the 54 State VR agencies that reported activity involving appeals for FY 1991.

Figure 1A - Types of Complaints/Issues for Appeals Handled by IHO During FY 1991



Percentages based on total number of appeals handled by impartial hearing officers (IHO) during period (875).

Figure 1B - Types of Complaints/Issues for IHO Decisions Handled by State Directors During FY 1991



Percentages based on total number of IHO decisions handled by State directors during period (367).

Table 4A - Appeals handled by impartial hearing officers (IHO) in State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent handled with assistance from Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991 1/

Appeals handled by impartial hearing officer (IHO)	Total handled	With CAP assistance	
		Number	Percent of total 2/
Appeals in process, Oct. 1	228	47	20.6
New appeals since Oct. 1	647	223	34.5
Total available during year	875	270	30.9
Total resolved during year	732	249	34.0
Decisions favoring individual	117	49	41.9
Decisions favoring agency	303	92	30.4
Appeals resolved not requiring IHO decision	312	108	34.6
Appeals in process, Sept. 30	143	21	14.7

1/ Figures shown reflect data from the 54 State VR agencies that reported activity involving appeals for FY 1991.

2/ Percentages based on total handled for each data element.

Table 4B - Impartial hearing officer (IHO) decisions handled by State directors of vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies: Number and percent handled with assistance from Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991 1/

IHO decisions handled by State director	Total handled	With CAP assistance	
		Number	Percent of total 2/
IHO decisions in process, Oct. 1	24	7	29.2
New IHO decisions since Oct. 1	343	127	37.0
Total available during year	367	134	36.5
Total concluded during year	346	128	37.0
IHO decisions not reviewed	167	51	30.5
IHO decisions sustained or reversed	170	76	44.7
Those favoring individual sustained	45	21	46.7
Those favoring individual reversed	5	3	60.0
Those favoring agency sustained	113	49	43.4
Those favoring agency reversed	7	3	42.9
IHO decisions concluded - no Director decision	9	1	11.1
IHO decisions in process, Sept. 30	21	6	28.5

1/ Figures shown reflect data from the 54 State VR agencies that reported activity involving appeals for FY 1991.

2/ Percentages are based on total handled for each data element.

Figure 2A - Appeals Handled by IHO with Assistance from Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991



Percentages based on total handled for each data element.

Figure 2B - IHO Decisions Handled by State Director with Assistance from Client Assistance Program (CAP), FY 1991



Percentages based on total handled for each data element.

H

Comprehensive Services for Independent Living

COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING
FY 1991

Listing of Statistical Tables

Page

Table 1. Caseload data reported by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies: Number and percent change, FY 1990 and 1991............ 354

Table 2. Caseload data reported by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies: Percent distribution, FY 1991........................... 355

Table 3. Profile of clients served by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies, FY 1991.... 356

Figure 1. Major disabling conditions of clients served by State ILR agencies during FY 1991................ 359

Figure 2. Types of services provided to clients served by State ILR agencies during FY 1991................ 360

Table 4. Independent Living IWRP goals and percent of goals met by clients whose cases were closed during FY 1991.................................. 361

Table 5. Reasons for closure for clients whose cases were closed during FY 1991...................... 362

Table 6. Length of time in active caseload statuses for clients served during FY 1991................ 363

Table 1 - Caseload data reported by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies: Number and percent change, FY 1990 and 1991 1/

Items	FY 1991	FY 1990	Percent
	Number	Number	change
Pre-active caseload			
Cases on hand, Oct. 1	4,480	4,640	- 3.4
New applicants	12,996	12,599	+ 3.2
Total available	17,476	17,239	+ 1.4
Cases accepted for ILR	9,069	9,436	- 3.9
Cases not accepted for ILR	3,720	3,291	+ 13.0
Remaining, Sept. 30	4,687	4,512	+ 3.9
Active caseload			
Cases on hand, Oct. 1	10,308	8,787	+ 17.3
Accepted since Oct. 1	9,069	9,436	- 3.9
Total available	19,377	18,223	+ 6.3
Cases closed	8,721	8,285	+ 5.3
Remaining, Sept. 30	10,656	9,938	+ 6.7

1/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

Table 2 - Caseload data reported by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies: Percent distribution, FY 1991 1/

Items	FY 1991 Number	Percent distribution
Pre-active caseload		
Cases on hand, Oct. 1	4,480	25.6
New applicants	12,996	74.4
Total available	17,476	100.0
Cases accepted for ILR	9,069	51.9
Cases not accepted for ILR	3,720	21.3
Remaining, Sept. 30	4,687	26.8
Active caseload		
Cases on hand, Oct. 1	10,308	53.2
Accepted since Oct. 1	9,069	46.8
Total available	19,377	100.0
Cases closed	8,721	45.0
Remaining, Sept. 30	10,656	55.0

1/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

Table 3 - Profile of clients served by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies, FY 1991 1/

Items	Number	Percent
Total clients served	19,377	100.0
Age (at closure or at end of year)		
Under 6 years	312	1.6
6 - 17 years	898	4.6
17 - 22 years	1,230	6.3
23 - 54 years	8,140	42.0
55 - 64 years	2,351	12.1
65 years and over	5,604	28.9
Not available	842	4.4
Sex		
Female	9,999	51.6
Male	8,654	44.7
Not available	724	3.7
Race/ethnicity		
White	14,995	77.4
Black	2,893	14.9
American Indian/Alaskan Native	304	1.6
Asian or Pacific Islander	185	1.0
Other	1,000	5.2
Hispanic	869	4.5
Not of Hispanic origin	18,508	95.5

1/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

Table 3 - Profile of clients served by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies, FY 1991 1/ (continued)

Items	Number	Percent
Total clients served	19,377	100.0
Disability (major disabling condition)		
Visual impairments	6,235	32.2
Hearing impairment	1,376	7.1
Mental illness	438	2.3
Mental retardation	532	2.7
Neurological disorders	1,984	10.2
Orthopedic impairments	4,141	21.4
Spinal cord injuries	1,472	7.6
Substance abuse (alcohol and drugs)	80	0.4
Other disabling conditions	3,119	16.1
Traumatic brain injured (TBI) 2/	687	3.5
Multiple disabling conditions 2/	6,543	33.8

1/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

2/ Traumatic brain injury and multiple disabling conditions are not included as separate disability categories and are not part of the total percent breakdown.

Table 3 - Profile of clients served by State Independent Living Rehabilitation (ILR) agencies, FY 1991 1/ (continued)

Items	Number	Percent
Total clients served	19,377	
Types of services provided 2/		
Counseling	9,396	48.5
Housing assistance	2,068	10.7
Physical & mental restoration	4,498	23.2
Attendant care	747	3.9
Daily living services	5,257	27.1
Transportation	1,842	9.5
Interpreter and other services for the deaf	643	3.3
Reader and other services for the blind	3,365	17.4
Recreational services	1,648	8.5
Services to family members	1,008	5.2
Vocational & other training	2,396	12.4
Job placement	251	1.3
Telecommunications	1,064	5.5
Rehabilitation engineering	1,857	9.6
Advocacy & referral services	5,652	29.2
Other services	5,235	27.1

1/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

2/ Percentages are not additive because individuals may receive more than one type of service.

Figure 1 - Major Disabling Conditions of Clients Served by State Independent Living Rehabilitation Agencies during FY 1991



Multiple disabling conditions and TBI (Traumatic Brain Injured) not included in total served.

Figure 2 - Types of Services Provided to Clients Served by State Independent Living Rehabilitation agencies during FY 1991



Percentages are not additive because clients may receive more than one service.

Table 4 - Independent Living IWRP 1/ goals and percent of goals met by clients whose cases were closed during FY 1991 2/

IL IWRP goals	Goal in IWRP		Goal met	
	Number	Percent of total 3/	Number	Percent 4/
Total cases closed	8,721	100.0	- -	- -
Self-care	4,730	54.2	3,561	75.3
Communication	2,653	30.4	1,927	72.6
Mobility	3,702	42.4	2,790	75.4
Residential	1,520	17.4	1,202	79.1
Education	928	10.6	621	66.9
Vocation	360	4.1	190	52.8
Other goals	677	7.8	477	70.5

1/ Individualized written rehabilitation program.

2/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

3/ Based on total number of clients whose cases were closed. Percentages are not additive because individuals may specify more than one goal in IWRP.

4/ Based on the number with this goal in their IWRPs.

Table 5. Reasons for closure for clients whose cases were closed during FY 1991 1/

Reasons for closure	Number	Percent of total
Total cases closed	8,721	100.0
ILRS 2/ no longer needed 3/	6,998	80.2
Achieved IL goals	6,619	75.9
Did not achieve IL goals	379	4.3
ILRS 2/ still needed	1,436	16.5
Referred to VR	69	0.8
Institutionalized	80	0.9
Moved	160	1.8
Withdrew	480	5.5
Other	647	7.4
Died	287	3.3

1/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

2/ Independent Living Rehabilitation Services.

3/ 445 or 5.1% of the total number of clients who no longer needed ILR services were referred to VR agencies.

Table 6. Length of time in active caseload for clients served during FY 1991 1/

Length of time in active caseload	Number	Percent of total
Total cases closed	8,721	100.0
Less than 6 months	2,691	30.9
6 months - 1 year	2,257	25.9
1 year - 2 years	1,715	19.7
2 years - 3 years	555	6.4
3 years - 5 years	535	6.1
5 years - 10 years	54	0.6
Over 10 years or not available	914	10.5
Cases remaining, end of year	10,656	100.0
Less than 6 months	3,243	30.4
6 months - 1 year	2,279	21.4
1 year - 2 years	2,104	19.7
2 years - 3 years	842	7.9
3 years - 5 years	577	5.4
5 years - 10 years	161	1.5
Over 10 years or not available	1,450	13.6
Total clients served	19,377	100.0
Less than 6 months	5,934	30.6
6 months - 1 year	4,536	23.4
1 year - 2 years	3,819	19.7
2 years - 3 years	1,397	7.2
3 years - 5 years	1,112	5.7
5 years - 10 years	215	1.1
Over 10 years or not available	2,364	12.2

1/ Data are preliminary and encompass 77 of the 79 agencies which provide Comprehensive Services for Independent Living.

I

Services to and Outcomes for Persons with Severe Disabilities and Persons with Non-severe Disabilities

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T016 : WORK STATUS AT APPLICATION BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

WORK STATUS AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL	213,921	66,765	147,156
COL %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SALARIED WORK	43,353	15,872	27,481
COL %	20.3%	23.8%	18.7%
COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT	38,515	15,713	22,802
COL %	18.0%	23.5%	15.5%
SHELTERED WORKSHOP WORK	4,838	159	4,679
COL %	2.3%	0.2%	3.2%
SELF-EMPLOYMENT	1,484	567	917
COL %	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
BUS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM	64	9	55
COL %	>0	>0	>0
HOMEMAKING	8,292	1,497	6,795
COL %	3.9%	2.2%	4.6%
UNPAID FAMILY WORK	379	80	299
COL %	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
NOT WORKING	160,349	48,740	111,609
COL %	75.0%	73.0%	75.8%
STUDENT	28,753	8,812	19,941
COL %	13.4%	13.2%	13.6%
TRAINEE	2,543	499	2,044
COL %	1.2%	0.7%	1.4%
OTHER	129,053	39,429	89,624
COL %	60.3%	59.1%	60.9%

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T017 : EARNINGS IN THE WEEK BEFORE APPLICATION BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

EARNINGS IN THE WEEK BEFORE APPLICATION	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL................	213,241	66,586	146,655
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO EARNINGS..........	167,246	49,775	117,471
COL %.............	78.4%	74.8%	80.1%
WITH SOME EARNINGS..	45,964	16,800	29,164
COL %.............	21.6%	25.2%	19.9%
LESS THAN $100...	15,713	4,429	11,284
COL %.............	7.4%	6.7%	7.7%
$100 - $199......	14,815	6,299	8,516
COL %.............	6.9%	9.5%	5.8%
$200 - $299......	7,982	3,211	4,771
COL %.............	3.7%	4.8%	3.3%
$300 AND OVER....	7,454	2,861	4,593
COL %.............	3.5%	4.3%	3.1%
PROFIT OF FARMERS...	31	11	20
COL %.............	>0	>0	>0
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS - ALL CLIENTS....	37.90	47.76	33.43
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS - $1 OR MORE.....	175.82	189.25	168.09
MEDIAN WEEKLY EARNINGS - $1 OR MORE.............	135.03	150.03	125.02
STANDARD DEVIATION..	154.1	151.9	154.9
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	87.7	80.2	92.2

>0 Value too small to display.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T018 : HOURS WORKED IN THE WEEK BEFORE APPLICATION BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

HOURS WORKED IN THE WEEK BEFORE APPLICATION	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL................	207,017	64,320	142,697
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	167,246	49,775	117,471
COL %.............	80.8%	77.4%	82.3%
WORKED..............	39,771	14,545	25,226
COL %.............	19.2%	22.6%	17.7%
PART-TIME........	18,252	5,980	12,272
COL %.............	8.8%	9.3%	8.6%
LESS THAN 20 HOURS........	5,739	1,908	3,831
COL %.............	2.8%	3.0%	2.7%
20 - 34 HOURS...	12,513	4,072	8,441
COL %.............	6.0%	6.3%	5.9%
FULL-TIME........	21,519	8,565	12,954
COL %.............	10.4%	13.3%	9.1%
35 - 44 HOURS...	20,414	8,077	12,337
COL %.............	9.9%	12.6%	8.6%
45 HOURS OR MORE	1,105	488	617
COL %.............	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-ALL CLIENTS	5.8	7.0	5.2
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..........	31.2	32.2	30.6
MEDIAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..	36.0	40.0	35.0
STANDARD DEVIATION..	11.4	11.3	11.5
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	36.7	35.0	37.6

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T019 : HOURLY WAGE RATE AT APPLICATION BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

HOURLY WAGE RATE AT APPLICATION	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL................	206,999	64,314	142,685
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK.........	167,246	49,775	117,471
COL %.............	80.8%	77.4%	82.3%
WORKED...............	39,753	14,539	25,214
COL %.............	19.2%	22.6%	17.7%
UNDER $1.00......	1,484	114	1,370
COL %.............	0.7%	0.2%	1.0%
$1 - $1.99.......	2,362	374	1,988
COL %.............	1.1%	0.6%	1.4%
$2 - $2.99.......	3,562	1,156	2,406
COL %.............	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%
$3 - $3.99.......	8,444	3,465	4,979
COL %.............	4.1%	5.4%	3.5%
$4 - $4.99.......	6,127	2,464	3,663
COL %.............	3.0%	3.8%	2.6%
$5 - $5.99.......	5,503	2,212	3,291
COL %.............	2.7%	3.4%	2.3%
$6 - $6.99.......	3,452	1,347	2,105
COL %.............	1.7%	2.1%	1.5%
$7 - $7.99.......	2,191	846	1,345
COL %.............	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
$8 - $8.99.......	1,526	585	941
COL %.............	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%
$9 - $9.99.......	865	337	528
COL %.............	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%
$10 AND OVER.....	3,595	1,383	2,212
COL %.............	1.7%	2.2%	1.6%
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-ALL CLIENTS.	1.17	1.35	1.09
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS.............	6.58	6.29	6.76
MEDIAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS.............	4.46	4.70	4.25
STANDARD DEVIATION..	15.7	12.6	17.4
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	238.8	199.7	256.7

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T020 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WHOLE COHORT BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WHOLE COHORT	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL...............	206,625	64,219	142,406
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	166,925	49,694	117,231
COL %.............	80.8%	77.4%	82.3%
WORKED..............	39,700	14,525	25,175
COL %.............	19.2%	22.6%	17.7%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.....	10,741	2,975	7,766
COL %.............	5.2%	4.6%	5.5%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE..........	28,959	11,550	17,409
COL %.............	14.0%	18.0%	12.2%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T021 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WORKERS ONLY BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT APPLICATION FOR WORKERS ONLY	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL...............	39,700	14,525	25,175
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.............	10,741	2,975	7,766
COL %.............	27.1%	20.5%	30.8%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE........	28,959	11,550	17,409
COL %.............	72.9%	79.5%	69.2%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T040 : WORK STATUS AT CLOSURE BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

WORK STATUS AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL................	213,348	66,572	146,776
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SALARIED WORK.......	188,371	62,326	126,045
COL %.............	88.3%	93.6%	85.9%
COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT....	176,766	61,692	115,074
COL %.............	82.9%	92.7%	78.4%
SHELTERED WORKSHOP WORK.	11,605	634	10,971
COL %.............	5.4%	1.0%	7.5%
SELF-EMPLOYMENT.....	4,840	1,561	3,279
COL %.............	2.3%	2.3%	2.2%
BUS. ENTERPRISE PROGRAM..........	353	18	335
COL %.............	0.2%	>0	0.2%
HOMEMAKING..........	18,915	2,532	16,383
COL %.............	8.9%	3.8%	11.2%
UNPAID FAMILY WORK..	869	135	734
COL %.............	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%

>0 VALUE TOO SMALL TO DISPLAY

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T041 : OCCUPATION AT CLOSURE (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

OCCUPATION AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL...............	214,867	67,113	147,754
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
PROFESSIONAL........	30,027	11,251	18,776
COL %.............	14.0%	16.8%	12.7%
ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING......	4,232	1,557	2,675
COL %.............	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%
MEDICINE & HEALTH	4,492	1,815	2,677
COL %.............	2.1%	2.7%	1.8%
EDUCATION........	3,616	1,341	2,275
COL %.............	1.7%	2.0%	1.5%
ADMIN. SPECIALTIES...	3,671	1,447	2,224
COL %.............	1.7%	2.2%	1.5%
MANAGERS & OFFICIALS NEC.	4,685	1,831	2,854
COL %.............	2.2%	2.7%	1.9%
VENDING STAND OPERATORS.....	123	22	101
COL %.............	0.1%	>0	0.1%
ALL OTHER PROFESSIONALS.	9,208	3,238	5,970
COL %.............	4.3%	4.8%	4.0%
CLERICAL............	31,630	10,740	20,890
COL %.............	14.7%	16.0%	14.1%
STENO/TYPING/FILING...........	12,369	4,104	8,265
COL %.............	5.8%	6.1%	5.6%
PROCESSING/COMPUTING..........	10,266	3,737	6,529
COL %.............	4.8%	5.6%	4.4%
OTHER CLERICAL...	8,995	2,899	6,096
COL %.............	4.2%	4.3%	4.1%
SALES...............	11,173	3,975	7,198
COL %.............	5.2%	5.9%	4.9%
VENDING STAND CLERK.........	276	15	261
COL %.............	0.1%	>0	0.2%
ALL OTHER SALES..	10,897	3,960	6,937
COL %.............	5.1%	5.9%	4.7%
SERVICES............	49,226	14,846	34,380
COL %.............	22.9%	22.1%	23.3%
DOMESTIC SERVICE.	2,983	1,082	1,901
COL %.............	1.4%	1.6%	1.3%
FOOD & BEVERAGE PREP..........	17,767	4,832	12,935

See footnotes at end of table.

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990 - Continued

TABLE T041 : OCCUPATION AT CLOSURE (SUMMARY AND DETAIL) BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

OCCUPATION AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
FOOD & BEVERAGE PREP			
COL %..............	8.3%	7.2%	8.8%
BUILDING SERVICE.	11,327	2,683	8,644
COL %..............	5.3%	4.0%	5.9%
ALL OTHER SERVICES......	17,149	6,249	10,900
COL %..............	8.0%	9.3%	7.4%
AGRICULTURAL.........	5,686	1,943	3,743
COL %..............	2.6%	2.9%	2.5%
INDUSTRIAL...........	56,707	20,607	36,100
COL %..............	26.4%	30.7%	24.4%
PROCESSING.......	3,967	1,337	2,630
COL %..............	1.8%	2.0%	1.8%
MACHINE TRADES...	11,461	4,530	6,931
COL %..............	5.3%	6.7%	4.7%
BENCHWORK.........	10,521	3,228	7,293
COL %..............	4.9%	4.8%	4.9%
STRUCTURAL.......	15,282	6,322	8,960
COL %..............	7.1%	9.4%	6.1%
MISCELLANEOUS....	15,476	5,190	10,286
COL %..............	7.2%	7.7%	7.0%
HOMEMAKERS...........	19,225	2,636	16,589
COL %..............	8.9%	3.9%	11.2%
SHELTERED WORKSHOP WORKER, N.E.C....	9,177	479	8,698
COL %..............	4.3%	0.7%	5.9%
UNPAID FAMILY WORKER, N.E.C....	693	97	596
COL %..............	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%

>0 VALUE TOO SMALL TO DISPLAY

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T042 : EARNINGS IN THE WEEK BEFORE CLOSURE BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

EARNINGS IN THE WEEK BEFORE CLOSURE	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL...............	209,453	65,406	144,047
COL %............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NO EARNINGS.........	19,315	2,784	16,531
COL %............	9.2%	4.3%	11.5%
SOME EARNINGS.......	190,072	62,605	127,467
COL %............	90.7%	95.7%	88.5%
LESS THAN $100...	30,413	4,213	26,200
COL %............	14.5%	6.4%	18.2%
$100 - $149......	29,081	8,477	20,604
COL %............	13.9%	13.0%	14.3%
$150 - $199......	37,788	13,252	24,536
COL %............	18.0%	20.3%	17.0%
$200 - $249......	35,821	13,950	21,871
COL %............	17.1%	21.3%	15.2%
$250 - $299......	18,453	7,326	11,127
COL %............	8.8%	11.2%	7.7%
$300 - $399......	21,227	8,502	12,725
COL %............	10.1%	13.0%	8.8%
$400 - $499......	9,410	3,738	5,672
COL %............	4.5%	5.7%	3.9%
$500 AND OVER....	7,879	3,147	4,732
COL %............	3.8%	4.8%	3.3%
PROFIT OF FARMERS...	66	17	49
COL %............	>0	>0	>0
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS-ALL CLIENTS..........	192.59	228.36	176.35
MEAN WEEKLY EARNINGS-$1 OR MORE.............	212.17	238.52	199.22
MEDIAN WEEKLY EARN-$1 OR MORE..	190.04	200.06	175.04
STANDARD DEVIATION..	134.3	131.1	134.0
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	63.3	55.0	67.3

>0 VALUE TOO SMALL TO DISPLAY

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T043 : HOURS WORKED IN THE WEEK BEFORE CLOSURE BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

HOURS WORKED IN THE WEEK BEFORE CLOSURE	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL................	196,355	61,376	134,979
COL %..............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK.........	19,315	2,784	16,531
COL %..............	9.8%	4.5%	12.2%
WORKED...............	177,040	58,592	118,448
COL %..............	90.2%	95.5%	87.8%
PART-TIME.........	45,901	9,627	36,274
COL %..............	23.4%	15.7%	26.9%
LESS THAN 20 HOURS.........	5,400	952	4,448
COL %..............	2.8%	1.6%	3.3%
20 - 34 HOURS...	40,501	8,675	31,826
COL %..............	20.6%	14.1%	23.6%
FULL-TIME.........	131,139	48,965	82,174
COL %..............	66.8%	79.8%	60.9%
35 - 44 HOURS...	126,420	47,152	79,268
COL %..............	64.4%	76.8%	58.7%
45 HOURS OR MORE	4,719	1,813	2,906
COL %..............	2.4%	3.0%	2.2%
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-ALL CLIENTS	29.6	32.9	28.1
MEAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..........	36.0	37.7	35.1
MEDIAN WEEKLY HOURS-1 OR MORE..	40.0	40.0	40.0
STANDARD DEVIATION..	8.0	6.6	8.5
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	22.3	17.6	24.2

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T044 : HOURLY WAGE RATE AT CLOSURE BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

HOURLY WAGE RATE AT CLOSURE	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL..............	196,277	61,350	134,927
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	19,315	2,784	16,531
COL %.............	9.8%	4.5%	12.3%
WORKED..............	176,962	58,566	118,396
COL %.............	90.2%	95.5%	87.7%
UNDER $1..........	3,976	183	3,793
COL %.............	2.0%	0.3%	2.8%
$1 - $1.99........	5,693	639	5,054
COL %.............	2.9%	1.0%	3.7%
$2 - $2.99........	6,410	1,481	4,929
COL %.............	3.3%	2.4%	3.7%
$3 - $3.99........	28,323	7,844	20,479
COL %.............	14.4%	12.8%	15.2%
$4 - $4.99........	35,196	11,048	24,148
COL %.............	17.9%	18.0%	17.9%
$5 - $5.99........	31,741	11,733	20,008
COL %.............	16.2%	19.1%	14.8%
$6 - $6.99........	20,703	8,005	12,698
COL %.............	10.5%	13.0%	9.4%
$7 - $7.99........	13,462	5,215	8,247
COL %.............	6.9%	8.5%	6.1%
$8 - $8.99........	9,092	3,594	5,498
COL %.............	4.6%	5.9%	4.1%
$9 - $9.99........	4,998	2,006	2,992
COL %.............	2.5%	3.3%	2.2%
$10 AND OVER.....	17,368	6,818	10,550
COL %.............	8.8%	11.1%	7.8%
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-ALL CLIENTS.	5.82	6.32	5.57
MEAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS.............	5.94	6.34	5.73
MEDIAN HOURLY WAGE RATE-EARNERS/WORKERS.............	5.00	5.47	5.00
STANDARD DEVIATION..	3.8	4.0	3.7
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION........	64.1	62.9	64.4

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T045 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WHOLE COHORT BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WHOLE COHORT	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL...............	195,743	61,173	134,570
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID NOT WORK........	19,233	2,762	16,471
COL %.............	9.8%	4.5%	12.2%
WORKED..............	176,510	58,411	118,099
COL %.............	90.2%	95.5%	87.8%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.....	26,622	5,389	21,233
COL %.............	13.6%	8.8%	15.8%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMUM WAGE RATE..........	149,888	53,022	96,866
COL %.............	76.6%	86.7%	72.0%

SERIES A1 CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS REHABILITATED, SUMMARY BY REGION, FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE T046 : FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WORKERS ONLY BY SEVERITY OF DISABILITY

MINIMUM WAGE RATE ATTAINMENT AT CLOSURE FOR WORKERS ONLY	TOTAL	SEVERITY OF DISABILITY	
		NOT SEVERE	SEVERE
TOTAL...............	176,510	58,411	118,099
COL %.............	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
BELOW MINIMUM WAGE RATE.............	26,622	5,389	21,233
COL %.............	15.1%	9.2%	18.0%
AT OR ABOVE MINIMIM WAGE RATE........	149,888	53,022	96,866
COL %.............	84.9%	90.8%	82.0%

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1992 322-020/60008
Publication Code: ED/OSERS92-20

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20202-2550

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE $300

POSTAGE & FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Permit No. G-17

SPECIAL FOURTH CLASS

597